# DIVISION D—ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2015 EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

RIVER

The following statement to the House of Representatives and the Senate is submitted in explanation of the agreed upon Act making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015, and for other purposes.

The language and allocations set forth in House Report 113–486 carry the same emphasis as the language included in this explanatory statement and should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary herein. Report language included by the House which is not contradicted by the explanatory statement is approved. The explanatory statement, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases in which the House directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

Funds for the individual programs and activities within the accounts in this Act are displayed in the detailed table at the end of the explanatory statement for this Act. Funding levels that are not displayed in the detailed table are identified in this explanatory statement.

In fiscal year 2015, for purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99–177), the following information provides the definition of the term "program, project, or activity" for departments and agencies under the jurisdiction of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act. The term "program, project, or activity" shall include the most specific level of budget items identified in the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2015 and the explanatory statement accompanying the Act.

## TITLE I—CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The summary tables included in this title set forth the dispositions with respect to the individual appropriations, projects, and activities of the Corps of Engineers. Additional items of the Act are discussed below.

Concerns persist that the effort to update the Water Resources Principles and Guidelines is not proceeding consistent with the language or intent of section 2031 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007. No funds provided to the Corps of Engineers shall be used to develop or implement rules or guidance to support implementation of the final Principles and Requirements for Federal Investments in Water Resources released in March 2013. The Corps shall continue to use the document dated March 10, 1983, and entitled "Economic and Environmental Principles and Guidelines for Water and Related Land Resources Implementation Studies" during the fiscal year period covered by the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act for 2015. If Interagency Guidelines for implementing the March 2013 Principles and Requirements are finalized, the Corps shall be ready to report to the appropriate committees of Congress not later than 120 days after finalization on the impacts of the revised Principles and Requirements and Interagency Guidelines. The Corps shall be prepared to explain the intent of each revision, how each revision is or is not consistent with section 2031 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007, and the probable impact of each revision on water resources projects carried out by the Secretary including specific examples of application to at least one project from each main mission area of the Corps.

Concerns remain that the Corps has moved forward with its Levels of Service proposals at locks and dams without undertaking any analysis of whether this reduced service is in the best economic interests of the Nation. The Corps has provided no information showing the amount of additional maintenance funding made available or the economic activity foregone by this policy. Even in times of tight operation and maintenance budgets, changes in policy must be supported by factual information. The Corps is directed to report on the benefits and costs of its Levels of Service policy to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate as soon as practicable. In the meantime, the Corps is encouraged to continue to use all existing authorities to collect additional funds for the operation and maintenance of locks and dams, including the acceptance of contributed funds and the engagement in public-private partnerships.

Development of Ratings Systems.—The Corps again is directed to develop ratings systems for use in evaluating studies and projects for allocation of the additional funding provided in this title. These evaluation systems may be, but are not required to be, individualized for each account, category, or subcategory. Each study and project eligible for funding shall be evaluated under the applicable ratings system. A study or project may not be excluded from evaluation for being "inconsistent with

Administration policy." The Corps retains complete control over the methodology of these ratings systems, and the executive branch retains complete discretion over project-specific allocation decisions within the additional funds provided.

The Administration's responses to previous years' directives to develop ratings systems for use in allocating additional funding have been woefully inadequate. It is not sufficient to simply list a few performance measures without explaining, in detail, how studies and projects are evaluated under each measure, how the performance measures interact, and the relative importance or emphasis given to each measure when comparing projects. Additionally, under a truly transparent and performance-based process, the methodology being used to evaluate studies and projects and to make allocation decisions should be available prior to, or at least in conjunction with, the list of final project-specific allocations, not two months after as in fiscal year 2014.

#### **INVESTIGATIONS**

The agreement includes \$122,000,000 for Investigations. The agreement includes legislative language regarding parameters for new study starts.

Planning Program.—The planning program is the entry point for federal involvement in solutions to the Nation's water resources problems and needs. These studies are funded primarily through the Investigations account. Over the past few years, the Corps has attempted to improve the project development process by streamlining the planning phase, an ongoing process that should continue. This effort gave rise to so-called "smart planning" and has resulted in the "3X3X3" slogan, which translates to no more than 3 years for a feasibility study, without a waiver; no more than \$3 million for the feasibility study, without a waiver; and either three levels of review or a final report document no thicker than a three inch binder, depending on with whom one discusses this process.

While the 3X3X3 mantra has been embraced by the Corps and incorporated into law by the Water Resources Reform and Development Act (WRRDA) of 2014, it remains questionable as to whether this one-size-fits-all approach will provide for higher quality, quicker, or more economical recommendations from the Corps. While "better, faster, cheaper" sounds desirable, the reality seems to be that, all too often, only two out of these three items ultimately get delivered. The Corps is cautioned that the feasibility study is a critical document as it is the basis for the determination of the economic viability, technical soundness, and the environmental sustainability of the Corps' recommendation. Giving short shrift to any of these bedrock principles will call the Corps' recommendations into question.

The WRRDA 2014 removes the requirement for a reconnaissance study from the planning process. It is expected that the Corps will continue to limit federal participation in new studies until it is determined that the study has a definable federal interest and that there is a local sponsor willing to cost share in the study. How these needs relate to the 3X3X3 process outlined in the WRRDA bill is unclear.

Accelerating the feasibility phase will not have the intended effect of speeding up the project delivery process if required analyses or other activities are simply shifted to the preconstruction

engineering and design (PED) phase nor if the PED phase is not seamlessly funded immediately after the feasibility phase.

Finally, there is concern that the "smart planning" and 3X3X3 processes do not seem to match the Administration's rhetoric for a comprehensive approach to planning. The new planning processes appear to narrow the options the Corps may examine, which is in direct contrast to a more comprehensive approach touted by the Administration.

The Corps should reexamine its planning program in light of the changes enacted from the WRRDA 2014 and the statements included here to ensure that the rhetoric of the planning program comports with the realities of the guidance being disseminated. In particular, the Corps is directed to report on the waiver process as detailed in House Report 113-486.

The allocation for projects and activities within the Investigations account is shown in the following table:

Insert Sa-5h

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		BUDGET REQUEST			FINAL BILL		
	REC	CON	FEASIBILITY	PED	RECON	FEASIBILITY	PED
ALASKA							
ALASKA REGIONAL PORTS, AK			50			50	
CRAIG HARBOR, AK			300			300	
PORT LIONS HARBOR, AK				300			300
ARIZONA							
LITTLE COLORADO RIVER (WINSLOW), AZ			751			651	
LOWER SANTA CRUZ RIVER, AZ			200			200	
ARKANSAS							
WHITE RIVER COMPREHENSIVE - LOWER CACHE, AR			150			150	
CALIFORNIA							
ALISO CREEK, CA			717			717	
ARROYO SECO, CA			450			450	
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (COMMON FEATURES), CA				675			675
CALIFORNIA COASTAL SEDIMENT MASTER PLAN, CA			449			449	
COYOTE & BERRYESSA CREEKS, CA				230			230
COYOTE VALLEY DAM RESTORATION, CA			200				
DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) RESTORATION, CA			200			200	
N CA STREAMS, LOWER CACHE CRK, YOLO CNTY, WOODLAND & VIC,	CA		800			800	
PORT OF LONG BEACH NAV IMP, CA			200			200	
REDWOOD CITY HARBOR, CA			579			579	
SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA			500			200	
SALTON SEA RESTORATION, CA		200					
SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK, CA			900			900	

Q

BUDGET REQUEST

FINAL BILL

RECON	FEASIBILITY	PED	RECON	FEASIBILITY	PED	
	452			452		
	200			200		
	500			500		
100	<u> </u>					
100						
		3,150			3,150	
100						
	200			200		
		1,520				
	120			120		
	469			469		
	153			153		
	1,040			1,040		
	  100 100 100  100	200 500 100 100 100 100 200 200 120 469 153	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	

		, BL	JDGET REQUES	т	F	INAL BILL	
	IDAHO	RECON	FEASIBILITY	PED	RECON	FEASIBILITY	PED
	BOISE RIVER, BOISE, ID		1,000			1,000	
	ILLINOIS						
	DU PAGE RIVER, IL	150					
	ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION, IL INTERBASIN CONTROL OF GREAT LAKES-MISSISSIPPI RIVER AQUATIC NUISANCE SPECIES, IL, IN,		400			400	
	OH & WI		500			500	
	KENTUCKY						
	KENTUCKY RIVER LOCKS 1-4 DISP, KY	100					
1	LICKING RIVER, CYNTHIANA, KY			1,100		<b></b> -	<b></b> -
	LOUISIANA						
	LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA ECOSYS REST- MISS. RIVER HYDRO, LA		2,500			50	
	MARYLAND						
	ANACOSTIA WATERSHED RESTORATION, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD		250			250	
	ANACOSTIA WATERSHED RESTORATION, PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MD		250			250	
	BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (50 FOOT), MD		600			600	
	CHESAPEAKE BAY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, MD, PA & VA		100			100	
	MASSACHUSETTS						
	BOSTON HARBOR DEEP DRAFT INVESTIGATION, MA			1,800			1,800

		•	IDGET REQUEST		F	INAL BILL	
		RECON	FEASIBILITY	PED	RECON	FEASIBILITY	PED
	MINNESOTA						
	MINNESOTA RIVER WATERSHED STUDY, MN & SD (MINNESOTA RIVER AUTHORITY)		600			600	
	MISSOURI						
	MISSOURI RIVER DEGRADATION, MO		593			593	
	MONTANA						
	YELLOWSTONE RIVER CORRIDOR, MT		295			295	
X	NEW HAMPSHIRE						
	CONNECTICUT RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, NH & VT		23				
	MERRIMACK RIVER WATERSHED STUDY, NH & MA		700			700	
	NEW JERSEY						
	HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, LOWER PASSAIC RIVER, NJ		52			52	
	NEW MEXICO						
	ESPANOLA VALLEY, RIO GRANDE AND TRIBUTARIES, NM		300			300	
	MIDDLE RIO GRANDE FLOOD PROTECTION, BERNALILLO TO BELEN, NM		276			27 <del>6</del>	
	RIO GRANDE BASIN WATERSHED, NM, CO & TX		300			300	
	NEW YORK						
	HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, NY & NJ		202			202	

			JDGET REQUEST		F	INAL BILL	
		RECON	FEASIBILITY	PED	RECON	FEASIBILITY	PED
	NORTH CAROLINA						
	WILMINGTON HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS, NC		298			25	
	NORTH DAKOTA						
	JAMES RIVER, ND		400				
	RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN, ND, MN, SD & MANITOBA, CANADA		600			600	
	оню						
	SHORT CREEK AND WHEELING CREEK, OH	150					
	OREGON						
)	LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR & WA		283				
	WILLAMETTE RIVER FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION, OR			550			
	PENNSYLVANIA						
	ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA	100					
	DELAWARE RIVER DREDGE MATERIAL UTILIZATION, PA		200			200	
	PUERTO RICO						
	SAN JUAN HARBOR CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT STUDY, PR	100					
	SOUTH CAROLINA						
	CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC		695			695	

	BI	BUDGET REQUEST			FINAL BILL		
	RECON	FEASIBILITY	PED	RECON	FEASIBILITY	PED	
TEXAS							
COASTAL TEXAS PROTECTION AND RESTORATION STUDY, TX		200			200		
FREEPORT HARBOR, TX			1,200			1,200	
HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX		200			200		
NORTHWEST EL PASO, TX		300			300		
SABINE PASS TO GALVESTON BAY, TX		583			583		
SPARKS ARROYO COLONIA, EL PASO COUNTY, TX		600			600		
SULPHUR RIVER BASIN REALLOCATION, TX		500			500		
VIRGINIA							
LYNNHAVEN RIVER BASIN, VA			600			600	
NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, VA (DEEPENING)		700			700		
WASHINGTON							
PUYALLUP RIVER, WA		500			500		
SEATTLE HARBOR, WA		200			200		
SKAGIT R, WA/SKAGIT CO, WA		250			250		
SKOKOMISH RIVER BASIN, WA		550			250		
SUBTOTAL, PROJECTS LISTED UNDER STAT	ES 1,100	25,580	11,125		21,251	7,955	
REMAINING ITEMS							
ADDITIONAL FUNDING							
FLOOD AND STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION					6,264		
FLOOD CONTROL					7,800		
SHORE PROTECTION					4,400		

BUDGET REQUEST

FINAL BILL

	RECON	FEASIBILITY	PED	RECON	FEASIBILITY	PED
NAVIGATION					5,000	
COASTAL AND DEEP-DRAFT					4,100	
INLAND					4,000	
SMALL, REMOTE, OR SUBSISTENCE					2,200	
OTHER AUTHORIZED PROJECT PURPOSES	<b>-</b>				4,100	
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION OR COMPLIANCE	<u></u>				2,000	
COORDINATION STUDIES WITH OTHER AGENCIES						
ACCESS TO WATER DATA		750			750	
COMMITTEE ON MARINE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS		100	÷		100	
OTHER COORDINATION PROGRAMS						
CALFED		100			100	
CHESAPEAKE BAY PROGRAM		75			75	
COORDINATION WITH OTHER WATER RESOURCE AGENCIES		398			500	
GULF OF MEXICO		100			100	
INTERAGENCY AND INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT		400			350	
INTERAGENCY WATER RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT		721			955	
INVENTORY OF DAMS		400			400	
LAKE TAHOE		100			100	
PACIFIC NW FOREST CASE		10			10	
SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS		1,350			1,350	
FERC LICENSING		200			200	
PLANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES		3,500			5,000	
COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA						
AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEMS SUPPORT TRI-CADD		251			251	
COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION		1,000			1,000	
ENVIRONMENTAL DATA STUDIES		75			75	
FLOOD DAMAGE DATA		220			220	
FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES		8,000			8,000	
HYDROLOGIC STUDIES		243			243	
INTERNATIONAL WATER STUDIES		150			150	
PRECIPITATION STUDIES		225			225	

BUDGET REQUEST

FINAL BILL

RECON	FEASIBILITY	PED	RECON	FEASIBILITY	PED
REMOTE SENSING/GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT	- 75			75	
SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTERS	- 47			47	
STREAM GAGING	- 550			550	
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS	- 385			929	
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	- 12,270			19,000	
OTHER - MISCELLANEOUS					
NATIONAL FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	- 5,000			5,000	
NATIONAL SHORELINE	- 400			675	
PLANNING SUPPORT PROGRAM	- 3,100			4,000	
TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM	- 1,500		<b>-</b>	2,500	
WATER RESOURCES PRIORITIES STUDY	- 500				
SUBTOTAL, REMAINING ITEMS	- 42,195		<del>-</del>	92,794	
TOTAL, INVESTIGATIONS 1,100	67,775	11,125		114,045	7,955

Updated Capability.—The agreement adjusts some project-specific allocations downward from the budget request based on updated information regarding the amount of work that could be accomplished in fiscal year 2015.

South San Francisco Bay Shoreline, California.—Progress on this study continues to be unacceptably slow. The Corps has been studying ways to prevent flooding in the Alviso, California, area and to restore the environment in the South San Francisco Bay area for 10 years, yet the most recent schedule does not show completion of a Chief's report until December 2015. The Corps must meet or exceed this schedule in order to be timely for the next water resources authorization bill.

Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans.—The Corps is encouraged to budget for these plans in future budget submissions, as they are an integral part of the overall Great Lakes ecosystem restoration efforts.

Missouri River Authorized Purposes Study, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota.—The agreement includes neither support for nor a prohibition on funding for the study of the Missouri River Projects authorized in section 108 of the Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2009 (division C of Public Law 111-8).

Additional Funding.—The fiscal year 2015 budget request does not reflect the extent of need for project studies funding. The Corps has numerous continuing studies that will be suspended or slowed unnecessarily under the limits of the budget request. These studies could lead to projects with significant economic benefits, particularly by increasing national competitiveness through marine transportation improvements and by avoiding damages caused by flooding and coastal storms. It is important to note that non-federal sponsors have signed feasibility cost-share agreements and design agreements with the federal government, committing precious local resources that the budget request would leave stranded. The agreement includes additional funds for work that either was not included in the Administration's request or was inadequately budgeted. This funding is intended, in part, to honor commitments made by the federal government in signing agreements with non-federal sponsors. The direction that follows shall be the only direction used for additional funding provided in this account.

The Corps retains complete discretion over project-specific allocation decisions, but shall consider giving priority to completing or accelerating ongoing studies or to initiating new studies that will enhance the nation's economic development, job growth, and international competitiveness; are for projects located in areas that have suffered recent natural disasters; or are for projects to address legal requirements. It is expected that all of the funds provided in this account will be allocated to specific programs, projects, or activities. The focus of the allocation process should favor the obligation of funds for work in fiscal year 2015 rather than expenditures. With the significant backlog of work in the Corps' inventory, there is absolutely no reason for funds provided above the budget request to remain unallocated.

A study shall be eligible for this funding if: (1) it has received funding, other than through a reprogramming, in at least one of the previous three fiscal years; (2) it was previously funded and could reach a significant milestone or produce significant outputs in fiscal year 2015; or (3) it is selected as one of the new starts allowed in accordance with this Act and the additional direction provided below. None of

these funds may be used for any item where funding was specifically denied. A study may not be excluded on the basis of being "inconsistent with Administration policy." The Corps is reminded that these funds are in addition to the Administration's budget request. Administration budget request metrics shall not be a reason to disqualify a study from being funded.

While this additional funding is shown in the feasibility column, the Corps should use these funds in any applicable phase. Funding associated with each category may be allocated to any eligible study within that category; funding associated with each subcategory may be allocated only to eligible studies within that subcategory. The list of subcategories is not meant to be exhaustive. For example, the agreement does not include a specific subcategory for "Remote, Coastal, or Small Watershed" due to a lack of information on capability; the Corps should evaluate any studies under this subcategory with capability in fiscal year 2015 for funding under the "Other Authorized Project Purposes" category.

Not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, the Corps shall provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a work plan including the following information: (1) a detailed description of the ratings system(s) developed and used to evaluate studies; (2) delineation of how these funds are to be allocated; (3) a summary of the work to be accomplished with each allocation, including phase of work; and (4) a list of all studies that were considered eligible for funding but did not receive funding, including an explanation of whether the study could have used funds in fiscal year 2015 and the specific reasons each study was considered as being less competitive for an allocation of funds.

*New Starts.*—The agreement includes up to ten new study starts to be distributed across the three main mission areas of the Corps (three navigation, three flood and storm damage reduction, one additional navigation or flood and storm damage reduction, and three environmental restoration). Each new start shall be funded from the appropriate additional funding line item. Consideration of the ten shall not be limited to only those proposed in the Administration's budget request. In addition to the priority factors used to allocate all additional funding provided, the Corps should give careful consideration to out-year budget impacts of the studies chosen as new starts, as well as to whether there appears to be an identifiable local sponsor that will be ready and able to provide the necessary cost shares in a timely manner for the feasibility and preconstruction engineering and design (PED) phases.

As all of the studies are to be chosen by the Corps, it should be understood that all are considered of equal importance. The expectation is that future budget submissions will include funding appropriate to meet the goals of the 3X3X3 approach for the feasibility study, as well as seamlessly fund the feasibility and PED phases. No new start shall be required when moving from feasibility to PED. The Corps may not change or substitute the new study starts selected once the work plan has been provided to the Committees.

The Corps shall not select a "disposition study" as one or more of the ten new study starts allowed in fiscal year 2015. While there likely are instances where disposing of current assets makes sense, treating each individual analysis as a new start, comparable to a feasibility study for a new project, does not.

Instead, the Corps should consider including in future budget requests funding and justification for such efforts under a new or existing Remaining Item, as appropriate.

Lake Erie.—The Western Lake Erie basin watershed is the largest in the Great Lakes, and Lake Erie, being the shallowest lake, faces its freshwater supplies being particularly threatened. Our Great Lakes are the Nation's largest source of freshwater, and these waters are threatened due to changes such as a 50 percent increase in rainfall, population and livestock increases across the watershed, and a quadrupling of fertilizer and land application of manure.

Under authorities provided for intergovernmental coordination, the Corps is directed to engage the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the Natural Resources Conservation Service, the Western Lake Erie Basin Partnership, the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative, and other instrumentalities essential to outline an approach to infrastructure and institutional challenges posed by existing conditions, which are exacerbating damages to existing infrastructure and contributing to non-point source runoff. These conditions contribute to increasing sediment loads to Lake Erie and nutrient pollution of Lake Erie's Western Basin resulting in dangerous levels of algal blooms.

The Corps is directed to provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act a report on how existing federal authorities, including the Corps' authorities, can be exercised to outline options for interagency cooperation; to the extent practicable, the estimated cost of a comprehensive solution to existing infrastructure and water quality challenges; and any identified interdepartmental authorities required to execute a comprehensive solution.

Water Resources Priority Study .- No funds shall be used for this new item.

#### CONSTRUCTION

The agreement includes \$1,639,489,000 for Construction. The agreement includes legislative language regarding parameters for new construction starts.

Inland Waterways Trust Fund.—The Corps shall continue to adhere to Section 102 of the bill prohibiting the use of funds to award or modify any contract that commits an amount in excess of the amount that remains unobligated. No change to existing policy regarding continuing contracts is authorized or contemplated in the bill.

The allocation for projects and activities within the Construction account is shown in the following table:

-UNSERT TABLE Insert 9a - 9d

		FINAL BILL
CALIFORNIA		
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (FOLSOM DAM MODIFICATIONS), CA	92,600	92,600
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (FOLSOM DAM RAISE), CA	1,200	1,200
HAMILTON AIRFIELD WETLANDS RESTORATION, CA	1,300	1,300
HAMILTON CITY, CA	3,800	3,800
ISABELLA LAKE, CA (DAM SAFETY)	8,000	8,000
NAPA RIVER, SALT MARSH RESTORATION, CA	1,000	
OAKLAND HARBOR (50 FOOT PROJECT), CA	6,000	6,000
SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA	1,000	1,000
SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CA	30,826	30,826
YUBA RIVER BASIN, CA	4,000	4,000
FLORIDA		
HERBERT HOOVER DIKE, FL (SEEPAGE CONTROL)	75,000	75,000
SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	65,551	65,551
GEORGIA		
LOWER SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN, GA	80	80
RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC	850	750
SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GA		1,520
ILLINOIS		
CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN	200	200
EAST ST LOUIS, IL	9,810	50
CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DISPERSAL BARRIER, IL	29,000	29,000
MCCOOK AND THORNTON RESERVOIRS, IL	18,500	18,500
MELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, IL & MO	3,800	3,600
OLMSTED LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, IL & KY	160,000	160,000
UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER RESTORATION, IL, IA, MN, MO & WI	33,170	33,170
WOOD RIVER LEVEE, DEFICIENCY CORRECTION, IL	8,650	50
IOWA		
MISSOURI RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE RECOVERY, IA, KS, MO, MT, NE, ND & SD	48,771	48,771
KENTUCKY		
ROUGH RIVER, MAJOR REHAB, KY (DAM SAFETY)	25,000	25,000



LOUISIANA	······································	
CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA	9,800	8,000
LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA	10,000	
MARYLAND		
ASSATEAGUE ISLAND, MD	900	900
CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY, MD & VA	5,000	5,000
POPLAR ISLAND, MD	15,100	15,100
MASSACHUSETTS		
MUDDY RIVER, MA	1,798	1,798
MISSOURI		
KANSAS CITYS, MO & KS	1,600	1,600
MISSISSIPPI RIVER BETWEEN THE OHIO AND MISSOURI RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO & IL	50	50
MONARCH - CHESTERFIELD, MO	915	915
NEW JERSEY		
DELAWARE RIVER MAIN CHANNEL, NJ, PA & DE	35,000	35,000
RARITAN RIVER BASIN, GREEN BROOK SUB-BASIN, NJ	11,000	11,000
NEW YORK		
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ	22,000	22,000
оню		
BOLIVAR DAM, OH (DAM SAFETY)	12,300	10,300
CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH	5,730	
DOVER DAM, MUSKINGUM RIVER, OH (DAM SAFETY)	2,800	1,400
OKLAHOMA		
CANTON LAKE, OK	18,000	18,000
PINE CREEK LAKE, OK	16,333	16,333
OREGON		
COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA	1,000	1,000
LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR & WA	1,400	1,400



	BUDGET REQUEST	FINAL BILL
PENNSYLVANIA		
EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA	64,800	23,573
LOCKS AND DAMS 2, 3 AND 4, MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	9,032	9,032
WYOMING VALLEY, PA (LEVEE RAISING)	1,000	1,000
PUERTO RICO		
RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR	3,000	3,000
SOUTH CAROLINA		
CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	1,572	1,200
TENNESSEE		
CENTER HILL LAKE, TN	53,400	36,000
TEXAS		
BRAYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX	1,800	1,800
BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, TX	18,993	18,993
GIWW, CHOCOLATE BAYOU, TX	4,672	4,672
LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN (WHARTON/ONION), TX	3,625	3,625
TEXAS CITY CHANNEL (50-FOOT PROJECT), TX	4,825	4,825
VIRGINIA		
ROANOKE RIVER UPPER BASIN, HEADWATERS AREA, VA	300	50
WASHINGTON		
COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID		71,000
COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID	69,000	
COLUMBIA RIVER ACCORDS, PACIFIC LAMPREY PASSAGE, WA	2,000	
DUWAMISH AND GREEN RIVER BASIN, WA	2,160	
WEST VIRGINIA		
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV	22,000	21,200
WISCONSIN		
GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI	127	
		·
SUBTOTAL, PROJECTS LISTED UNDER STATES	1,061,140	959,734



(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)		
	BUDGET REQUEST	FINAL BILL
REMAINING ITEMS		
ADDITIONAL FUNDING		
FLOOD AND STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION		141,845
FLOOD CONTROL		95,000
SHORE PROTECTION		45,000
NAVIGATION		95,000
INLAND WATERWAYS TRUST FUND PROJECTS		112,000
OTHER AUTHORIZED PROJECT PURPOSES		25,000
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION OR COMPLIANCE		13,000
ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE		50,000
HYDROPOWER PROJECTS		6,200
AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL PROGRAM		4,000
CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAM		
AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION (SECTION 206)	3,000	8,000
BENEFICIAL USES DREDGED MATERIAL (SECTION 204)	2,000	3,500
EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE PROTECTION (SECTION 14)		4,500
FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 205)	2,000	10,000
MITIGATION OF SHORE DAMAGES (SECTION 111)		650
NAVIGATION PROGRAM (SECTION 107)		2,350
PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT		
(SECTION 1135)	3,000	6,600
SHORE PROTECTION (SECTION 103)		1,250
DAM SAFETY AND SEEPAGE/STABILITY CORRECTION PROGRAM	34,000	34,000
EMPLOYEES' COMPENSATION	19,000	19,000
INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - BOARD EXPENSE	60	60
INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - CORPS EXPENSE	800	800
RESTORATION OF ABANDONED MINES		2,000
SUBTOTAL, REMAINING ITEMS	63,860	679,755
TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	1,125,000	1,639,489



Updated Capability.—The agreement adjusts some project-specific allocations downward from the budget request based on updated information regarding the amount of work that could be accomplished in fiscal year 2015.

Savannah Harbor Expansion, Georgia.—The budget request for this item that was proposed in the Investigations account has been moved to this account where it has been funded each year since it was designated a new construction start in fiscal year 2009. The Administration's persistence in treating this project as if it had not yet been approved as a new start is inexplicable, unjustifiable, and unnecessarily confusing. The Administration is reminded that the project's approval as a new start in fiscal year 2009 was agreed to by both branches of government involved in enacting laws – the Congress by passing the law and the President by signing it. As such, and to ensure that there is no doubt as to the status of the project, the Administration is directed to treat this project as an ongoing construction project for purposes of allocating additional fiscal year 2015 funding provided in this account and developing future budget requests. Once again, since the project already received a new construction start in fiscal year 2009, the Administration shall not use any funding in fiscal year 2015 or any fiscal year thereafter to evaluate whether to designate the project as a new start.

Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal Dispersal Barrier, Illinois.—The threat of the dispersal of aquatic nuisance species, including Asian carp, between the Great Lakes and the Mississippi River basins remains a serious concern. Funding is provided for the continued construction, operation, and maintenance of the electric barrier system. No funding is provided for construction of hydrologic separation measures. The issue of hydrologic separation would need to be fully analyzed by the Corps of Engineers and specifically authorized in law before funding could be used for such measures.

Melvin Price Lock and Dam, Illinois and Missouri.—The length of time it is taking the Corps to rectify the seepage problems that the impoundment of the navigation pool is causing to the Wood River Levee, as well as escalating cost estimates, is troublesome. The Corps has indicated intent to have its alternatives and cost estimates reviewed by an Independent External Peer Review at the appropriate time. The Corps is encouraged to ensure this review is completed, but also that it is conducted in a manner that will not lengthen an already long schedule.

Columbia River Fish Mitigation, Washington, Oregon and Idaho.—The agreement includes a single funding level for the Columbia River Fish Mitigation program as in previous years, rather than separate funding levels for Columbia River Fish Mitigation and Columbia River Accords, Pacific Lamprey Passage as in the budget request.

Additional Funding.—The Corps has ongoing, authorized construction projects that would cost tens of billions of dollars to complete, yet the Administration continues to request a mere fraction of the funding necessary to complete those projects. The agreement includes additional funds for projects and activities to enhance the Nation's economic growth and international competitiveness. The intent of these funds is for work that either was not included in the Administration's request or was inadequately

budgeted. The direction that follows shall be the only direction used for additional funding provided in this account.

A project shall be eligible for this funding if: (1) it has received funding, other than through a reprogramming, in at least one of the previous three fiscal years; (2) it was previously funded and could reach a significant milestone or produce significant outputs in fiscal year 2015; or (3) it is selected as one of the new starts allowed in accordance with this Act and the additional direction provided below. The first eligibility criterion above shall include eligibility to start to provide federal funding for construction work on any water resources project for which funds were made available in this account in fiscal year 2014, including funds made available for preconstruction engineering and design work.

None of these funds may be used for any item where funding was specifically denied, for projects in the Continuing Authorities Program, or to alter any existing cost-share requirements. A project may not be excluded on the basis of being "inconsistent with Administration policy." The Corps is reminded that these funds are in addition to the Administration's budget request. Administration budget request metrics shall not be a reason to disqualify a project from being funded.

Funding associated with each category may be allocated to any eligible project within that category; funding associated with each subcategory may be allocated only to eligible projects within that subcategory. The list of subcategories is not meant to be exhaustive. Of the additional funds provided in this account, the Corps shall allocate not less than \$12,450,000 to projects with riverfront development components. Of the additional funds provided in this account for flood and storm damage reduction and flood control, the Corps shall allocate not less than \$18,000,000 to additional nonstructural flood control projects.

The Corps retains complete control over project-specific allocation decisions, but shall consider giving priority to the following: the benefits of the funded work to the national economy; extent to which the work will enhance national, regional, or local economic development; number of jobs created directly by the funded activity; ability to obligate the funds allocated within the fiscal year, including consideration of the ability of the non-federal sponsor to provide any required cost-share; ability to complete the project, separable element, or project phase with the funds allocated; for flood and storm damage reduction projects (including authorized nonstructural measures and periodic beach renourishments), population, economic activity, or public infrastructure at risk, as appropriate; for flood and storm damage reduction projects (including authorized nonstructural measures and periodic beach renourishments), the severity of risk of flooding or the frequency with which an area has experienced flooding; for navigation projects, the number of jobs or level of economic activity to be supported by completion of the project, separable element, or project phase; for Inland Waterways Trust Fund projects, the economic impact on the local, regional, and national economy if the project is not funded, as well as discrete elements of work that can be completed within the funding provided in this line item; and for environmental infrastructure, projects with the greater economic impact, projects in rural communities, and projects in counties or parishes with high poverty rates. It is expected that all of the funds provided in this account will be allocated to specific programs,

projects, or activities. The focus of the allocation process should favor the obligation of funds for work in fiscal year 2015 rather than expenditures. With the significant backlog of work in the Corps' inventory, there is absolutely no reason for funds provided above the budget request to remain unallocated.

Not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, the Corps shall provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a work plan including the following information: (1) a detailed description of the ratings system(s) developed and used to evaluate projects within this account; (2) delineation of how these funds are to be allocated; (3) a summary of the work to be accomplished with each allocation; and (4) a list of all projects that were considered eligible for funding but did not receive funding, including an explanation of whether each project could have used funds in fiscal year 2015 and the specific reasons each project was considered as being less competitive for an allocation of funds.

*New Starts.*—The agreement includes up to four new project starts, including one each from the navigation, flood and storm damage reduction, and environmental restoration mission areas (a second navigation or flood and storm damage reduction new project start also may be selected). Each new start shall be funded from the appropriate additional funding line item. Consideration of the four shall not be limited to only those new starts proposed in the Administration's budget request. When considering new starts, only those that can execute a project cost sharing agreement not later than August 31, 2015, shall be chosen.

In addition to the priority factors used to allocate all additional funding provided, factors that should be considered for all new starts include: the cost-sharing sponsor's ability and willingness to promptly provide the cash contribution (if any) as well as required lands, easements, rights-of-way, relocations, and disposal areas; the technical and financial ability of the non-federal sponsor to implement the project without assistance from the Corps, including other sources of funding available for the project purpose; whether the project provides benefits from more than one benefit category; and the out-year budget impacts of the selected new starts.

To ensure that the new starts selected are affordable and will not unduly delay completion of any ongoing projects, the Secretary is required to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a realistic out-year budget scenario prior to issuing a work allowance for a new start. It is understood that specific budget decisions are made on an annual basis and that this scenario is neither a request for nor a guarantee of future funding for any project. Nonetheless, this scenario shall include an estimate of annual funding for each new start utilizing a realistic funding scenario through completion of the project, as well as the specific impacts of that estimated funding on the ability of the Corps to make continued progress on each previously funded construction project (including impacts to the optimum timeline and funding requirements of the ongoing projects) and on the ability to consider initiating new projects in the future. The scenario shall assume a Construction account funding level at the average of the past three budget requests.

The information submitted in response to this out-year funding scenario directive in fiscal year 2014 was unsatisfactory at best. Therefore, the Corps shall also provide a scenario showing average annual funding levels per new start selected and the number of years until project completion at that average annual funding level. In this scenario, the total average annual funding level for all selected new starts shall not exceed the funding level included in the fiscal year 2015 budget request for all project completions (\$37,163,798).

As all of these new starts are to be chosen by the Corps, it should be understood that all are considered of equal importance and the expectation is that future budget submissions will include appropriate funding for all new starts selected. The Corps may not change or substitute the new project starts selected once the work plan has been provided to the Committees. Any project for which the new start requirements are not met by the end of fiscal year 2015, or by the earlier date as specified, shall be treated as if the project had not been selected as a new start; such a project shall be required to compete again for new start funding in future years.

Aquatic Plant Control Program.—The agreement recommends funding for this program, which is the only nationwide research and development program to address invasive aquatic plants, and urges the Corps to support cost-shared aquatic plant management programs.

Continuing Authorities Program.—The various sections of the Continuing Authorities Program (CAP) provide a useful tool for the Corps to undertake small projects without the lengthy study and authorization process typical of most larger Corps projects. The agreement includes a total of \$36,850,000 spread over eight CAP sections, rather than \$10,000,000 spread over four CAP sections as proposed in the budget request. These funds should be expended for the purposes for which they were appropriated and should be executed as quickly as possible. Within the Continuing Authorities Program and to the extent already authorized by law, the Corps is encouraged to consider projects that enhance coastal and ocean ecosystem resiliency.

Continuing Authorities Program Direction.—Management of the Continuing Authorities Program should continue consistent with direction provided in previous fiscal years. The direction is restated here for convenience.

For each CAP section, available funds shall be allocated utilizing this sequence of steps until the funds are exhausted:

-capability-level funds for ongoing projects that have executed cost-sharing agreements for the applicable phase;

-capability-level funds for projects that are ready for execution of new cost-sharing agreements for the applicable phase and for which Corps headquarters authorizes execution of the agreements;

--funds, as permitted by Corps policies, for other projects previously funded for the applicable phase but not ready for execution of new cost-sharing agreements; and

-funds, as permitted by Corps policies, for projects not previously funded for the applicable phase.

Funds shall be allocated by headquarters to the appropriate Field Operating Agency (FOA) for projects requested by that FOA. If the FOA finds that the study/project for which funds were requested cannot go forward, the funds are to be returned to Corps headquarters to be reallocated based on the nationwide priority listing. In no case should the FOA retain these funds for use on a different project than the one for which the funds were requested without the explicit approval of the Corps' headquarters.

Within the step at which available funds are exhausted for each CAP section, funds shall be allocated to the projects in that section that rank high according to the following factors: high overall performance based on outputs; high percent fiscally complete; and high unobligated carry-in. Section 14 funds shall be allocated to the projects that address the most significant risks and adverse consequences, irrespective of phase or previous funding history.

The Corps shall continue the ongoing process for suspending and terminating inactive projects. Suspended projects shall not be reactivated or funded unless the sponsor reaffirms in writing its support for the project and establishes its willingness and capability to execute its project responsibilities.

In order to provide a mix of studies, design, and construction within each CAP section, the Corps is directed to divide the funding generally 80/20 between the Design and Implementation and the Feasibility phases within each authority. The Chief of Engineers shall provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act a report detailing how funds will be distributed to the individual items in the various CAP sections for the fiscal year. The Chief shall also provide an annual report at the end of each fiscal year detailing the progress made on the backlog of projects. The report should include the completions and terminations as well as progress of ongoing work.

The Corps may initiate new continuing authorities projects in all sections as funding allows. New projects may be initiated after an assessment is made that such projects can be funded over time based on historical averages of the appropriation for that section and after prior approval by the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

Dam Safety and Seepage/Stability Correction Program.—The Corps is expected to continue to execute all funding available under this line item in fiscal year 2015. It is expected that no unobligated funds will be carried into fiscal year 2016 unless there were no additional activities that could have been conducted in fiscal year 2015.

Great Lakes Fisheries and Ecosystem Restoration Program.—The Corps is encouraged to budget for this aquatic habitat restoration program in future budget submissions, as it is important to the overall Great Lakes Restoration effort.

*Restoration of Abandoned Mines.*—The Corps is directed, within existing authority, to work closely with federal land management agencies, Western States, and Tribes with abandoned non-coal mine sites to cost-effectively address the greatest number of those sites presenting threats to public health and safety.

#### MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

The agreement includes \$302,000,000 for Mississippi River and Tributaries.

The allocation for projects and activities within the Mississippi River and Tributaries account is shown in the following table:

Insert 16a-16b

# MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

#### (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
CONSTRUCTION		
BAYOU METO BASIN, AR	9,500	9,500
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	40,861	40,861
GRAND PRAIRIE REGION, AR	9,300	9,300
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	18,947	18,947
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	2,325	2,325
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	2,505	2,505
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE</b>		
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	65,739	65,739
HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR	33	33
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR	250	250
LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, NORTH BANK, AR	294	294
LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, SOUTH BANK, AR	198	198
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	8,890	8,890
ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO	5,900	5,900
TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA	2,485	2,485
WHITE RIVER BACKWATER, AR	1,340	1,340
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	170	170
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	100	100
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	1,843	1,843
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	13,117	13,117
BATON ROUGE HARBOR, DEVIL SWAMP, LA	51	51
BAYOU COCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA	48	48
BONNET CARRE, LA	2,214	2,214
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA	1,399	1,399
LOWER RED RIVER, SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA	498	498
MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA	532	532
OLD RIVER, LA	8,388	8,388
TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA	3,262	3,262
GREENVILLE HARBOR, MS	24	24
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS	130	130
VICKSBURG HARBOR, MS	42	42
YAZOO BASIN, ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS	5,494	5,494
YAZOO BASIN, BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS	185	185
YAZOO BASIN, ENID LAKE, MS	4,898	4,898
YAZOO BASIN, GREENWOOD, MS	807	807
YAZOO BASIN, GRENADA LAKE, MS	5,705	5,705
YAZOO BASIN, MAIN STEM, MS	1,344	1,344
YAZOO BASIN, SARDIS LAKE, MS	6,629	6,629
YAZOO BASIN, TRIBUTARIES, MS	967	967

ø C

# MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

	BUDGET REQUEST	FINAL
		BILL
YAZOO BASIN, WILL M WHITTINGTON AUX CHAN, MS	384	384
YAZOO BASIN, YAZOO BACKWATER AREA, MS	544	544
YAZOO BASIN, YAZOO CITY, MS	731	731
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO	200	200
WAPPAPELLO LAKE, MO	4,296	4,296
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN	80	80
MEMPHIS HARBOR, MCKELLAR LAKE, TN	1,642	1,642
SUBTOTAL, PROJECTS LISTED UNDER STATES	234,291	234,291
REMAINING ITEMS		
ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR ONGOING WORK		
DREDGING		6,400
FLOOD CONTROL		29,600
OTHER AUTHORIZED PROJECT PURPOSES		21,000
COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA (INVESTIGATIONS)	9,646	9,646
MAPPING (MAINTENANCE)	1,063	1,063
SUBTOTAL, REMAINING ITEMS	10,709	67,709
TOTAL	245,000	302,000



Additional Funding for Ongoing Work.—The fiscal year 2015 budget request reflects neither the need nor the importance of the Mississippi River and Tributaries Project. Therefore, the agreement includes additional funds to continue ongoing studies, projects, and maintenance activities. These funds should be used for flood control, navigation, water supply, ground water protection, waterfowl management, bank stabilization, erosion and sedimentation control, and environmental restoration work. The intent of these funds is for ongoing work primarily along the Mississippi River tributaries that either was not included in the Administration's request or was inadequately budgeted. The direction that follows shall be the only direction used for additional funding provided in this account.

A project shall be eligible for this funding if: (1) it has received funding, other than through a reprogramming, in at least one of the previous three fiscal years; or (2) it was previously funded and could reach a significant milestone or produce significant outputs in fiscal year 2015. None of these funds may be used to start new studies, projects, or activities or for any item where funding was specifically denied. While this additional funding is shown under remaining items, the Corps should utilize these funds in any applicable phase of work. A study or project may not be excluded on the basis of being "inconsistent with Administration policy." The Corps is reminded that these funds are in addition to the Administration's budget request. Administration budget request metrics shall not be a reason to disqualify a study or project from being funded.

The Corps retains complete control over project-specific allocation decisions, but shall consider giving priority to completing or accelerating ongoing work that will enhance the Nation's economic development, job growth, and international competitiveness, or are for studies or projects located in areas that have suffered recent natural disasters. It is expected that all of the funds provided in this account will be allocated to specific programs, projects, or activities. The focus of the allocation process should favor the obligation of funds for work in fiscal year 2015 rather than expenditures. With the significant backlog of work in the Corps' inventory, there is absolutely no reason for funds provided above the budget request to remain unallocated.

Not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, the Corps shall provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a work plan including the following information: (1) a detailed description of the ratings system(s) developed and used to evaluate studies and projects; (2) delineation of how these funds are to be allocated; (3) a summary of the work to be accomplished with each allocation, including phase of work; and (4) a list of all studies and projects that were considered eligible for funding but did not receive funding, including an explanation of whether each study or project could have used funds in fiscal year 2015 and the specific reasons each study or project was considered as being less competitive for an allocation of funds.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The agreement includes \$2,908,511,000 for Operation and Maintenance.

Not less than 180 days or as soon as practicable prior to any non-emergency scheduled Operation and Maintenance project navigation closure or outage, the Corps shall provide to the Inland Waterways Users Board, the Committees on Appropriations and Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives, and the Committees on Appropriations and Environment and Public Works of the Senate written notice of the location, approximate schedule, and expected impacts of the closure or outage.

The allocation for projects and activities within the Operation and Maintenance account is shown in the following table:



#### CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)		
	BUDGET	
	REQUEST	BILL
ALABAMA		
ALABAMA - COOSA COMPREHENSIVE WATER STUDY, AL	189	189
ALABAMA RIVER LAKES, AL	13,443	13,443
BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL	21,661	21,661
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, AL	5,493	5,493
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AL	50	50
MOBILE HARBOR, AL	26,633	26,633
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AL	148	148
TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY WILDLIFE MITIGATION, AL & MS	1,700	1,700
TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS	24,191	24,191
WALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL & GA	8,101	8,101
WATER/ENVIRONMENTAL CERTIFICATION, AL	30	30
ALASKA		
ANCHORAGE HARBOR, AK	11,001	11,001
CHENA RIVER LAKES, AK	3,555	3,555
COOK INLET SHOALS, AK	2,616	816
DILLINGHAM HARBOR, AK	1,140	540
HOMER HARBOR, AK	520	410
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AK	167	167
LOWELL CREEK TUNNELL (SEWARD) AK	300	300
NINILCHIK HARBOR, AK	319	269
NOME HARBOR, AK	<b>1,45</b> 1	1,451
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AK	921	921
ARIZONA		
ALAMO LAKE, AZ	1,859	1,859
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AZ	105	105
PAINTED ROCK DAM, AZ	1,280	1,280
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AZ	48	48
WHITLOW RANCH DAM, AZ	405	405
ARKANSAS		
	8,000	8,000
BLAKELY MT DAM, LAKE OUACHITA, AR	7,558	7,558
BLUE MOUNTAIN LAKE, AR	1,927	1,927
BULL SHOALS LAKE, AR	7,523	7,523
DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM, AR	9,162	9,162
DEGRAY LAKE, AR	5,652	5,652
DEQUEEN LAKE, AR	1,912	1,912



#### CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)		
	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
DIERKS LAKE, AR	1,631	1,631
GILLHAM LAKE, AR	1,509	1,509
GREERS FERRY LAKE, AR	7,272	7,272
HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR	16	16
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR	539	539
MCCLELLAN-KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR	27,553	27,553
MILLWOOD LAKE, AR	2,691	2,691
NARROWS DAM, LAKE GREESON, AR	5,639	5,639
NIMROD LAKE, AR	2,163	2,163
NORFORK LAKE, AR	6,137	6,137
OSCEOLA HARBOR, AR	15	15
OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA	9,234	9,234
OZARK - JETA TAYLOR LOCK AND DAM, AR	6,376	6,376
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AR	3	3
WHITE RIVER, AR	31	31
YELLOW BEND PORT, AR		31
fellow bend fort, Ar	3	3
CALIFORNIA		
BLACK BUTTE LAKE, CA	2,233	2,233
BUCHANAN DAM, HV EASTMAN LAKE, CA	1,976	1,976
CHANNEL ISLANDS HARBOR, CA	5,249	5,249
COYOTE VALLEY DAM, LAKE MENDOCINO, CA	3,106	3,106
DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE AND CHANNEL, CA	5,085	5,085
FARMINGTON DAM, CA	558	558
HIDDEN DAM, HENSLEY LAKE, CA	2,059	2,059
HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA	1,800	1,800
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, CA	10	10
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CA	4,329	4,329
ISABELLA LAKE, CA	1,560	1,560
LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARBORS, CA	7,740	7,740
LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA	5,884	5,884
MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA	394	394
MOJAVE RIVER DAM, CA	383	383
MORRO BAY HARBOR, CA	2,060	2,060
NEW HOGAN LAKE, CA		-
NEW MELONES LAKE, DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL, CA	2,639	2,639
OAKLAND HARBOR, CA	2,255	2,255
OCEANSIDE HARBOR, CA	21,970	21,970
	1,700	1,700
PINE FLAT LAKE, CA	3,259	3,259
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CA	1,647	1,647
REDWOOD CITY HARBOR, CA	1,900	1,900
RICHMOND HARBOR, CA	7,900	7,900
SACRAMENTO RIVER (30 FOOT PROJECT), CA	1,300	1,300
SACRAMENTO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES (DEBRIS CONTROL), CA	1,394	1,394



#### CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

(/ #//00/110/01/11/00/11/00/	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
SACRAMENTO RIVER SHALLOW DRAFT CHANNEL, CA	200	200
SACRAMENTO RIVER SHALLOW DISA'T CHARMEL, CA	1,187	1,187
SAN FRANCISCO BAY LONG TERM MANAGEMENT STRATEGY, CA	275	275
SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR AND BAY, CA (DRIFT REMOVAL)	3,360	3,360
SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CA	1,900	1,900
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, PORT OF STOCKTON, CA	4,952	4,952
SAN PABLO BAY AND MARE ISLAND STRAIT, CA	2,400	2,400
SANTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA	3,942	3,942
SANTA BARBARA HARBOR, CA	2,380	2,380
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CA	1,538	1,538
SUCCESS LAKE, CA	2,272	2,272
SUISUN BAY CHANNEL, CA	2,272	2,272
TERMINUS DAM, LAKE KAWEAH, CA (DAM SAFETY)	2,143	2,400
VENTURA HARBOR, CA	3,354	3,354
YUBA RIVER, CA	3,178	3,334 1,438
	5,178	1,430
COLORADO		
BEAR CREEK LAKE, CO	696	696
CHATFIELD LAKE, CO	1,475	1,475
CHERRY CREEK LAKE, CO	1,036	1,036
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, CO	10	10
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CO	441	441
JOHN MARTIN RESERVOIR, CO	3,057	3,057
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CO	646	646
TRINIDAD LAKE, CO	1,762	1,762
CONNECTICUT		
BLACK ROCK LAKE, CT	548	548
COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE, CT	675	675
HANCOCK BROOK LAKE, CT	431	431
HOP BROOK LAKE, CT	1,158	1,158
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, CT	15	15
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CT	334	334
LONG ISLAND SOUND DMMP, CT	329	
MANSFIELD HOLLOW LAKE, CT	771	771
NORTHFIELD BROOK LAKE, CT	476	476
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CT	850	850
STAMFORD HURRICANE BARRIER, CT	1,066	1,066
THOMASTON DAM, CT	820	820
WEST THOMPSON LAKE, CT	647	647

(190)
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)		
	BUDGET	FINAL
DELAWARE	REQUEST	BILL
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, DE	40	40
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE RIVER TO CHESAPEAKE BAY, DE & MD	22,355	22,355
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, DE	200	200
WILMINGTON HARBOR, DE	3,690	3,690
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, DC	125	125
POTOMAC AND ANACOSTIA RIVERS, DC (DRIFT REMOVAL)	875	875
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, DC	25	25
WASHINGTON HARBOR, DC	25	25
FLORIDA		
CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL	6,505	6,505
CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL	15,112	15,112
ESCAMBIA AND CONECUH RIVERS, FL & AL	130	130
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, FL	1,300	1,300
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL	600	600
JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL	6,450	6,450
JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM, LAKE SEMINOLE, FL, AL & GA	7,615	7,615
MANATEE HARBOR, FL	2,645	2,645
MIAMI HARBOR, FL	100	
OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FL	2,159	2,15 <del>9</del>
PALM BEACH HARBOR, FL	3,300	3,300
PENSACOLA HARBOR, FL	2,084	2,084
PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL	500	500
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, FL	1,306	1,306
REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, FL	3,200 33	3,200
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, FL	9,031	33 4,477
SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL TAMPA HARBOR, FL	10,000	10,000
WATER / ENVIRONMENTAL CERTIFICATION, FL	10,000	10,000
GEORGIA		
ALLATOONA LAKE, GA	7,927	7,927
APALACHICOLA, CHATTAHOOCHEE AND FLINT RIVERS, GA, AL & FL	2,541	2,541
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, GA	176	176
BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA	3,862	3,862
BUFORD DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA	9,547	9,547
CARTERS DAM AND LAKE, GA	8,593	8,593
HARTWELL LAKE, GA & SC	11,052	11,052

192

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)		
	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, GA	10	10
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, GA	277	277
J STROM THURMOND LAKE, GA & SC	13,477	13,477
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, GA	125	125
RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC	8,759	8,759
SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA	16,420	16,420
SAVANNAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA, GA	109	109
WEST POINT DAM AND LAKE, GA & AL	7,823	7,823
HAWAII		
BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI	1,412	1,412
HILO HARBOR, HI	1,900	1,900
HONOLULU HARBOR, HI	2,200	2,200
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, HI	677	677
KAHULUI HARBOR, HI	2,200	2,200
NAWILIWILI HARBOR, HI	1,500	1,500
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, HI	861	861
IDAHO		
ALBENI FALLS DAM, ID	1,160	1,160
DWORSHAK DAM AND RESERVOIR, ID	2,732	2,732
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ID	355	355
LUCKY PEAK LAKE, ID	2,618	2,618
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ID	578	578
ILLINOIS		
CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN	2,523	2,523
CARLYLE LAKE, IL	5,680	5,680
CHICAGO HARBOR, IL	2,675	2,675
CHICAGO RIVER, IL	560	560
FARM CREEK RESERVOIRS, IL	370	370
ILLINOIS WATERWAY (MVR PORTION), IL & IN	39,389	39,389
ILLINOIS WATERWAY (MVS PORTION), IL & IN	1,826	1,826
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, IL	50	50
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	2,347	2,347
KASKASKIA RIVER NAVIGATION, IL	1,988	1,988
LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL	775	775
LAKE SHELBYVILLE, IL	5,658	5,658
MISSISSIPPI RIVER BETWEEN MISSOURI RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVR PORTION), IL	52,900	52,900
MISSISSIPPI RIVER BETWEEN MISSOURI RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVS PORTION), IL	25,624	25,624
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IL	106	106

19e

	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
REND LAKE, IL	6,072	6,072
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IL	702	702
INDIANA		
BROOKVILLE LAKE, IN	1,370	1,370
BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN	1,189	1,189
CAGLES MILL LAKE, IN	1,127	1,127
CECIL M HARDEN LAKE, IN	1,392	1,392
INDIANA HARBOR, IN	13,814	13,814
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IN	967	967
J EDWARD ROUSH LAKE, IN	1,142	1,142
MISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN	1,279	1,279
MONROE LAKE, IN	1,395	1,395
PATOKA LAKE, IN	1,168	1,168
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IN	185	185
SALAMONIE LAKE, IN	1,129	1,129
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IN	139	139
IOWA		

CORALVILLE LAKE, IA 4,084	4,084
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IA 695	695
MISSOURI RIVER - SIOUX CITY TO THE MOUTH, IA, KS, MO & NE 10,624	10,624
MISSOURI RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE RECOVERY, IA, KS, MO, MT, NE, ND & SD 7,700	7,700
RATHBUN LAKE, IA 3,313	3,313
RED ROCK DAM AND LAKE RED ROCK, IA 4,576	4,576
SAYLORVILLE LAKE, IA 6,266	6,266

KANSAS

CLINTON LAKE, KS	2,5 <b>44</b>	2,544
COUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS	1,765	1,765
EL DORADO LAKE, KS	950	950
ELK CITY LAKE, KS	1,083	1,083
FALL RIVER LAKE, KS	1,064	1,064
HILLSDALE LAKE, KS	970	970
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KS	1,004	1,004
JOHN REDMOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KS	1,873	1,873
KANOPOLIS LAKE, KS	1,828	1,828
MARION LAKE, KS	1,997	1,997
MELVERN LAKE, KS	2,660	2,660
MILFORD LAKE, KS	2,174	2,174
PEARSON - SKUBITZ BIG HILL LAKE, KS	3,653	3,653
PERRY LAKE, KS	2,394	2,394

	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
POMONA LAKE, KS	2,155	2,155
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, KS	312	312
TORONTO LAKE, KS	715	715
TUTTLE CREEK LAKE, KS	2,258	2,258
WILSON LAKE, KS	2,014	2,014
KENTUCKY		
BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN	9,933	9,933
BARREN RIVER LAKE, KY	2,578	2,578
BIG SANDY HARBOR, KY	1,885	1,885
BUCKHORN LAKE, KY	1,644	1,644
CARR CREEK LAKE, KY	1,873	1,873
CAVE RUN LAKE, KY	1,048	1,048
DEWEY LAKE, KY	1,763	1,763
ELVIS STAHR (HICKMAN) HARBOR, KY	15	15
FALLS OF THE OHIO NATIONAL WILDLIFE, KY & IN	19	19
FISHTRAP LAKE, KY	2,079	2,079
GRAYSON LAKE, KY	1,467	1,467
GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS, KY	2,085	2,085
GREEN RIVER LAKE, KY	2,452	2,452
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	1,028	1,028
KENTUCKY RIVER, KY	10	10
LAUREL RIVER LAKE, KY	2,587	2,587
MARTINS FORK LAKE, KY	1,048	1,048
MIDDLESBORO CUMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY	257	257
NOLIN LAKE, KY	2,596	2,596
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KY, IL, IN & OH	42,856	42,856
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY, IL, IN, OH, PA & WV	5,200	5,200
PAINTSVILLE LAKE, KY	1,237	1,237
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, KY	2	2
ROUGH RIVER LAKE, KY	2,660	2,660
TAYLORSVILLE LAKE, KY	1,170	1,170
WOLF CREEK DAM, LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY	8,587	8,587
YATESVILLE LAKE, KY	1,175	1,175
LOUISIANA		
ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF & BLACK, LA	7,759	7,759
BARATARIA BAY WATERWAY, LA	131	131
BAYOU BODCAU RESERVOIR, LA	1,277	1,277
BAYOU LAFOURCHE AND LAFOURCHE JUMP WATERWAY, LA	1,119	1,119
BAYOU PIERRE, LA	23	23

BATOO BATOORENE AND BATOORENE JOHN WATERWAT, EA		1,113
BAYOU PIERRE, LA	23	23
BAYOU SEGNETTE WATERWAY, LA	25	25
BAYOU TECHE AND VERMILION RIVER, LA	15	15

Ϋg

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)		
	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
BAYOU TECHE, LA	156	156
CADDO LAKE, LA	204	204
CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA	11,721	11,721
FRESHWATER BAYOU, LA	1,789	1,789
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, LA	20,837	20,837
HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL, LA	1,652	1,652
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA	1,044	1,044
J BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA	8,260	8,260
LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR, LA	14	14
MADISON PARISH PORT, LA	4	4
MERMENTAU RIVER, LA	2,471	2,471
MISSISSIPPI RIVER OUTLETS AT VENICE, LA	1,985	1,985
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LA	85,341	85,341
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, LA	59	59
REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, LA	200	200
WALLACE LAKE, LA	217	217
WATERWAY FROM EMPIRE TO THE GULF, LA	16	16
WATERWAY FROM INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY TO BAYOU DULAC, LA	36	36
MAINE		
	1.050	1 050
DISPOSAL AREA MONITORING, ME	1,050 15	1,050 15
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, ME	13	
		127
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, ME	1,100	1,100
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ME	25	25
MARYLAND		
BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (50 FOOT), MD	23,725	23,725
BALTIMORE HARBOR, MD (DRIFT REMOVAL)	325	325
CUMBERLAND, MD AND RIDGELEY, WV	156	156
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MD	140	140
JENNINGS RANDOLPH LAKE, MD & WV	1,870	1,870
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MD	450	450
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MD	62	62
WICOMICO RIVER, MD	1,500	1,500
	_,_ 00	_,
MASSACHUSETTS		
BARRE FALLS DAM, MA	1,110	1,110
BIRCH HILL DAM, MA	851	851
BUFFUMVILLE LAKE, MA	752	752
CAPE COD CANAL, MA	15,574	15,574
CHARLES RIVER NATURAL VALLEY STORAGE AREA, MA	632	632
······································		

	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
CONANT BROOK LAKE, MA	265	265
EAST BRIMFIELD LAKE, MA	698	698
HODGES VILLAGE DAM, MA	702	702
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, MA	15	15
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MA	344	344
KNIGHTVILLE DAM, MA	589	589
LITTLEVILLE LAKE, MA	629	629
NEW BEDFORD FAIRHAVEN AND ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARRIER, MA	564	564
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MA	900	900
TULLY LAKE, MA	673	673
WEST HILL DAM, MA	642	642
WESTVILLE LAKE, MA	659	659

### MICHIGAN

CHANNELS IN LAKE ST CLAIR, MI	179	179
DETROIT RIVER, MI	5,969	5,969
GRAND HAVEN HARBOR, MI	522	522
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MI	219	219
KEWEENAW WATERWAY, MI	28	28
MARQUETTE HARBOR, MI	500	500
MONROE HARBOR, MI	1,000	1,000
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MI	710	710
SAGINAW RIVER, MI	3,001	3,001
SEBEWAING RIVER, MI	50	50
ST CLAIR RIVER, MI	1,561	1,561
ST MARYS RIVER, MI	39,860	39,860
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MI	2,733	2,733

## MINNESOTA

BIGSTONE LAKE - WHETSTONE RIVER, MN & SD	278	278
DULUTH - SUPERIOR HARBOR, MN & WI	5,600	5,600
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MN	461	461
LAC QUI PARLE LAKES, MINNESOTA RIVER, MN	657	657
MINNESOTA RIVER, MN	259	259
MISSISSIPPI RIVER BETWEEN MISSOURI RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVP PORTION), MN	54,472	54,472
ORWELL LAKE, MN	555	555
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MN	88	88
RED LAKE RESERVOIR, MN	176	176
RESERVOIRS AT HEADWATERS OF MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN	3,612	3,612
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MN	483	483

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)		
	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
MISSISSIPPI		
BILOXI HARBOR, MS	2,211	2,211
CLAIBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS	1	1
EAST FORK, TOMBIGBEE RIVER, MS	285	285
GULFPORT HARBOR, MS	5,050	5,050
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS	116	116
MOUTH OF YAZOO RIVER, MS	34	34
OKATIBBEE LAKE, MS	1,818	1,818
PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	7,740	7,740
PEARL RIVER, MS & LA	150	150
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MS	152	152
ROSEDALE HARBOR, MS	9	9
WATER/ENVIRONMENTAL CERTIFICATION, MS	115	115
YAZOO RIVER, MS	21	21
MISSOURI		
CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR, MO	12	12
CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND MARK TWAIN LAKE, MO	7,187	7,187
CLEARWATER LAKE, MO	3,316	3,316
HARRY S TRUMAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, MO	9,311	9,311
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO	1,410	1,410
LITTLE BLUE RIVER LAKES, MO	916	916
LONG BRANCH LAKE, MO	930	930
MISSISSIPPI RIVER BETWEEN THE OHIO AND MISSOURI RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO & IL	27,146	27,146
NEW MADRID COUNTY HARBOR, MO	23	23
POMME DE TERRE LAKE, MO	2,461	2,461
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MO	3	3
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MO	112	112
SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO	1,473	1,473
SOUTHEAST MISSOURI PORT, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MO	1	1
STOCKTON LAKE, MO	4,675	4,675
TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO & AR	9,609	9,609
MONTANA		
FT PECK DAM AND LAKE, MT	6,098	6,098
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MT	185	185
	4.075	1.075
LIBBY DAM, MT	1,975	1,975

(AMOONTS IN THOUSANDS)		
	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
NEBRASKA		
GAVINS POINT DAM, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD	9,185	9,185
HARLAN COUNTY LAKE, NE	26,398	26,398
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NE	466	466
MISSOURI RIVER - KENSLERS BEND, NE TO SIOUX CITY, IA	79	79
PAPILLION CREEK, NE	863	863
SALT CREEKS AND TRIBUTARIES, NE	1,038	1,038
NEVADA		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NV	67	67
MARTIS CREEK LAKE, NV & CA	1,462	1,462
PINE AND MATHEWS CANYONS LAKES, NV	407	407
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
BLACKWATER DAM, NH	672	672
EDWARD MACDOWELL LAKE, NH	897	897
FRANKLIN FALLS DAM, NH	798	7 <del>9</del> 8
HOPKINTON - EVERETT LAKES, NH	1,370	1,370
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NH	<del>-</del> 84	84
OTTER BROOK LAKE, NH	878	878
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NH	250	250
SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH	714	714
NEW JERSEY		
BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	420	420
COLD SPRING INLET, NJ	375	375
DELAWARE RIVER AT CAMDEN, NJ	15	15
DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ, PA & DE	20,445	20,445
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, NJ	5	5
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NJ	355	355
MANASQUAN RIVER, NJ	370	370
NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ	260	260
NEWARK BAY, HACKENSACK AND PASSAIC RIVERS, NJ	300	300
PASSAIC RIVER FLOOD WARNING SYSTEMS, NJ	617	617
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NJ	1,844	1,844
RARITAN RIVER TO ARTHUR KILL CUT-OFF, NJ	100	100
RARITAN RIVER, NJ	40	40
SHARK RIVER, NJ	350	350



REQUEST         NEW MEXICO         ABIQUIU DAM, NM       2,794         COCHITI LAKE, NM       3,587         CONCHAS LAKE, NM       2,794         GALISTEO DAM, NM       2,794         GALISTEO DAM, NM       2,794         GALISTEO DAM, NM       2,794         GALISTEO DAM, NM       1,150         INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, NM       300         INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM       300         INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM       1,392         RIO GRANDE ENDANGERED SPECIES COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM, NM       2,492         SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM       1,594         SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM       330         TWO RIVERS DAM, NM       797         UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL STUDY, NM       1,289         NEW YORK	FINAL
ABIQUIU DAM, NM2,794COCHITI LAKE, NM3,587CONCHAS LAKE, NM2,794GALISTEO DAM, NM1,150INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, NM30INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM654JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM1,392RIO GRANDE ENDANGERED SPECIES COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM, NM2,492SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM1,594SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM330TWO RIVERS DAM, NM797UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL STUDY, NM1,289	r BILL
COCHITI LAKE, NM3,587CONCHAS LAKE, NM2,794GALISTEO DAM, NM1,150INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, NM30INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM654JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM1,392RIO GRANDE ENDANGERED SPECIES COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM, NM2,492SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM1,594SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM330TWO RIVERS DAM, NM797UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL STUDY, NM1,289	
CONCHAS LAKE, NM2,794GALISTEO DAM, NM1,150INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, NM30INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM654JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM1,392RIO GRANDE ENDANGERED SPECIES COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM, NM2,492SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM1,594SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM330TWO RIVERS DAM, NM797UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL STUDY, NM1,289	2,794
GALISTEO DAM, NM1,150INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, NM30INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM654JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM1,392RIO GRANDE ENDANGERED SPECIES COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM, NM2,492SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM1,594SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM330TWO RIVERS DAM, NM797UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL STUDY, NM1,289	3,587
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, NM30INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM654JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM1,392RIO GRANDE ENDANGERED SPECIES COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM, NM2,492SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM1,594SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM330TWO RIVERS DAM, NM797UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL STUDY, NM1,289	2,794
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM654JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM1,392RIO GRANDE ENDANGERED SPECIES COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM, NM2,492SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM1,594SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM330TWO RIVERS DAM, NM797UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL STUDY, NM1,289	) 1,150
JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM 1,392 RIO GRANDE ENDANGERED SPECIES COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM, NM 2,492 SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM 1,594 SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM 330 TWO RIVERS DAM, NM 797 UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL STUDY, NM 1,289	) 30
RIO GRANDE ENDANGERED SPECIES COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM, NM2,492SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM1,594SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM330TWO RIVERS DAM, NM797UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL STUDY, NM1,289	654
SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM1,594SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM330TWO RIVERS DAM, NM797UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL STUDY, NM1,289	1,392
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM330TWO RIVERS DAM, NM797UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL STUDY, NM1,289	2,492
TWO RIVERS DAM, NM797UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL STUDY, NM1,289	1,594
UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL STUDY, NM 1,289	) 330
	797
	1,289
ALMOND LAKE, NY 578	578
ARKPORT DAM, NY 502	502
BAY RIDGE AND RED HOOK CHANNELS, NY 4,050	)
BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, NY 1,686	5 <b>1,686</b>
BUFFALO HARBOR, NY 1,290	) 1,290
BUTTERMILK CHANNEL, NY 300	300
EAST RIVER, NY 250	) 250
EAST ROCKAWAY INLET, NY 220	220
EAST SIDNEY LAKE, NY 697	697
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY 100	) 100
FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY 50	) 50
GLEN COVE CREEK, NY 20	) 20
GREAT KILLS HARBOR, NY 30	) 30
HUDSON RIVER CHANNEL, NY 50	) 50
HUDSON RIVER, NY (MAINT) 5,200	) 5,200
HUDSON RIVER, NY (O & C) 2,500	2,500
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, NY 20	) 20
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NY 1,522	2 1,418
JAMAICA BAY, NY 220	220
MOUNT MORRIS DAM, NY 3,842	3,842
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY CHANNELS, NY 450	) 450
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ 100	) 100
NEW YORK HARBOR, NY 7,413	3 780
NEW YORK HARBOR, NY & NJ (DRIFT REMOVAL) 9,300	) 9,300
NEW YORK HARBOR, NY (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS) 1,049	
NEWTOWN CREEK, NY	
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NY 2,140	) 2,140
SHINNECOCK INLET, NY 60	) 60



	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
SOUTHERN NEW YORK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS, NY	786	786
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, NY	610	610
WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY	905	905
NORTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NC	2,600	2,600
B EVERETT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE, NC	1,856	1,856
CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC	483	483
FALLS LAKE, NC	1,909	1,909
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NC	264	264
MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC	800	800
MASONBORO INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC	50	50
MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, NC	4,855	4,855
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NC	700	700
ROLLINSON CHANNEL, NC	550	550
SILVER LAKE HARBOR, NC	300	300
W KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC	3,293	3,293
WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	14,127	14,127
NORTH DAKOTA		
BOWMAN HALEY, ND	302	302
GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWEA, ND	12,703	12,703
HOMME LAKE, ND	351	351
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ND	339	339
LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND	1,290	1,290
PIPESTEM LAKE, ND	1,076	776
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ND	106	106

SOURIS RIVER, ND SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ND

OHIO

ALUM CREEK LAKE, OH	1,483	1,483
ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH	2,280	2,280
BERLIN LAKE, OH	2,091	2,091
CAESAR CREEK LAKE, OH	1,967	1,967
CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH	1,494	1,494
CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH	7,634	2,230
DEER CREEK LAKE, OH	1,553	1,553
DELAWARE LAKE, OH	2,259	2,259
DILLON LAKE, OH	1,387	1,387
FAIRPORT HARBOR, OH	1,215	1,215
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OH	65 <del>9</del>	65 <del>9</del>

366

32

366



(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)		
	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	51	51
MICHAEL J KIRWAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH	985	985
MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, OH	906	906
MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH	8,514	8,514
NORTH BRANCH KOKOSING RIVER LAKE, OH	298	298
OHIO-MISSISSIPPI FLOOD CONTROL, OH	1,763	1,763
PAINT CREEK LAKE, OH	1,576	1 <b>,576</b>
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OH	305	305
ROSEVILLE LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH	35	35
SANDUSKY HARBOR, OH	1,600	1,600
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OH	255	255
TOLEDO HARBOR, OH	6,143	4,783
TOM JENKINS DAM, OH	948	948
WEST FORK OF MILL CREEK LAKE, OH	1,217	1,217
WILLIAM H HARSHA LAKE, OH	1,429	1,429
OKLAHOMA		
ARCADIA LAKE, OK	409	409
BIRCH LAKE, OK	778	778
BROKEN BOW LAKE, OK	3,275	3,275
CANTON LAKE, OK	2,199	2,199
COPAN LAKE, OK	4,542	4,542
EUFAULA LAKE, OK	5,761	5,761
FORT GIBSON LAKE, OK	6,066	6,066
FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK	896	896
GREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE, OK	340	340
HEYBURN LAKE, OK	673	673
HUGO LAKE, OK	1,828	1,828
HULAH LAKE, OK	734	734
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OK	141	141
KAW LAKE, OK	2,244	2,244
KEYSTONE LAKE, OK	5,435	5,435
MCCLELLAN-KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, OK	5,355	5,355
OOLOGAH LAKE, OK	2,580	2,580
OPTIMA LAKE, OK	27	27
PENSACOLA RESERVOIR, LAKE OF THE CHEROKEES, OK	138	138
PINE CREEK LAKE, OK	1,884	1,884
ROBERT S. KERR LOCK AND DAM AND RESERVOIR, OK	6,090	6,090
SARDIS LAKE, OK	1,039	1,039
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OK	1,100	1,100
SKIATOOK LAKE, OK	1,680	1,680
TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK	4,865	4,865
WAURIKA LAKE, OK	1,173	1,173
·	-,-·-	-, <b>-</b>

19**n** 

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)		
	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
WEBBERS FALLS LOCK AND DAM, OK	5,023	5,023
WISTER LAKE, OK	1,133	1,133
OREGON		
APPLEGATE LAKE, OR	972	972
BLUE RIVER LAKE, OR	5,770	5,770
BONNEVILLE LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	7,493	7,493
CHETCO RIVER, OR	26	26
COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA	25,463	25,463
COOS BAY, OR	6,423	6,423
COQUILLE RIVER, OR	26	26
COTTAGE GROVE LAKE, OR	1,315	1,315
COUGAR LAKE, OR	2,590	2,590
DEPOE BAY, OR	7	7
DETROIT LAKE, OR	1,227	1,227
DORENA LAKE, OR	1,249	1,249
ELK CREEK LAKE, OR	177	177
FALL CREEK LAKE, OR	6,052	6,052
FERN RIDGE LAKE, OR	1,736	1,736
GREEN PETER - FOSTER LAKES, OR	2,299	2,299
HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR	5,249	5,249
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OR	592	592
JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	5,234	5,234
LOOKOUT POINT LAKE, OR	1,729	1,729
LOST CREEK LAKE, OR	3,237	3,237
MCNARY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	7,569	7,569
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OR	365	365
ROGUE RIVER AT GOLD BEACH, OR	31	31
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OR	74	74
SIUSLAW RIVER, OR	32	32
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OR	2,806	2,806
UMPQUA RIVER, OR	59	59
WILLAMETTE RIVER AT WILLAMETTE FALLS, OR	128	128
WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR	244	244
WILLOW CREEK LAKE, OR	616	616
YAQUINA BAY AND HARBOR, OR	3,252	3,252

### PENNSYLVANIA

ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA	4,721	4,721
ALVIN R BUSH DAM, PA	607	607
AYLESWORTH CREEK LAKE, PA	279	279
BELTZVILLE LAKE, PA	1,835	1,835
BLUE MARSH LAKE, PA	2,670	2,670

	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
CONEMAUGH RIVER LAKE, PA	1,651	1,651
COWANESQUE LAKE, PA	1,860	1,860
CROOKED CREEK LAKE, PA	1,561	1,561
CURWENSVILLE LAKE, PA	889	889
DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ	5,410	5,410
EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA	1,259	1,25 <del>9</del>
FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAM, PA	1,256	1,256
FRANCIS E WALTER DAM, PA	916	916
GENERAL EDGAR JADWIN DAM AND RESERVOIR, PA	300	300
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, PA	5	5
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, PA	1,222	1,222
JOHNSTOWN, PA	65	65
KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA	1,234	1,234
LOYALHANNA LAKE, PA	1,898	1,662
MAHONING CREEK LAKE, PA	1,121	1,121
MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	22,621	21,162
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, PA, OH & WV	30,097	30,097
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, PA, OH & WV	700	700
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, PA	170	170
PROMPTON LAKE, PA	475	475
PUNXSUTAWNEY, PA	40	40
RAYSTOWN LAKE, PA	3,817	3,817
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, PA	45	45
SHENANGO RIVER LAKE, PA	1,805	1,805
STILLWATER LAKE, PA	537	537
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, PA	105	105
TIOGA - HAMMOND LAKES, PA	2,292	2,292
TIONESTA LAKE, PA	1,875	1,875
UNION CITY LAKE, PA	400	400
WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE, PA	957	957
YORK INDIAN ROCK DAM, PA	965	965
YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER LAKE, PA & MD	2,232	2,232
PUERTO RICO		
SAN JUAN HARBOR, PR	800	800
RHODE ISLAND		
FOX POINT BARRIER, NARRANGANSETT BAY, RI	3,956	3,956
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, RI	15	3,530 15
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, N	48	48
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, RI	350	40 350
WOONSOCKET, RI	1,088	1,088
	1,000	1,000

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)		
	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
SOUTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC	500	500
CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	13,149	13,149
COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	5,930	5,930
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SC	67	67
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, SC	875	875
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BIG BEND DAM, LAKE SHARPE, SD	10,409	10,409
COLD BROOK LAKE, SD	412	412
COTTONWOOD SPRINGS LAKE, SD	291	291
FORT RANDALL DAM, LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD	11,252	11,252
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SD	153	153
LAKE TRAVERSE, SD & MN	609	609
OAHE DAM, LAKE OAHE, SD & ND	12,256	12,256
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, SD	121	121
TENNESSEE		
CENTER HILL LAKE, TN	5,568	5,568
CHEATHAM LOCK AND DAM, TN	8,945	8,945
CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN	7,587	7,587
DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN	6,818	6,818
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN	<del>94</del>	94
J PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN	4,896	4,896
NORTHWEST TENNESSEE REGIONAL HARBOR, LAKE COUNTY, TN	10	10
OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TN	12,059	12,059
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TN	2	2
TENNESSEE RIVER, TN	24,864	24,864
WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN	239	239
TEXAS		
AQUILLA LAKE, TX	1,397	1,397
ARKANSAS - RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL - AREA VIII, TX	1,827	1,827
BARDWELL LAKE, TX	1,966	1,966
BELTON LAKE, TX	3,164	3,164
BENBROOK LAKE, TX	2,242	2,242
BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, TX	6,300	6,300
BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, TX	2,655	2,655
CANYON LAKE, TX	2,677	2,677
CHANNEL TO PORT BOLIVAR, TX	200	200
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX	6,900	6,900

49

	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL 11,224
DENISON DAM, LAKE TEXOMA, TX ESTELLINE SPRINGS EXPERIMENTAL PROJECT, TX	11,224 40	40
FERRELLS BRIDGE DAM, LAKE O' THE PINES, TX FREEPORT HARBOR, TX	3,432 10,600	3,432 10,600
GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TX	8,900	8,900
	2,700	2,700
GIWW, CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX	2,002	2,700
GRANGER DAM AND LAKE, TX		
	2,476	2,476
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, TX	25,761	25,761
HORDS CREEK LAKE, TX	1,433	1,433
HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX	31,840	31,840
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TX	1,878	1,878
JIM CHAPMAN LAKE, TX	1,957	1,957
JOE POOL LAKE, TX	1,729	1,729
LAKE KEMP, TX	260	260
LAVON LAKE, TX	3,046	3,046
LEWISVILLE DAM, TX	4,339	4,339
MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX	8,000	8,000
NAVARRO MILLS LAKE, TX	2,621	2,621
NORTH SAN GABRIEL DAM AND LAKE GEORGETOWN, TX	2,242	2,242
O C FISHER DAM AND LAKE, TX	1,169	1,169
PAT MAYSE LAKE, TX	1,393	1,393
PROCTOR LAKE, TX	2,319	2,319
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TX	300	300
RAY ROBERTS LAKE, TX	2,097	2,097
SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX	11,500	11,500
SAM RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX	9,235	9,235
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, TX	278	278
SOMERVILLE LAKE, TX	2,893	2,893
STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM, TX	2,656	2,656
TEXAS CITY SHIP CHANNEL, TX	350	350
TOWN BLUFF DAM, B A STEINHAGEN LAKE, TX	4,975	4,975
WACO LAKE, TX	2,958	2,958
WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX	3,353	3,353
WHITNEY LAKE, TX	6,891	6,891
WRIGHT PATMAN DAM AND LAKE, TX	3,495	3,495

UTAH

INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, UT	40	40
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, UT	561	561

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)		
	BUDGET	FIN
	REQUEST	BI
VERMONT		
BALL MOUNTAIN, VT	1,044	1,04
NSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VT	643	4:
IARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT & NY	105	10
IORTH HARTLAND LAKE, VT	756	7:
NORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE, VT	1,569	1,50
rownshend lake, vt	. 849	8
JNION VILLAGE DAM, VT	694	69
VIRGINIA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - ACC, VA	2,390	2,39
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - DSC, VA	4,555	4,5
CHINCOTEAGUE INLET, VA	500	50
SATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA	2,081	2,0
HAMPTON ROADS, NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEWS HARBOR, VA (DRIFT REMOVAL)	1,540	1,54
HAMPTON ROADS, VA (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS)	104	10
NSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, VA	15	
NSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VA	335	3
AMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA	3,696	3,69
OHN H KERR LAKE, VA & NC	10,685	10,68
OHN W FLANNAGAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA	1,996	1,99
YNNHAVEN INLET, VA	200	20
NORFOLK HARBOR, VA	10,990	10,99
NORTH FORK OF POUND RIVER LAKE, VA	608	60
PHILPOTT LAKE, VA	6,442	6,44
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, VA	1,186	1,18
RUDEE INLET, VA	300	30
WATER AND ENVIRONMENTAL CERTIFICATIONS, VA	135	13
WASHINGTON		
CHIEF JOSEPH DAM, WA	589	58
COLUMBIA AND LOWER WILLAMETTE RIVERS BELOW VANCOUVER, WA & PORTLAND, OR	47,040	47,04
COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN VANCOUVER, WA AND THE DALLES, OR	1,199	1,1
COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID	4,115	4,1
EVERETT HARBOR AND SNOHOMISH RIVER, WA	1,192	1,1
GRAYS HARBOR, WA	10,256	10,2
HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA	3,520	3,5
CE HARBOR LOCK AND DAM, WA	4,989	4,9
NSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, WA	49	
NSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WA	840	8
AKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA	12,404	12,4
LITTLE GOOSE LOCK AND DAM, WA	2,576	2,5



(AMODIATS IN THOUSANDS)		
	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA	3,840	3,840
LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA	2,646	2,646
MILL CREEK LAKE, WA	2,913	2,913
MOUNT SAINT HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA	260	260
MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA	4,122	4,122
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WA	746	746
PUGET SOUND AND TRIBUTARY WATERS, WA	1,100	1,100
QUILLAYUTE RIVER, WA	1,470	200
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WA	381	381
SEATTLE HARBOR, WA	1,498	1,498
STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA	274	274
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WA	64	64
TACOMA, PUYALLUP RIVER, WA	159	159
THE DALLES LOCK AND DAM, WA & OR	4,911	4,911
WEST VIRGINIA		
BEECH FORK LAKE, WV	1,338	1,338
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV	2,304	2,304
BURNSVILLE LAKE, WV	2,505	2,505
EAST LYNN LAKE, WV	2,824	2,824
ELKINS, WV	57	57
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WV	438	438
KANAWHA RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV	9,035	9,035
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV, KY & OH	31,759	31,759
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, WV, KY & OH	2,895	2,545
R D BAILEY LAKE, WV	2,322	2,322
STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV	1,270	1,270
SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV	2,547	2,547
SUTTON LAKE, WV	2,519	2,519
TYGART LAKE, WV	1,305	1,305
WISCONSIN		
EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI	747	747
FOX RIVER, WI	2,972	2,972
GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI	2,881	2,881
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WI	-,	55
KEWAUNEE HARBOR, WI	10	10
MILWAUKEE HARBOR, WI	2,110	2,110
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WI	304	304



21

556

21

556

STURGEON BAY HARBOR AND LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL, WI

SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WI

	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
WYOMING		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, WY	10	10
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WY	67	67
JACKSON HOLE LEVEES, WY	2,007	2,007
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WY	90	90
SUBTOTAL, PROJECTS LISTED UNDER STATES	2,439,962	2,409,273
REMAINING ITEMS		
ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR ONGOING WORK		
NAVIGATION MAINTENANCE		45,000
DEEP-DRAFT HARBOR AND CHANNEL		165,000
INLAND WATERWAYS		42,000
SMALL, REMOTE, OR SUBSISTENCE NAVIGATION		42,500
OTHER AUTHORIZED PROJECT PURPOSES		35,000
AQUATIC NUISANCE CONTROL RESEARCH	675	675
ASSET MANAGEMENT/FACILITIES AND EQUIP MAINT (FEM)	3,250	3,250
BUDGET/MANAGEMENT SUPPORT FOR O&M BUSINESS PROGRAMS:		
STEWARDSHIP SUPPORT PROGRAM	1,000	1,000
PERFORMANCE-BASED BUDGETING SUPPORT PROGRAM	3,939	3,939
RECREATION MANAGEMENT SUPPORT PROGRAM	1,650	1,650
OPTIMIZATION TOOLS FOR NAVIGATION	322	322
COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM	2,700	2,700
COASTAL OCEAN DATA SYSTEM (CODS)	3,400	5,400
RESPONSE TO CLIMATE CHANGE AT CORPS PROJECTS	5,000	5,000
CULTURAL RESOURCES (NAGPRA/CURATION)	6,000	6,000
DREDGE MCFARLAND READY RESERVE	11,690	11,6 <del>9</del> 0
DREDGE WHEELER READY RESERVE	15,000	15,000
DREDGING DATA AND LOCK PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM	1,119	1,11 <del>9</del>
DREDGING OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (DOER)	6,450	6,450
DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT PROGRAM (DOTS)	2,820	2,820
EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS REDUCTION PROGRAM	270	270
FACILITY PROTECTION	3,500	3,500
FISH & WILDLIFE OPERATING FISH HATCHERY REIMBURSEMENT	4,700	4,700
GREAT LAKES TRIBUTARY MODEL	600	600
INLAND WATERWAY NAVIGATION CHARTS	3,000	3,000
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED FEDERAL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS	28,000	28,000
INTERAGENCY PERFORMANCE EVALUATION TASK FORCE/HURRICANE PROTECTION DECISION-	5,800	5,800
MONITORING OF COMPLETED NAVIGATION PROJECTS	2,300	8,000
NATIONAL (LEVEE) FLOOD INVENTORY	10,000	10,000
NATIONAL (MULTIPLE PROJECT) NATURAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	6,800	6,800
NATIONAL COASTAL MAPPING PROGRAM	6,072	6,072
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM (PORTFOLIO RISK ASSESSMENT)	10,000	10,000



	BUDGET	FINAL
	REQUEST	BILL
NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAM (NEPP)	4,500	4,500
NATIONAL PORTFOLIO ASSESSMENT FOR REALLOCATIONS	1,071	1,071
PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL SUPPORT	281	281
WATERBORNE COMMERCE STATISTICS	4,669	4,669
HARBOR MAINTENANCE FEE DATA COLLECTION	795	795
RECREATIONONESTOP (R1S) NATIONAL RECREATION RESERVATION SERVICE	65	65
REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	1,800	1,800
RELIABILITY MODELS PROGRAM FOR MAJOR REHAB.	300	300
WATER OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (WOTS)	500	2,500
SUBTOTAL, REMAINING ITEMS	160,038	499,238
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	2,600,000	2,908,511



Updated Capability.—The agreement adjusts some project-specific allocations downward from the budget request based on updated information regarding the amount of work that could be accomplished in fiscal year 2015.

Lowell Creek Tunnel, Alaska.—The Corps is encouraged to recognize in future budget submissions the current problems with the existing Lowell Creek Tunnel and the need for an alternative method of flood diversion for Lowell Canyon.

*Mud Mountain Dam, Washington.*—The Corps is encouraged to continue developing interim and long-term measures to maintain fish runs past Mud Mountain Dam, in accordance with existing legal responsibilities.

Great Lakes Navigation System.—The agreement includes funding for individual projects within this System that exceeds the funding level envisioned in section 210(d)(1)(B)(ii) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986.

Additional Funding for Ongoing Work.—The fiscal year 2015 budget request does not fund operation, maintenance, and rehabilitation of our Nation's aging infrastructure sufficiently to ensure continued competitiveness in a global marketplace. Federal navigation channels maintained at only a fraction of authorized dimensions and navigation locks and hydropower facilities well beyond their design life results in economic inefficiencies and risks infrastructure failure, which can cause substantial economic losses. Investing in operation, maintenance, and rehabilitation of infrastructure today will save taxpayers money in the future.

The agreement includes additional funds to continue ongoing projects and activities. The intent of these funds is for ongoing work that either was not included in the Administration's request or was inadequately budgeted. The direction that follows shall be the only direction used for additional funding provided in this account.

None of these funds may be used for any item where funding was specifically denied, to initiate new projects or programs, or to alter any existing cost-share requirements. Funding associated with each category may be allocated to any eligible project within that category; funding associated with each subcategory may be allocated only to eligible projects within that subcategory. The list of subcategories is not meant to be exhaustive.

The Corps retains complete discretion over project-specific allocation decisions, but shall consider giving priority to the following: ability to complete ongoing work maintaining authorized depths and widths of harbors and shipping channels, including where contaminated sediments are present; ability to address critical maintenance backlog; presence of the U.S. Coast Guard; extent to which the work will enhance national, regional, or local economic development, including domestic manufacturing capacity; extent to which the work will promote job growth or international competitiveness; number of jobs created directly by the funded activity; ability to obligate the funds allocated within the fiscal year; ability to complete the project, separable element, or project phase within the funds allocated; the risk of imminent failure or closure of the facility; and for harbor maintenance activities, total tonnage handled, total exports,

total imports, dollar value of cargo handled, energy infrastructure and national security needs served, lack of alternative means of freight movement, and savings over alternative means of freight movement. It is expected that all of the funds provided in this account will be allocated to specific programs, projects, or activities. The focus of the allocation process should favor the obligation of funds for work in fiscal year 2015 rather than expenditures. With the significant backlog of work in the Corps' inventory, there is absolutely no reason for funds provided above the budget request to remain unallocated.

Concerns persist that the Administration's criteria for navigation maintenance do not allow small, remote, or subsistence harbors and waterways to properly compete for scarce navigation maintenance funds. The Corps is urged to revise the criteria used for determining which navigation projects are funded in order to develop a reasonable and equitable allocation under this account. The criteria should include the economic impact that these projects provide to local and regional economies, in particular those with national defense or public health and safety importance.

Not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, the Corps shall provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a work plan including the following information: (1) a detailed description of the ratings system(s) developed and used to evaluate projects; (2) delineation of how these funds are to be allocated; (3) a summary of the work to be accomplished with each allocation; and (4) a list of all projects that were considered eligible for funding but did not receive funding, including an explanation of whether each project could have used funds in fiscal year 2015 and the specific reasons each project was considered as being less competitive for an allocation of funds.

Monitoring of Completed Navigation Projects.—The agreement includes additional funding for this line item to restore the funding level to that of previous fiscal years.

*Water Operations Technical Support.*—Funding in addition to the budget request is included for research into atmospheric rivers in an effort to develop and demonstrate better prediction capabilities and apply the science to improve reservoir operations to optimize multi-purpose project objectives and to meet stakeholder water needs.

*Movable Bridges at Navigation Projects.*—The Corps has responsibility for maintenance of movable bridges that are features of existing Corps navigation projects. Concerns exist that maintenance of these bridges may be deferred given constraints on civil works funding and the fact that bridge maintenance may have substantial benefits but not necessarily to the three civil works missions of commercial navigation, flood mitigation, and aquatic ecosystem restoration. It is unclear if the Corps has a clear idea of the bridges in its national inventory and the magnitude of the maintenance, rehabilitation, and replacement needs. The Corps is directed to provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act a report on movable bridges where the Corps has primary maintenance responsibility. The report should include the number of movable bridges in the Corps inventory, as well as for each movable bridge the following information:

-- the year built;

-- the average daily traffic count;

-- the feature for which the bridge serves as a crossing;

-- the bridge's sufficiency rating;

-- the bridge's current weight restriction, if any, due to maintenance issues;

-- whether the bridge serves as part of an evacuation route;

-- any notable impact on local traffic conditions caused by current state of maintenance, such as traffic bottlenecks or length of detour if the bridge is taken out of service;

-- the annual cost incurred by the Corps on maintenance over the past 10 years;

-- estimated replacement cost, if known; and

-- local municipality cost-share of maintenance or replacement either provided over the past 10 years or offered currently, if any.

Zebra and Quagga Mussels.—The Corps has completed, is working on, and intends to initiate additional invasive mussel vulnerability assessments at numerous federal dams in the Pacific Northwest. The Corps is encouraged to continue these efforts.

#### REGULATORY PROGRAM

The agreement includes \$200,000,000 for the Regulatory Program.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

The agreement includes \$101,500,000 for the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program.

#### FLOOD CONTROL AND COASTAL EMERGENCIES

The agreement includes \$28,000,000 for Flood Control and Coastal Emergencies.

#### EXPENSES

The agreement includes \$178,000,000 for Expenses.

*WRRDA 2014.*—The Water Resources Reform and Development Act (WRRDA) of 2014 was enacted on June 10, 2014. It provides significant changes in the Corps' project development process, authorizes at least \$16,000,000,000 in new projects and authorities, and directs the deauthorization of \$18,000,000,000 of previously authorized projects.

Many of these new authorities will require specific appropriations prior to implementation, but as most of the funding decisions for fiscal year 2015 were made in the absence of the WRRDA, very few of the provisions have been incorporated into this Act. It is anticipated that the provisions from this WRRDA will be integrated more fully into the fiscal year 2016 budget request.

Implementation guidance will be developed by the Corps in the coming months. The Corps is directed to provide the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate with

notification prior to obligating funds for any provision not requiring specific appropriations, as well as monthly updates on the status of implementation guidance documents in draft and final form, including implementation guidance for WRRDA section 5014 regarding a water infrastructure public-private partnership pilot program. Additionally, the Corps is directed to develop and submit to the Committees, in accordance with House Report 113-486, a detailed plan for how the Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act of 2014 provisions, if funded, would be implemented.

It should be noted that enactment of the WRRDA, while providing considerable opportunities for new water resources investments, does not make any additional funding available for water resources projects. Appropriations Acts remain tethered to the defense and non-defense spending caps specified in the Budget Control Acts.

#### OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY FOR CIVIL WORKS

The agreement includes \$3,000,000 for the Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works.

*Executive Management and Direction.*—There appears to have been a breakdown in the traditional roles and responsibilities between the White House, the Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works (ASA(CW)), and the Corps headquarters over the past 18 months. Predictably, this recent confusion and dysfunction has exacerbated problems with program execution and responsiveness to Congress. Some of the execution challenges appear to be related to an idea that increased "oversight" and "quality control" over the Corps' Civil Works program is necessary on the part of the ASA(CW). While the Administration retains the prerogative to determine the appropriate level of oversight between its political appointees and the career staff, changes to oversight and quality control should be expected to have a discernible positive impact on the quality of the Civil Works program executed, rather than the polar opposite. Beyond program execution, other problems recently have manifested themselves in budget submission documents, reports to Congress, reprogramming actions, and work plans required by appropriations Acts.

One of the most obvious and ongoing problems has been the delay in submitting the annual budget justifications. The Administration has this single opportunity to present its vision of the Corps of Engineers program, but continued delay in providing the details of the budget deprives Congress of adequate time to properly consider the proposals. Part of the delay seems to stem from "oversight" and "quality control" of the budget justification process. This oversight and quality control of a very few project justifications resulted in the entire budget justification being submitted to the Congress weeks after the budget was released. Unfortunately, there did not appear to be improvement in the Corps' budget justifications. In fact, errors that had not been present in previous years were introduced in the way data was presented to the Congress.

Nearly every year, the Congress requests reports from the Administration to assist in congressional oversight. The timeliness of the submission of these reports is critical if the Congress is to be

able to use the information to fulfill its oversight responsibilities. Unfortunately, multiple sequential reviews have led to requested reports and analyses being weeks, months, and even years late. In some cases, by the time the Congress receives the report, the data is out of date.

With a nationwide program where circumstances can change significantly during the fiscal year, reprogramming of funds is critical to program execution. The Congress provides legislative language to describe reprogramming limits available to the Corps and when those reprogramming actions must be submitted to the Congress for review. While the Administration appears to generally be fulfilling the intent of the law concerning these reprogramming actions, extensive sequential reviews have led to extraordinarily long times between the initiation and the execution of a reprogramming. In most cases these long delays to program execution are unnecessary.

With the end of congressionally directed spending after fiscal year 2011, the Congress transferred to the Administration the task of developing work plans to delineate how funding amounts provided in addition to the Administration's budget request are allocated among programs, projects, and activities. While the Congress provides some guidance for the allocation of funds through the reports that accompany the Acts, the Administration ultimately makes the decisions about which items to fund. Again, it appears that "oversight" and "quality control" by the Administration are contributing to challenges with timeliness of the work plans and are resulting in the decline in quality of the work plans. With the sequential review process, it appears the Administration is attempting to ensure that the projects in the work plans adhere to the vision that the Administration expressed in the budget submission rather than the guidance provided by the Congress. Once more, this extensive review process leads to delays in program execution.

The Congress reminds the Administration that once a bill is enacted into law, the Administration is expected to execute the program laid out in the appropriations Act in the most efficient and effective way possible. The Congress endeavors to ensure that funds provided in addition to the Administration request are executable by the Corps for items that were either underfunded in the Administration's request or were omitted from the Administration's request due to other Administration priorities or criteria. The Congress expects the Administration to develop plans that execute the maximum amount of funds possible in a given fiscal year. While constraints that may challenge the execution of funds are sometimes unavoidable, it is expected that in those instances funds would be obligated and carried over for expenditure in the subsequent fiscal year. Some unobligated carry-over of funds in a program the size and complexity of the Corps' is inevitable, but should be an option of last resort. With the backlog of ongoing work in the Corps' program, there should be multiple ways that the Administration can improve execution.

Currently the Corps of Engineers and the Bureau of Reclamation are combined for oversight and policy review with more science based activities, such as the Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of Energy's Science programs. As these infrastructure programs are quite different from science based programs, the Administration should consider a reorganization within the Office of Management and Budget that would align the infrastructure agencies – such as the Corps of Engineers, the

Bureau of Reclamation, and the Department of Transportation – under the same branch to provide more effective oversight and policy review of these similar programs.

The Administration needs to return its focus to executing the Civil Works program and not to addressing multiple conflicting agendas with program execution as an afterthought.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL (INCLUDING TRANSFER AND RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The agreement includes a provision relating to reprogramming.

The agreement includes a provision prohibiting the use of funds to carry out any contract that commits funds beyond the amounts appropriated for that program, project, or activity.

The agreement includes a provision concerning funding transfers related to fish hatcheries.

The agreement includes a provision regarding research and development on salmon survival.

The agreement includes a provision regarding the allocation of funds.

The agreement includes a provision relating to section 5018(a)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 regarding Missouri River Recovery.

The agreement includes a provision relating to the use of the Modified Charleston Method.

The agreement includes a provision relating to unobligated balances. The Corps of Engineers is directed to consider the status of the funds and the risk to project completion prior to rescinding funds from individual project balances. Funds shall not be rescinded from projects where such an action would endanger the completion of a project.

The agreement includes a provision prohibiting funds from being used to develop or implement changes to certain definitions for the purposes of the Clean Water Act during fiscal year 2015.

The agreement includes a provision regarding the Mobile Harbor limited reevaluation report.

The agreement includes a provision regarding section 404 of the Federal Water Pollution Control

Act.

The agreement includes a provision regarding an interpretative rule.

# TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

The agreement includes a total of \$9,874,000 for the Central Utah Project Completion Account, which includes \$7,574,000 for Central Utah Project construction, \$1,000,000 for transfer to the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account for use by the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission, and \$1,300,000 for necessary expenses of the Secretary of the Interior.

#### BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

Persistent Western Drought.—Extensive and exceptional drought continues to plague the Western United States. The U.S. Drought Monitor for December 2, 2014, shows that only two of the seventeen Reclamation states (Montana and Wyoming) are virtually drought free. All or significant portions of twelve Reclamation states are suffering from severe to exceptional drought with 55 percent of California suffering from persistent exceptional drought conditions.

Drought conditions are difficult to address at the time the drought is occurring, but there are some things that can be done to stretch available water supplies. The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) and the Department of the Interior are encouraged to use all of the flexibility and tools available to mitigate the impacts of this drought. Reclamation is encouraged to examine opportunities for voluntary water conveyances from any state with excess water inventories to meet water use and mitigate drought conditions in Reclamation states. Additional funds have been provided to Reclamation to respond to the impacts of the drought and to work with water districts and other users to provide increased efficiency and conservation of available water.

The only way to mitigate the effects of future droughts, however, is through a strategy of providing a combination of additional storage, improved conveyance, and increased efficiencies in the uses of water both for agriculture and potable purposes. As the West has consistently been the fastest growing part of the country, it is incumbent on Reclamation, as the leading water purveyor in the West, to lead the way in increasing the water that is available from one year to the next and to research and develop more efficient uses of the water that is available.

### WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The agreement includes \$978,131,000 for Water and Related Resources. The agreement for Water and Related Resources is shown in the following table:

Insert 27a-27g

RESOURCES MANAGEMENT         FACILITIES OM&R         RESOURCES TOTAL         RESOURCES MANAGEMENT         FACILITIES OM&R           ARIZONA           ARIZONA           ARIZONA           ARIZONA           AK CHIN INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT          14,093          14,093           COLORADO RIVER BASIN - CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT         7,176         458         7,634         7,175         458           COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM         2,100          2,100         2,100            SALT RIVER PROJECT         724         250         974         724         250           SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE WATER SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT         200          200            SALT RIVER PROJECT         1,446         22,541         23,987         1,446         22,541           CACHUMA PROJECT         647         674         1,321         647         674           CACHUMA PROJECT         5/718         5/718         5/718           CACHUMA PROJECT         647         674         1,321         647         674           CACHUMA PROJECT		AL BILL	FIN		BUDGET REQUEST		
ARIZONA         AK CHIN INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT         COLORADO RIVER BASIN - CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT       7,176       458       7,634       7,176       458         COLORADO RIVER BASIN - CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT       7,176       458       7,634       7,176       458         COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM       2,100        2,100       2,100          SALT RIVER PROJECT       724       250       974       724       250         SALT RIVER PROJECT       200        200       200          SIERRA VISTA SUBWATERSHED FEASIBILITY STUDY       2        2       2          YUMA AREA PROJECTS       1,446       22,541       23,987       1,446       22,541         CACHUMA PROJECT       647       674       1,321       647       674         CACHUMA PROJECTS:       35		FACILITIES	RESOURCES			RESOURCES	
AK CHIN INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT        14,093       14,093        14,093         COLORADO RIVER BASIN - CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT       7,176       458       7,634       7,176       458         COLORADO RIVER BASIN - CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT       7,176       458       7,634       7,176       458         COLORADO RIVER RENONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM       2,100        2,100       2,100          SALT RIVER PROJECT       724       250       974       724       250         SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE WATER SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT       200        200       200          SIERRA VISTA SUBWATERSHED FEASIBILITY STUDY       2        2       2          YUMA AREA PROJECTS       1,446       22,541       23,987       1,446       22,541         CALIFORNIA         CACHUMA PROJECT       647       674       1,321       647       674         CALIFORNIA         CALIFORNIA         CALIFORNIA         CALIFORNIA         AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION, FOLSOM DAM UNIT/MORMON ISLAND       1,577       9,138       10,715       1,577       9,138	TOTAL	OM&R	MANAGEMENT	TOTAL	OM&R	MANAGEMENT	
COLORADO RIVER BASIN - CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT       7,176       458       7,634       7,176       458         COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM       2,100        2,100       2,100          SALT RIVER PROJECT       724       250       974       724       250         SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE WATER SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT       200        200       200          SIERRA VISTA SUBWATERSHED FEASIBILITY STUDY       2        2       2          YUMA AREA PROJECTS       1,446       22,541       23,987       1,446       22,541         CALIFORNIA         AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION, FOLSOM DAM UNIT/MORMON ISLAND       1,577       9,138       10,715       1,577       9,138							ARIZONA
COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM       2,100        2,100          SALT RIVER PROJECT       724       250       974       724       250         SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE WATER SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT       200        200       200          SIERRA VISTA SUBWATERSHED FEASIBILITY STUDY       2        2       2          YUMA AREA PROJECTS       1,446       22,541       23,987       1,446       22,541         CALIFORNIA         CACHUMA PROJECT         CACHUMA PROJECT         CACHUMA PROJECTS:         AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION, FOLSOM DAM UNIT/MORMON ISLAND         AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT       35       2,184       2,219       35       2,184         DELTA DIVISION       5,718       5,511       11,229       5,718       5,511         CAUBURISION	14,093	14,093		14,093	14,093		AK CHIN INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT
SALT RIVER PROJECT       724       250       974       724       250         SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE WATER SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT       200        200       200          SIERRA VISTA SUBWATERSHED FEASIBILITY STUDY       2        2       2          YUMA AREA PROJECTS       CALIFORNIA       1,446       22,541       23,987       1,446       22,541         CACHUMA PROJECT       647       674       1,321       647       674         CACHUMA PROJECT       647       674       1,321       647       674         CACHUMA PROJECT CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECTS:         AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION, FOLSOM DAM UNIT/MORMON ISLAND       1,577       9,138       10,715       1,577       9,138         AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT       35       2,184       2,219       35       2,184         DELTA DIVISION       5,718       5,511       11,229       5,718       5,511         EAST SIDE DIVISION       1,290       2,772       4,062       1,290       2,772	7,634	458	7,176	7,634	458	7,176	COLORADO RIVER BASIN - CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT
SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE WATER SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT       200        200       200          SIERRA VISTA SUBWATERSHED FEASIBILITY STUDY       2        2       2          YUMA AREA PROJECTS       CALIFORNIA       1,446       22,541       23,987       1,446       22,541         CACHUMA PROJECT       CALIFORNIA       647       674       1,321       647       674         CACHUMA PROJECTS:	2,100		2,100	2,100		2,100	COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM
SIERRA VISTA SUBWATERSHED FEASIBILITY STUDY       2       -       2       2       -         YUMA AREA PROJECTS       1,446       22,541       23,987       1,446       22,541         CALIFORNIA       CALIFORNIA       5       5       1,321       647       674         CACHUMA PROJECT       647       674       1,321       647       674         CACHUMA PROJECT CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECTS:	974	250	724	974	250	724	SALT RIVER PROJECT
YUMA AREA PROJECTS       1,446       22,541       23,987       1,446       22,541         CALIFORNIA       CALIFORNIA       647       674       1,321       647       674         CACHUMA PROJECT CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECTS:       647       674       1,321       647       674         AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION, FOLSOM DAM UNIT/MORMON ISLAND       1,577       9,138       10,715       1,577       9,138         AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT       35       2,184       2,219       35       2,184         DELTA DIVISION       5,718       5,511       11,229       5,718       5,511         EAST SIDE DIVISION       1,290       2,772       4,062       1,290       2,772	200		200	200		200	SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE WATER SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT
CALIFORNIA         CACHUMA PROJECT       647       674       1,321       647       674         CACHUMA PROJECT         CACHUMA PROJECTS:         AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION, FOLSOM DAM UNIT/MORMON ISLAND       1,577       9,138       10,715       1,577       9,138         AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT       35       2,184       2,219       35       2,184         DELTA DIVISION       5,718       5,511       11,229       5,718       5,511         EAST SIDE DIVISION       1,290       2,772       4,062       1,290       2,772         EAST SIDE DIVISION       1,290       2,772       4,062       1,290       2,772	2		2	2		2	SIERRA VISTA SUBWATERSHED FEASIBILITY STUDY
CACHUMA PROJECT       647       674       1,321       647       674         CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECTS:       AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION, FOLSOM DAM UNIT/MORMON ISLAND       1,577       9,138       10,715       1,577       9,138         AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT       35       2,184       2,219       35       2,184         DELTA DIVISION       5,718       5,511       11,229       5,718       5,511         EAST SIDE DIVISION       1,290       2,772       4,062       1,290       2,772	23,987	22,541	1,446	23,987	22,541	1,446	YUMA AREA PROJECTS
CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECTS:         AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION, FOLSOM DAM UNIT/MORMON ISLAND       1,577       9,138       10,715       1,577       9,138         AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT       35       2,184       2,219       35       2,184         DELTA DIVISION       5,718       5,511       11,229       5,718       5,511         EAST SIDE DIVISION       1,290       2,772       4,062       1,290       2,772							CALIFORNIA
AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION, FOLSOM DAM UNIT/MORMON ISLAND       1,577       9,138       10,715       1,577       9,138         AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT       35       2,184       2,219       35       2,184         DELTA DIVISION       5,718       5,511       11,229       5,718       5,511         EAST SIDE DIVISION       1,290       2,772       4,062       1,290       2,772	1,321	674	647	1,321	674	647	CACHUMA PROJECT
AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT         35         2,184         2,219         35         2,184           DELTA DIVISION         5,718         5,511         11,229         5,718         5,511           EAST SIDE DIVISION         1,290         2,772         4,062         1,290         2,772							CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECTS:
DELTA DIVISION         5,718         5,511         11,229         5,718         5,511           EAST SIDE DIVISION         1,290         2,772         4,062         1,290         2,772	10,715	9,138	1,577	10,715	9,138	1,577	AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION, FOLSOM DAM UNIT/MORMON ISLAND
Last side division         1,290         2,772         4,062         1,290         2,772           1,290         2,772         2,002	2,219	2,184	35	2,219	2,184	35	AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT
	11,229	5 <b>,5</b> 11	5,718	11,229	5,511	5,718	S DELTA DIVISION
FRIANT DIVISION 2,192 3,401 5,593 2,192 3,401	4,062	2,772	1,290	4,062	2,772	1,290	( EAST SIDE DIVISION
	5,593	3,401	2,192	5,593	3,401	2,192	FRIANT DIVISION
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER RESTORATION SETTLEMENT 32,000	32,000		32,000				SAN JOAQUIN RIVER RESTORATION SETTLEMENT
MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS 7,596 454 8,050 7,596 454	8,050	454	7,596	8,050	454	7,596	MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS
REPLACEMENTS, ADDITIONS, AND EXTRAORDINARY MAINT. PROGRAM 16,362 16,362 16,362 16,362	16,362	16,362		16,362	16,362		REPLACEMENTS, ADDITIONS, AND EXTRAORDINARY MAINT. PROGRAM
SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION 2,156 944 3,100 2,156 944	3,100	944	2,156	3,100	944	2,156	SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION
SAN FELIPE DIVISION 372 75 447 372 75	447	75	372	447	75	372	SAN FELIPE DIVISION
SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION 52 52 52	52		52	52		52	SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION
SHASTA DIVISION 720 8,627 9,347 720 8,627	9,347	8,627	720	9,347	8,627	720	SHASTA DIVISION
TRINITY RIVER DIVISION 12,309 4,359 16,668 12,309 4,359	16,668	4,359	12,309	16,668	4,359	12,309	TRINITY RIVER DIVISION
WATER AND POWER OPERATIONS 4,389 7,393 11,782 4,389 7,393	11,782	7,393	4,389	11,782	7,393	4,389	WATER AND POWER OPERATIONS
WEST SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION, SAN LUIS UNIT 12,917 6,043 18,960 12,917 6,043	18,960	6,043	12,917	18,960	6,043	12,917	WEST SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION, SAN LUIS UNIT
ORLAND PROJECT 930 930 930	930	930		930	930		ORLAND PROJECT
SALTON SEA RESEARCH PROJECT 300 300 300	300		300	300		300	SALTON SEA RESEARCH PROJECT
SOLANO PROJECT 1,329 2,367 3,696 1,329 2,367	3,696	2,367	1,329	3,696	2,367	1,329	SOLANO PROJECT
VENTURA RIVER PROJECT         313         33         346         313         33	346	33	313	346	33	313	VENTURA RIVER PROJECT
COLORADO							COLORADO
ANIMAS-LA PLATA PROJECT 892 1,637 2,529 892 1,637	2,529	1,637	892	2,529	1,637	892	ANIMAS-LA PLATA PROJECT
ARMEL UNIT, P-SMBP 20 449 469 20 449	469	449	20	469	449	20	ARMEL UNIT, P-SMBP
COLLBRAN PROJECT         255         1,693         1,948         255         1,693	1,948	1,693	255	1,948	1,693	255	COLLBRAN PROJECT

	BUD	SET REQUEST		FI	NAL BILL	
	RESOURCES	FACILITIES		RESOURCES	FACILITIES	
	MANAGEMENT	OM&R	TOTAL	MANAGEMENT	OM&R	TOTAL
COLORADO-BIG THOMPSON PROJECT	207	12,950	13,157	207	12,950	13,157
FRUITGROWERS DAM PROJECT	122	124	246	122	124	246
FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT	274	8,837	9,111	274	8,837	9,111
FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT - ARKANSAS VALLEY CONDUIT	500		500	500		<b>50</b> 0
GRAND VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II	244	1,713	1,957	244	1,713	1,957
LEADVILLE/ARKANSAS RIVER RECOVERY PROJECT		1,987	1,987		1,987	1,987
MANCOS PROJECT	119	182	301	119	182	301
NARRROWS UNIT, P-SMBP		37	37		37	37
PARADOX VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II	108	2,653	2,761	108	2,653	2,761
PINE RIVER PROJECT	202	326	528	202	326	528
SAN LUIS VALLEY PROJECT, CLOSED BASIN	286	3,616	3,902	286	3,616	3,902
SAN LOUIS VALLEY PROJECT, CONEJOS DIVISION	21	38	59	21	38	59
UNCOMPAHGRE PROJECT	804	191	<del>9</del> 95	804	191	995
UPPER COLORADO RIVER OPERATIONS PROGRAM	270		270	270		270
IDAHO						
BOISE AREA PROJECTS	3,237	2,213	5,450	3,237	2,213	5,450
COLUMBIA AND SNAKE RIVER SALMON RECOVERY PROJECT	17,000		17,000	17,000		17,000
LEWISTON ORCHARDS PROJECTS	425	30	455	425	30	455
$\mathbf{V}  eq$ minidoka area projects	2,451	4,694	7,145	2,451	4,694	7,145
PRESTON BENCH PROJECT	4	8	12	4	8	12
KANSAS						
ALMENA UNIT, P-SMBP	16	492	508	16	492	508
BOSTWICK UNIT, P-SMBP	239	935	1,174	239	935	1,174
CEDAR BLUFF UNIT, P-SMBP	11	638	649	11	638	649
GLEN ELDER UNIT, P-SMBP	25	1,840	1,865	25	1,840	1,865
KANSAS RIVER UNIT, P-SMBP		100	100		100	100
KIRWIN UNIT, P-SMBP	19	1,369	1,388	19	1,369	1,388
WEBSTER UNIT, P-SMBP	12	2,873	2,885	12	2,873	2,885
WICHITA PROJECT - CHENEY DIVISION	87	458	545	87	458	545
WICHITA PROJECT - EQUUS BEDS DIVISION	50		50	50		50
MONTANA						
CANYON FERRY UNIT, P-SMBP	245	5,703	5,949	246	5,703	5,949
EAST BENCH UNIT, P-SMBP	202	660	862	202	660	862
FORT PECK RESERVATION / DRY PRAIRIE RURAL WATER SYSTEM	3,249		3,249	3,249		3,249

		BUDGET REQUEST		FINAL BILL			
		RESOURCES	FACILITIES		RESOURCES	FACILITIES	
		MANAGEMENT	OM&R	TOTAL	MANAGEMENT	OM&R	TOTAL
	HELENA VALLEY UNIT, P-SMBP	19	163	182	19	163	182
	HUNGRY HORSE PROJECT		1,014	1,014		1,014	1,014
	HUNTLEY PROJECT	12	45	57	12	45	57
	LOWER MARIAS UNIT, P-SMBP	102	1,622	1,724	102	1,622	1,724
	LOWER YELLOWSTONE PROJECT	364	16	380	364	16	380
	MILK RIVER PROJECT	548	1,287	1,835	548	1,287	1,835
	MISSOURI BASIN O&M, P-SMBP	1,032	269	1,301	1,032	269	1,301
	ROCKY BOYS/NORTH CENTRAL MT RURAL WATER SYSTEM	4,059		4,059	4,059		4,059
	SUN RIVER PROJECT	53	256	309	53	256	309
	YELLOWTAIL UNIT, P-SMBP	22	7,433	7,455	22	7,433	7,455
	NEBRASKA						
	AINSWORTH UNIT, P-SMBP	26	138	164	26	138	164
	FRENCHMAN-CAMBRIDGE UNIT, P-SMBP	261	2,088	2,349	261	2,088	2,349
	MIRAGE FLATS PROJECT	14	116	130	14	116	130
	NORTH LOUP UNIT, P-SMBP	52	179	231	52	179	231
(27c	NEVADA						
	LAHONTAN BASIN PROJECT	5,876	3,925	9,801	5,876	3,925	9,801
	LAKE TAHOE REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	115		115	115		115
	LAKE MEAD /LAS VEGAS WASH PROGRAM	775		775	775		775
	NEW MEXICO						
	CARLSBAD PROJECT	2,844	1,295	4,139	2,844	1,295	4,139
	EASTERN NEW MEXICO RURAL WATER SUPPLY	47		47	47		47
	MIDDLE RIO GRANDE PROJECT	11,009	11,726	22,735	11,009	11,726	22,735
	RIO GRANDE PROJECT	1,224	4,182	5,406	1,224	4,182	5,406
	RIO GRANDE PUEBLOS PROJECT	650		650	650		650
	TUCUMCARI PROJECT	23	11	34	23	11	34
	NORTH DAKOTA						
	DICKINSON UNIT, P-SMBP	404	288	692	404	288	692
	GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT, P-SMBP	15,502	6,417	21,919	15,502	6,417	21,919
	HEART BUTTE UNIT, P-SMBP	6	1,139	1,145	6	1,139	1,145

.

	·	BUDGET REQUEST		FINAL BILL			
		RESOURCES	FACILITIES		RESOURCES	FACILITIES	
		MANAGEMENT	OM&R	TOTAL	MANAGEMENT	OM&R	TOTAL
	OKLAHOMA	····					
	ARBUCKLE PROJECT	69	189	258	69	189	258
	MCGEE CREEK PROJECT	90	796	886	90	796	886
	MOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT	25	584	609	25	584	609
	NORMAN PROJECT	48	311	359	48	311	359
	WASHITA BASIN PROJECT	160	1,058	1,218	160	1,058	1,218
	W.C. AUSTIN PROJECT	59	631	690	59	631	690
	OREGON						
	CROOKED RIVER PROJECT	267	451	718	267	451	718
	DESCHUTES PROJECT	292	269	561	292	269	561
	EASTERN OREGON PROJECTS	584	232	816	584	232	816
	KLAMATH PROJECT	13,390	4,610	18,000	13,390	4,610	18,000
	ROGUE RIVER BASIN PROJECT, TALENT DIVISION	1,527	602	2,129	1,527	602	2,129
	TUALATIN PROJECT	130	642	772	130	642	772
N		521	3,161	3,682	521	3,161	3,682
12	SOUTH DAKOTA						
	ANGOSTURA UNIT, P-SMBP	266	790	1,056	266	790	1,056
	BELLE FOURCHE UNIT, P-SMBP	255	685	940	255	685	940
	KEYHOLE UNIT, P-SMBP	196	558	754	196	558	754
	LEWIS AND CLARK RURAL WATER SYSTEM	2,432		2,432	2,432		2,432
	MID-DAKOTA RURAL WATER PROJECT		15	15		15	15
	MNI WICONI PROJECT		12,000	12,000		12,000	12,000
	OAHE UNIT, P-SMBP	39	55	94	39	55	94
	RAPID VALLEY PROJECT		92	92		92	92
	RAPID VALLEY UNIT, P-SMBP		223	223		223	223
	SHADEHILL UNIT, P-SMBP	75	511	586	75	511	586
	TEXAS						
	BALMORHEA PROJECT	25	15	40	25	15	40
	CANADIAN RIVER PROJECT	84	85	169	84	85	169
	LOWER RIO GRANDE WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM	50		50	50		50
	NUECES RIVER PROJECT	87	787	874	87	787	874
	SAN ANGELO PROJECT	57	537	594	57	537	594

		BUDGET REQUEST			FINAL BILL			
		RESOURCES	FACILITIES		RESOURCES	FACILITIES		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MANAGEMENT	OM&R	TOTAL	MANAGEMENT	OM&R	TOTAL	
	UTAH							
	HYRUM PROJECT	180	173	353	180	173	353	
	MOON LAKE PROJECT	12	85	97	12	85	97	
	NEWTON PROJECT	33	94	127	33	94	127	
	OGDEN RIVER PROJECT	240	262	502	240	262	502	
	PROVO RIVER PROJECT	1,260	448	1,708	1,260	448	1,708	
	SANPETE PROJECT	60	11	71	60	11	71	
	SCOFIELD PROJECT	406	84	490	406	84	<b>49</b> 0	
	STRAWBERRY VALLEY PROJECT	822	100	922	822	100	922	
	WEBER BASIN PROJECT	1,096	1,111	2,207	1,096	1,111	2,207	
	WEBER RIVER PROJECT	60	86	146	60	86	146	
	WASHINGTON							
	COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT	3,875	7,196	11,071	3,875	7,196	11,071	
	WASHINGTON AREA PROJECTS	565	78	643	565	78	643	
$\cap$	YAKIMA PROJECT	806	6,836	7,642	806	6,836	7,642	
(P)	YAKIMA RIVER BASIN WATER ENHANCEMENT PROJECT	11,000		11,000	11,000		11,000	
le	WYOMING							
	BOYSEN UNIT, P-SMBP	231	1,791	2,022	231	1,791	2,022	
	BUFFALO BILL DAM DAM MODIFICATION, P-SMBP	32	2,812	2,844	32	2,812	2,844	
	KENDRICK PROJECT	107	3,582	3,689	107	3,582	3,689	
	NORTH PLATTE PROJECT	205	2,270	2,475	205	2,270	2,475	
	NORTH PLATTE AREA, P-SMBP	111	4,886	4,997	111	4,886	4,997	
	OWL CREEK UNIT, P-SMBP	6	95	101	6	95	101	
	RIVERTON UNIT, P-SMBP	12	632	644	12	632	644	
	SHOSHONE PROJECT	72	771	843	72	771	843	
	SUBTOTAL, PROJECTS	184,115	273,956	458,071	216,115	273,956	490,071	
	REGIONAL PROGRAMS							
	ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR ONGOING WORK							
	RURAL WATER				31,000		31,000	
	FISH PASSAGE AND FISH SCREENS				4,000		4,000	
	WATER CONSERVATION AND DELIVERY				8,000		8,000	
	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND COMPLIANCE				1,000		1,000	
4								

		BUDGET REQUEST			NAL BILL		
		RESOURCES	FACILITIES		RESOURCES	FACILITIES	
		MANAGEMENT	OM&R	TOTAL	MANAGEMENT	OM&R	TOTAL
	WESTERN DROUGHT RESPONSE				50,000		50,000
	FACILITIES OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND REHABILITATION					2,931	2,931
	COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJECT, TITLE I		12,670	12,670		12,670	12,670
	COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJECT, TITLE II	6,360		6,360	6,360		6,360
	COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT (CRSP), SECTION 5	4,103	5,592	9,695	4,103	5,592	9,695
	COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT (CRSP), SECTION 8	3,088		3,088	3,088		3,088
	COLORADO RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	620		620	620		620
	DAM SAFETY PROGRAM:						
	DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR DAM SAFETY PROGRAM		1,100	1,100		1,100	1,100
	INITIATE SAFETY OF DAMS CORRECTIVE ACTION		62,000	62,000		62,000	62,000
	SAFETY EVALUATION OF EXISTING DAMS		19,784	19,784		19,784	19,784
	EMERGENCY PLANNING & DISASTER RESPONSE PROGRAM		1,250	1,250		1,250	1,250
	ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM	22,677		22,677	22,677		22,677
	ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	1,736		1,736	1,736		1,736
	EXAMINATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURES		8,989	8,989		8,989	8,989
	GENERAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES	2,000		2,000	2,000		2,000
	INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENTS:						
$\frown$	AAMODT LITIGATION SETTLEMENT				3,000		3,000
IN	CROW TRIBE RIGHTS				2,000		2,000
	NAVAJO-GALLUP				81,000		81,000
In	TAOS PUEBLO				4,000		4,000
17	LAND RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	9,657		9,657	9,657		9,657
·	LOWER COLORADO RIVER OPERATIONS PROGRAM	28,345		28,345	28,345		28,345
	MISCELLANEOUS FLOOD CONTROL OPERATIONS		846	846		846	846
	NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS PROGRAM	8,088		8,088	8,088		8,088
	NEGOTIATION & ADMINISTRATION OF WATER MARKETING	1,984		1,984	1,984		1,984
	OPERATION & PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	951	1,653	2,604	951	1,653	2,604
	POWER PROGRAM SERVICES	2,193	307	2,500	2,193	307	2,500
	PUBLIC ACCESS AND SAFETY PROGRAM	657	206	863	657	206	863
	RECLAMATION LAW ADMINISTRATION	2,329		2,329	2,329		2,329
	RECREATION & FISH & WILDLIFE PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	2,409		2,409	2,409		2,409
	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT:	, . <u>.</u>			,		
	DESALINATION AND WATER PURIFICATION PROGRAM	1,753	1,150	2,903	1,753	1,150	2,903
	SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	9,765	-,	9,765	9,765		9,765
	SITE SECURITY ACTIVITIES		26,220	26,220	, 	26,220	26,220
	UNITED STATES/MEXICO BORDER ISSUES - TECHNICAL SUPPORT	90		90	90		90
	WATERSMART PROGRAM:				<b>▼ t</b> a		
	WATERSMART GRANTS	19,000		19,000	19,000		19,000
	WATER CONSERVATION FIELD SERVICES PROGRAM	4,457		4,457	4,457		4,457
	COOPERATIVE WATERSHED MANAGEMENT	250	<b>-</b>	250	250		250
		200		200	200		254

.

	BUDGET REQUEST			FINAL BILL		
	RESOURCES	FACILITIES		RESOURCES	FACILITIES	
	MANAGEMENT	OM&R	TOTAL	MANAGEMENT	OM&R	TOTAL
BASIN STUDIES	3,850		3,850	3,850		3,850
DROUGHT RESPONSE & COMPREHENSIVE DROUGHT PLANS	1,500		1,500			
RESILIENT INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS		1,500	1,500		1,500	1,500
TITLE XVI WATER RECLAMATION & REUSE PROGRAM	21,500		21,500	21,500	B	21,500
SUBTOTAL, REGIONAL PROGRAMS	159,362	143,267	302,629	341,862	146,198	488,060
TOTAL, WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES	343,477	417,223	760,700	557,977	420,154	978,131



Central Valley Project, Friant Division, San Joaquin River Restoration.—The agreement does not include a separate account for this item. Funding is included in the Water and Related Resources account as a separate line item under the Friant Division of the Central Valley Project.

Middle Rio Grande, New Mexico.—The agreement encourages development and implementation of the Water Acquisition Program along the Middle Rio Grande and San Juan Chama Projects and the Physical Habitat Restoration and Management efforts along the San Acacia Reach consistent with fiscal year 2014 activities.

Scoggins Dam, Tualatin Project, Oregon.—As part of its Dam Safety Program, Reclamation is working on a Corrective Action Alternatives Study (CAS) for Scoggins Dam, the main feature of the Tualatin Project. Working with local stakeholders, Reclamation is evaluating how water supply objectives, such as increased storage, may be coordinated with CAS implementation. Phase two of the CAS, including appraisal level designs and cost estimates, currently is scheduled to be completed in fiscal year 2016. With that date in mind, Reclamation should submit legislative language to the appropriate congressional committees as soon as it becomes clear such authorization is necessary and advisable so that dam safety work can be addressed concurrently with additional storage capacity.

Yakima River Basin Water Enhancement Project, Washington.—The Yakima River Basin Integrated Water Resource Management Plan is recognized as an innovative water management plan representing the culmination of years of collaboration among Yakima Basin stakeholders. The Department of the Interior and the Bureau of Reclamation are encouraged to request funding in future budgets to support additional authorized elements of the Plan. Federal funding should be used in combination with stakeholder funding to ensure continued implementation of a balanced plan including water storage and water supply reliability, habitat and watershed conservation, fish passage, and appropriate land acquisition activities to support agriculture, fish, and municipalities within the Yakima River Basin in Central Washington

Additional Funding for Water and Related Resources Work.—The agreement includes funds in addition to the budget request for Water and Related Resources studies, projects, and activities. Priority in allocating these funds should be given to advance and complete ongoing work; improve water supply reliability; improve water deliveries; enhance national, regional, or local economic development; promote job growth; advance tribal and nontribal water settlement studies and activities; or address critical backlog maintenance and rehabilitation activities. Funding provided under the heading "Western Drought Response" may be allocated to any authorized purposes, but shall be allocated to those activities that will have the most direct, most immediate, and largest impact on extending limited water supplies during current drought conditions. Funding included in the budget request under "Drought Response and Comprehensive Plans" is incorporated into this line item. Reclamation is encouraged to use all available authorities to provide for additional water supplies through conservation, minor changes to the operations of existing projects, drilling emergency wells, or other means authorized under current law. This additional funding may be used alone or in combination with any other funding provided in a program, project, or

activity. For rural water projects, Reclamation shall not use the ability of a non-federal sponsor to contribute funds in excess of the authorized non-federal cost-share as a criterion for prioritizing these funds. Not later than 45 days after enactment of this Act, Reclamation shall provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report delineating how these funds are to be distributed, in which phase the work is to be accomplished, and an explanation of the criteria and rankings used to justify each allocation.

Indian Water Rights Settlements.—The agreement includes funds for these activities in the Water and Related Resources account, instead of in a separate account as proposed in the budget request. To maintain the visibility of these projects, the agreement includes the four projects under the Regional Programs heading with a subheading called Indian Water Rights Settlements.

Buried Metallic Water Pipe.—Reclamation again is directed to act in a manner consistent with the direction provided in the fiscal year 2012 and 2014 Acts regarding buried metallic water pipe. That direction included, among other things, the requirement for an objective, independently peer-reviewed analysis of pipeline reliability standards. Reclamation is reminded that this study, including all data assembly and analysis must be conducted by an appropriate, independent third-party. Reclamation and its contractors involved in these efforts are expected to protect business-sensitive data that is collected during this process.

*Rural Water.*—Voluntary funding in excess of legally required cost shares for rural water projects is acceptable, but shall not be used by Reclamation as a criterion for budgeting in future years.

Zebra and Quagga Mussels.—Reclamation has completed, is working on, and intends to initiate additional invasive mussel vulnerability assessments at numerous federal dams in the Pacific Northwest. Reclamation is encouraged to continue these efforts.
### CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

The agreement provides \$56,995,000 for the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund.

### CALIFORNIA BAY-DELTA RESTORATION (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The agreement provides \$37,000,000 for the California Bay-Delta Restoration Program.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

The agreement provides \$58,500,000 for Policy and Administration.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT (INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The agreement includes a rescission of \$500,000 in unobligated balances.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

The agreement includes a provision limiting the Bureau of Reclamation to purchase not more than five passenger vehicles for replacement only.

### GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

The agreement includes a provision outlining the circumstances under which the Bureau of Reclamation may reprogram funds.

The agreement includes a provision regarding the San Luis Unit and Kesterson Reservoir in California.

The agreement includes a provision regarding an authorization of appropriations under the Secure Water Act of 2009.

The agreement includes a technical correction regarding the Reclamation States Emergency Drought Relief Act of 1991.

The agreement includes a provision extending authorization of the Calfed Bay-Delta Authorization Act.

The agreement includes a provision regarding pilot projects in the Colorado River Basin.

### TITLE III—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The agreement provides \$27,916,797,000 for the Department of Energy to fund programs in its five primary mission areas: science, energy, environment, nuclear non-proliferation, and national security.

*Educational Activities.*—The Department is prohibited from funding fellowship and scholarship programs in fiscal year 2015 unless they were included in the budget justification or funded within this agreement. The Department may waive this requirement upon notification to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate of the fellowship or scholarship program to be funded.

Technology Transfer.—The Department is encouraged to fill the position of Technology Transfer Coordinator immediately and implement the recommendations of the Department's Office of the Inspector General as stated in the February 2014 Audit Report: Technology Transfer and Commercialization Efforts at the Department of Energy's National Laboratories, OAS-M-14-02. As detailed in the report, the Department is also encouraged to support the finalization of performance metrics and place special emphasis on establishing targets and quantitative metrics that support the growth of U.S. companies. Additionally, the Department is encouraged to take a more forward-looking approach to implementing the Technology Commercialization Fund to enhance the effectiveness of the Department's expenditures.

### **REPROGRAMMING REQUIREMENTS**

The agreement carries the Department's reprogramming authority in statute to ensure that the Department carries out its programs consistent with congressional direction. The Department should, when possible, submit consolidated, cumulative notifications to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

*Definition.*—A reprogramming includes the reallocation of funds from one program, project, or activity to another within an appropriation. For construction projects, a reprogramming constitutes the reallocation of funds from one construction project to another project or a change of \$2,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, in the scope of an approved project.

### ENERGY PROGRAMS

### ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY (INCLUDING TRANSFER AND RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The agreement provides \$1,936,999,858 in new budget authority for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy and rescinds \$13,064,858 in prior-year unobligated balances. The agreement includes a provision that authorizes the transfer of up to \$45,000,000 to the Defense Production Act Fund.

The Department is encouraged to support continuation of regional research bodies through competitive funding awards with the goal of fostering research collaboration, technology transfer, and commercialization efforts that will lead to increased domestic production of energy and lower prices for consumers. The Department is further encouraged to examine the feasibility of supplementing the expertise provided by the national laboratories by entering into technical assistance partnerships with non-profit partners to provide affordable grid technology testing and technical assistance to the electric industry to address the variability of renewable power generation.

Unless specifically contravened, the agreement supports grid integration and incubator activities to the extent possible within available funds.

#### SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORTATION

*Vehicle Technologies.*—Within available funds, the agreement provides \$8,000,000 for the SuperTruck program to fulfill existing contracts to support commercialization of truck technologies demonstrated by industry partners. The Department is directed to identify future collaborative research initiatives with the freight industry to improve fuel efficiency in their vehicles. In addition, the Department is directed to provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act a report on the industry's adoption rates of new fuel efficient technologies from the SuperTruck program into its manufacturing lines. Within available funds, the agreement provides \$10,000,000 to continue funding of section 131 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. The agreement provides no direction for the topline funding levels of the Vehicle and Systems Simulation and Testing, Advanced Combustion Engine Research and Development, Materials Technology, and Fuels and Lubricant Technologies subprograms, rather than the levels specified in the House report.

Shortfalls remain in the research and development of dual-fuel systems that meet the power and reliability requirements for severe heavy duty engines used in some buses, fire trucks, on-highway construction haul trucks, and class 8 long-haul trucks. The Department is directed to continue research and development on dual-fuel activities to address the needs of severe heavy duty engine vehicles. The research should consider whether direct-fuel-injected or dual-fuel-converted diesel engines can provide the necessary horsepower and reliability for safe and efficient long-haul trucking in consideration of the higher

temperature exposure of parts and lubricants, in addition to the large onboard fuel storage volume requirements. The research should incorporate highly controlled fleet operations that evaluate the practicality of both dual-fuel systems and gas-to-liquid (GTL) fuel produced directly from natural gas. Since GTL has the potential for broad implementation without changes in truck engine technology or distribution infrastructure, the research should determine the cost, maintenance, and economy of GTL fuels produced directly from natural gas using scalable technology.

*Bioenergy Technologies.*—The agreement provides not less than \$25,000,000 for research and development of biofuels from algae feedstocks and up to \$45,000,000 to support the collaboration among the Navy, the Department of Agriculture, and the Department of Energy to develop innovative technologies for jet and diesel fuels. The agreement provides no direction for the topline funding levels of the Feedstocks, Conversion Technologies, Demonstration and Deployment, and Strategic Analysis and Cross Cutting Sustainability subprograms, rather than the levels specified in the House report.

For purposes of allocating resources, the Department is directed to include biosolids derived from the municipal wastewater treatment and agricultural processes, and other similar renewables, within the definition of noncellulosic. Furthermore, biosolids from wastewater treatment is encouraged as a feedstock for all research, development, and demonstration activities conducted within the available funding. Technologies utilizing biosolids must provide evidence of the potential to reduce the volume of waste materials and reduce greenhouse gas emissions over current uses of this feedstock. The Department is directed to host a stakeholder meeting to discuss the current state of technologies that utilize biosolids and determine the key barriers that need to be overcome to make substantial gains in reduction of greenhouse gases and cost of energy over full-scale operations already in existence globally.

Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Technologies.—Within available funds, the agreement provides an additional \$5,000,000 for Technology Validation to conduct testing and analysis of fuel cells as industrial-scale energy storage devices, with validation and testing using full-scale testing and demonstration capabilities. To support this effort, the Department is encouraged to leverage national laboratory, university, and regional stakeholder partnerships and capabilities, including at-scale grid infrastructure, modeling expertise, extreme environment testing capabilities, and public-private partnerships.

The agreement supports the collaborative approach reflected in H2USA and sees it as an important step toward commercialization of fuel cell electric vehicles and the supply chain. With regard to infrastructure, the Department is encouraged to analyze, research, and make suitable investments to transform the size, cost, scalability (including modular stations), and interoperability of new retail hydrogen stations. The Department should also emphasize consumer acceptance to meet the needs of the initial commercial market beginning in 2015, while having the ability to increase the station capacity as commercialization develops. These investments should focus on strategic locations where early market introduction of vehicles is likely to occur.

### **RENEWABLE ENERGY**

Solar Energy.—Within available funds, the agreement provides \$10,000,000 for the joint Supercritical Transformational Electric Power Generation program with the Offices of Fossil Energy and Nuclear Energy. The agreement further directs the Solar Energy program to provide funding opportunities, as proposed in the budget request, that support U.S. equipment supply chain technology efforts. These efforts will reduce the cost of manufacturing silicon photovoltaic cells by reducing the amount of raw material silicon needed to produce a solar cell while also increasing manufacturing efficiencies by removing manufacturing process steps to produce solar cells. The Department is also encouraged to continue work on systems integration and balance of systems cost areas to reduce costs and ensure that consumers and businesses can yield sustained benefits from distributed solar power installations and their connections to the grid.

The agreement provides no direction for the topline funding levels of the Concentrating Solar Power, Photovoltaic Research and Development, Systems Integration, and Innovations in Manufacturing Competitiveness subprograms, rather than the levels specified in the House report.

*Wind Energy.*—Within available funds, the agreement provides an additional \$5,000,000 to further substantiate the design and economic value proposition of alternate project designs for offshore wind power and up to \$6,400,000 for distributed wind.

Geothermal Technologies.—Within available funds, the agreement provides \$32,100,000 for Enhanced Geothermal Systems. For future awards, the full spectrum of geothermal technologies as authorized by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 shall be eligible for the funds appropriated for Geothermal Technologies by this Act. The Department is also encouraged to continue its support of comprehensive programs that support academic and professional development initiatives.

*Water Power.*—Within available funds, the agreement provides \$41,300,000 for marine and hydrokinetic technologies (MHK) and \$19,200,000 for conventional hydropower. Of the funding provided for conventional hydropower, \$3,960,000 is for the purposes of Section 242 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005.

Of the funding provided for marine and hydrokinetic technologies, no funding is available for the incubator program or the clean energy manufacturing initiative. The Department is directed to continue ongoing consultations with the marine and hydrokinetic energy industry on research, development, and demonstration priorities and ensure that related programs by the national laboratories support industry-driven technology advancement projects. The agreement further directs the Department to continue marine hydrokinetic wave testing infrastructure development work, including preliminary development of an open water, fully energetic wave energy test facility. The Department is encouraged to coordinate closely with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, other relevant agencies, and industry to reduce the amount of time to permit MHK test and demonstration projects. Further, within available funding for marine and hydrokinetic technologies, the Department is encouraged to support activities to develop

advanced systems and component technologies to increase energy capture, reliability, and survivability for lower costs, and to assess and monitor environmental effects.

### ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Advanced Manufacturing.—Within available funds, the agreement provides not less than \$4,205,000 for improvements in the steel industry and \$79,000,000 for Next Generation Manufacturing Research and Development Projects, of which \$6,000,000 is for incubator activities and \$3,000,000 is for a competitive solicitation for universities and industry to help bridge the gap between laboratory research and marketplace deployment of nano-structured metals. The agreement supports \$25,000,000 for the fourth year of funding for the Critical Materials Energy Innovation Hub and \$56,000,000 for four Clean Energy Manufacturing Institutes.

The Department is directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act a report that provides metrics-based performance measures to assess the effectiveness of existing institutes in achieving the goals of the Clean Energy Manufacturing Initiative, including criteria to assess each institute's progress in becoming self-sufficient after the award term ends by relying exclusively on non-federal funding sources, the benefit of each institute, and specific milestones and objectives over the period of the award term. In awarding new institutes, the Department shall conduct an open solicitation and a competitive, merit-based review process and shall include metrics-based performance measures and milestones as part of each award. Should it propose funding for new institutes in the future, the Department shall include in each budget justification the potential specific research topics associated with the proposed institutes, which will provide Congress with the necessary transparency to evaluate and prioritize funding to ensure that only highly-effective centers closely aligned with the Advanced Manufacturing program missions are funded.

Building Technologies.—Within available funds, the agreement provides \$25,800,000 for solid state lighting research and development and includes no further direction within the Emerging Technologies subprogram. Within the Residential Buildings Integration subprogram, the Department is encouraged to engage stakeholders, including the existing home performance industry and weatherization network, for the purpose of developing policy recommendations that could lead to a new residential energy efficiency retrofit program supporting all residential buildings and income levels.

The agreement provides no direction for topline funding levels of the Commercial Buildings Integration, Residential Buildings Integration, Emerging Technologies, and Equipment and Buildings Standards subprograms, rather than the levels specified in the House report.

Weatherization Assistance Program.—The Department is urged to continue working with implementing agencies at the State level to ensure that independent, third-party audits are conducted and results are shared with the relevant parties. Worker training should continue, but contractors repeatedly failing to perform adequately should be disqualified from future work.

### ELECTRICITY DELIVERY AND ENERGY RELIABILITY

The agreement provides \$147,306,000 for Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability, of which \$8,000,000 shall be for the Operational Energy and Resilience program to support construction of the Energy Resilience and Operations Center within the Department's Washington, D,C, headquarters,

Within available funds, the agreement provides \$5,000,000 to continue development of the industry-scale electric grid test bed and up to \$7,000,000 for the Energy Systems Predictive Capability activity. Within Energy Systems Predictive Capability and Advanced Modeling Grid Research, the Department is directed to consider an expanded scope of projects, in addition to response to energy supply disruption, and to include university and industry teams for research and workforce development. Within Cyber Security for Energy Delivery Systems, the Department is encouraged to expand collaborative efforts and increase the deployment of analytical and security tools with industry partners to increase overall resilience of the grid.

The Department is further encouraged to consider expanding research and development partnerships, including related to the development and deployment of microgrids, with stakeholders in diverse geographic regions with unique market dynamics and policy challenges that can help to inform nationwide efforts to improve grid resiliency, reliability, security, and integration of a broad range of generation sources.

### NUCLEAR ENERGY

### (INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The agreement provides \$913,500,000 in new budget authority for nuclear energy activities and rescinds \$80,000,000 in prior-year unobligated balances, of which \$62,000,000 shall be derived from non-Program Direction funding and \$18,000,000 shall be derived from Program Direction funding. The agreement includes no funding derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund.

The Department is directed to provide support for reconvening a nuclear working group among national laboratories to foster collaboration and identification of nuclear capabilities. As part of the update to the Nuclear Research, Development, and Demonstration (RD&D) Roadmap, the Secretary is directed to solicit input from, and collaborate with, the nuclear working group to recommend a plan to integrate the missions and expertise of the national laboratories to accomplish the RD&D goals of the updated roadmap.

*Nuclear Energy Enabling Technologies.*—Within available funds, \$24,300,000 is available for the Modeling and Simulation Energy Innovation Hub upon completion by the Office of Nuclear Energy of an internal peer review of the first five-year term; a determination to extend the hub, together with the benefit of the extension and specific milestones and objectives over the period of the extension; and notification of and approval by the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate. The agreement also provides \$36,500,000 for the National Science User Facility, of which funding above the

request is to complete the installation of advanced post-irradiation examination equipment at the Irradiated Materials Characterization Laboratory.

SMR Licensing Technical Support Program.—The agreement provides \$54,500,000 for the Small Modular Reactor (SMR) Licensing Technical Support Program, all of which shall be to support the second award for an SMR design. Prior-year funds shall be available for site permitting and related licensing activities to support the continued development of small modular reactor technologies previously selected under this program.

Reactor Concepts Research and Development.—Within available funds, the agreement provides \$98,000,000 for Advanced Reactor Concepts, of which \$33,000,000 is for research of the fuel and graphite qualification program for the High Temperature Gas Reactor previously funded under the Next Generation Nuclear Plant line. The agreement accepts the Department's proposal to consolidate Advanced Small Modular Reactor Research and Development within Advanced Reactor Concepts and directs all other activities not specified in the House report within Advanced Reactor Concepts be supported to the extent possible within available funds. The Department is directed to focus funding within Reactor Concepts Research and Development on technologies that show clear potential to be safer, less waste producing, more cost competitive, and more proliferation-resistant than existing nuclear power technologies.

*Fuel Cycle Research and Development.*—Within available funds, the agreement provides \$60,100,000 for the Advanced Fuels program to continue implementation of accident tolerant fuels development, of which \$12,000,000 is for additional support of feasibility studies for accident tolerant light water reactor fuels; \$5,000,000 is for additional support of capability development of transient testing, including test design and validation data for reactor modeling; \$10,000,000 is for the development and qualification of meltdown-resistant fuels based on ceramic-compacted coated particles; and \$3,000,000 is for the advancement of promising and innovative research, including ceramic cladding and other technologies, emanating from qualified and competitively selected small business research task awards that complement the three major industry and university projects and are focused on the development and testing of accident tolerant fuels. Not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, the Department shall provide the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report detailing the results achieved in developing accident tolerant fuels and the expected milestones to achieving in-reactor testing and utilization by 2020.

The agreement provides \$71,500,000 for Used Nuclear Fuel Disposition, of which \$49,000,000 is for research and development activities, as requested, and \$22,500,000 is for integrated waste management system activities. Within funds provided for integrated waste management system activities, \$3,000,000 shall be to design, procure, and test industry-standard compliant rail rolling stock.

Supercritical Transformational Electric Power Research and Development.—Within available funds, the Department shall gather information and engage industry to develop an effective solicitation for a public-private cost-shared supercritical carbon dioxide demonstration program, including support for a Request for Information, conceptual design, and cost estimation. Any remaining funding shall be for

additional support of the research and development of supercritical carbon dioxide technologies within the nuclear energy program.

*Radiological Facilities Management.*—Within available funds, the agreement provides \$20,000,000 for hot cells at Oak Ridge National Laboratory and directs the Office of Nuclear Energy to work with the Office of Science to demonstrate a commitment to operation and maintenance of these capabilities that support multiple critical missions in future budget requests.

Idaho Facilities Management.—The agreement provides funding above the budget request for Idaho Facilities Management, to include an additional \$5,000,000 for nuclear facility and support systems major maintenance; \$2,000,000 for Advanced Test Reactor (ATR) safety margin improvement electrical distribution; \$6,000,000 for transient testing scope acceleration and risk reduction; \$4,000,000 for ATR evaporation pond liner replacement; and \$3,000,000 for the replacement of windows, manipulators, and process equipment at the Hot Fuel Examination Facility.

### FOSSIL ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The agreement provides \$571,000,000 for Fossil Energy Research and Development. The Department is directed to submit not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act a comprehensive program plan and research and development roadmap for the Office of Fossil Energy.

The Department is encouraged to assess the technical landscape of innovative, commercial-scale gas-to-liquid technology development that is not based on the traditional Fischer-Tropsch technology, is less capital intensive than Fischer-Tropsch technology, and can be developed in smaller units that can be deployed in locations where excessive natural gas is being flared to convert that natural gas to liquid transportation fuel.

*Coal Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) and Power Systems.*—Within available funds, the agreement includes funding for the Department of Energy's National Carbon Capture Center consistent with the budget request. To the extent possible within available funds, the Department is directed to support the joint industrial scale integrated energy systems research and development effort with the Offices of Nuclear Energy and Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.

Within Carbon Capture, the agreement includes \$76,000,000 for post-combustion capture systems, of which funding above the request is for additional support of bench-scale and pilot projects. Within Advanced Energy Systems, the agreement provides \$30,000,000 for Solid Oxide Fuel Cells; \$28,000,000 for Advanced Combustion Systems, of which funding above the request is for additional support of pressure gain reduction, chemical looping, and pressurized combustion technologies and projects; and \$25,000,000 for Gasification Systems, of which \$8,000,000 is for the Advanced Air Separation Program to continue activities improving advanced air separation technologies. Within Cross Cutting Research, the agreement provides \$24,000,000 for Coal Utilization Science. Within National Energy Technology Laboratory Coal Research and Development, the agreement provides \$15,000,000 above the budget request

for the Department to continue its activities to economically recover rare earth elements from coal and coal byproduct streams, such as fly ash, coal refuse, and aqueous effluents. Within the Supercritical Transformational Electric Power Generation program, the agreement provides funding for additional support of supercritical carbon dioxide technologies within the fossil energy program.

*Natural Gas Technologies.*—Within available funds, the agreement provides \$15,000,000 for ongoing methane hydrates research and development, including characterization of deep water hydrates, the assessment of the potential impact of hydrate development on climate, and the characterization of Arctic offshore hydrates, and \$10,121,000 for collaborative research and development regarding hydraulic fracturing, to include \$3,100,000 for the Department to continue the Risk Based Data Management System. Any funding in the area of hydraulic fracturing, including funding to support the proposed joint effort with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the United States Geological Survey (USGS), is for research into hydraulic fracturing technologies that aims both to improve the economics and recoverability of reserves and to address the health, safety, and environmental risks of shale gas extraction. Together with EPA and USGS, the Department of Energy is directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act an interagency detailed research plan, to include the proposed length of a collaborative study regarding hydraulic fracturing, out-year budget costs, and specific milestones and objectives.

*Unconventional Technologies.*—The agreement provides \$4,500,000, of which up to \$500,000 shall be for the Department to assess the technical landscape of scalable energy conversion technologies as specified in the House report.

### NAVAL PETROLEUM AND OIL SHALE RESERVES

The agreement provides \$19,950,000 for the operation of the Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves.

### ELK HILLS SCHOOL LANDS FUND

The agreement provides \$15,579,815 for the final payment of the settlement agreement.

### STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE

The agreement provides \$200,000,000 for the Strategic Petroleum Reserve. The Department has continued to ignore the statutory directive in Public Law 111-8 to submit to the Congress by April 27, 2009, a report regarding the effects of expanding the Reserve on the domestic petroleum market. The Department has not yet submitted the report, and continues to fail to meet other congressionally mandated deadlines without explanation or cause. Although now more than five years delayed, the information

requested in the report continues to be pertinent to policy decisions, and the Secretary is directed to submit the report as directed in Section 315 of this Act.

### NORTHEAST HOME HEATING OIL RESERVE (INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The agreement provides \$7,600,000 in new budget authority for the Northeast Home Heating Oil Reserve. The agreement also includes a rescission of prior-year unobligated balances of \$6,000,000, resulting in a net appropriation of \$1,600,000.

### **ENERGY INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION**

The agreement provides \$117,000,000 for the Energy Information Administration.

### NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP

The agreement provides \$246,000,000 for Non-Defense Environmental Cleanup.

Small Sites.—The agreement provides \$80,049,000. Within these funds, \$20,000,000 shall be available for design and construction of security upgrades at Fort St. Vrain, for the cleanup of existing contamination and improvement of seismic standards of buildings at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, and for the cleanup of outstanding Department of Energy liabilities at the Southwest Experimental Fast Oxide Reactor. To the extent possible within available funds, the Department should take advantage of near-term opportunities to realize lifecycle cost savings by accelerating completion of ongoing small sites.

Fort St. Vrain.—Instead of direction in the House report, the Department is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 180 days of enactment of this Act on the costs of continuing to store spent nuclear fuel at Fort St. Vrain and other Department locations, and on options for consolidating inventories of all defense-related wastes, spent nuclear fuel, and special nuclear material at one or more private sector or Government sites to reduce ongoing maintenance, operations, and security costs. The Department is further directed to provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a project data sheet for security upgrades at Fort St. Vrain prior to the use of funds for construction.

### URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

The agreement provides \$625,000,000 for activities funded from the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund.

Reporting Requirement.—The Department is directed to provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act a report that describes the status of the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund and provides an update of cleanup progress by site since the last report submitted to satisfy requirements of Section 1805 of the Atomic Energy Act. The report shall include a general schedule of milestones and costs required to complete the mission at each site within the current lifecycle cost estimates. In addition, the report shall provide an updated timeline and shall explain the cost and schedule assumptions in the current lifecycle cost estimates for Paducah to reflect the Department's assumption of responsibility for the process buildings in fiscal year 2015.

### SCIENCE

The agreement provides \$5,071,000,000 for the Office of Science. The agreement includes legislative language restricting cash contributions to the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) Organization pending implementation of the Third Biennial International Organization Management Assessment Report recommendations.

Advanced Scientific Computing Research.—Within available funds, the agreement provides \$91,000,000 for the exascale initiative, \$104,317,000 for the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility, \$80,320,000 for the Argonne Leadership Computing Facility, \$75,605,000 for the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, and up to \$3,000,000 for the Computational Sciences Graduate Fellowship program. Should the Department wish to continue the Computational Sciences Graduate Fellowship program, the Office of Science is directed to include it in future budget submissions within Advanced Scientific Computing Research.

*Basic Energy Sciences.*—The Office of Science is directed to work with the Office of Nuclear Energy to demonstrate a commitment to operation and maintenance of nuclear facilities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory that support multiple critical missions in future budget requests. Within available funds, the agreement provides up to \$100,000,000 for Energy Frontier Research Centers.

For materials science and engineering research, the agreement provides \$371,000,000, of which \$24,175,000 is for the third year of the Batteries and Energy Storage Innovation Hub, \$10,000,000 is for the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research, and \$8,000,000 is for computational materials science. For chemical sciences, geosciences, and biosciences, the agreement provides \$307,103,000, of which up to \$15,000,000 is available for the Fuels from Sunlight Innovation Hub upon completion by the Office of Science of an internal peer review of the first five-year term; a determination to extend the hub, together with the benefit of the extension and specific milestones and objectives over the period of extension; and notification of and approval by the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

In lieu of previous direction for scientific user facilities, the agreement provides \$916,397,000, of which \$804,948,000 is for facilities operations. The agreement supports the budget request's proposal to terminate the Office of Science-operated user program at the Lujan Neutron Scattering Center at Los Alamos National Laboratory and provides \$2,000,000 to transition instruments and materials to safe storage conditions.

*Biological and Environmental Research.*—Within available funds, the agreement provides \$75,000,000 for the third year of the second five-year term of the three BioEnergy Research Centers, \$45,501,000 for the operation of the Environmental Molecular Sciences Lab at Pacific Northwest National Lab, and no funding for a new initiative on climate model development and validation.

*Fusion Energy Sciences.*—The agreement accepts the new proposed budget structure for fusion energy sciences and provides funding accordingly. Unless specifically contravened in this agreement, references in the House report using the old budget structure shall stand. The agreement further directs the Office of Science to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act a report on the contribution of fusion energy sciences to scientific discovery and the development and deployment of new technologies beyond possible applications in fusion energy.

Within available funds, the agreement provides not less than \$70,220,000 for the National Spherical Torus Experiment, not less than \$79,950,000 for DIII-D, and not less than \$22,260,000 for Alcator C-Mod. The Office of Science is advised that fiscal year 2016 will be the final year of funding for Alcator C-Mod, consistent with the Fusion Energy Sciences Advisory Committee strategic planning and priorities report under all budget scenarios, and is directed to plan for an orderly shutdown following fiscal year 2016. The Office of Science is further directed to seek community engagement on the strategic planning and priorities report through a series of scientific workshops on research topics that would benefit from a review of recent progress, would have potential for broadening connections between the fusion energy sciences portfolio and related fields, and would identify scientific research opportunities. The Department is directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act a report on its community engagement efforts.

The agreement provides \$216,062,000 for burning plasma science foundations, \$38,956,000 for burning plasma science long pulse, and \$59,682,000 for discovery plasma science. In addition to these funds, the agreement provides \$2,500,000 to continue high energy density laboratory plasma science at the Neutralized Drift Compression Experiment-II and \$300,000 for the National Undergraduate Fellowship Program to support the study of plasma physics.

The agreement provides \$150,000,000 for ITER, of which not less than \$125,000,000 is for inkind hardware contributions and up to \$25,000,000 is for cash contributions to the ITER Organization.

*High Energy Physics.*—Within available funds, the agreement provides \$22,000,000 for the Long Baseline Neutrino Experiment (LBNE) and its alternatives, to include \$10,000,000 for research and development and \$12,000,000 for project engineering and design activities. The agreement includes no

funding for long-lead procurements or construction activities for the LBNE project. The agreement also includes \$15,000,000 for Homestake Mine and \$23,000,000 to support superconducting radio frequency accelerator research, development, facilities, and infrastructure.

For energy frontier experimental physics, the agreement provides \$147,584,000. For intensity frontier experimental physics, the agreement provides \$264,949,000, of which \$43,970,000 is for projects, to include \$20,000,000 for future projects research and development, and shifts funding for superconducting operations to advanced technology research and development. For cosmic frontier experimental physics, the agreement provides \$105,545,000, of which \$41,878,000 is for projects. Prior to the execution of \$6,878,000 for dark matter, dark energy, and cosmic microwave background experiments, the Office of Science shall submit a spend plan to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate. The agreement provides no direction for research or facility operations and experimental support within the intensity frontier and cosmic frontier subprograms, rather than the levels specified in the House report.

For other subprograms within high energy physics, the agreement provides \$59,274,000 for theoretical and computational physics; \$120,366,000 for advanced technology research and development, of which \$45,772,000 is for general accelerators; and \$10,000,000 for accelerator stewardship.

*Nuclear Physics.*—Within available funds, the agreement provides \$150,892,000 for medium energy nuclear physics, of which \$97,050,000 is for operations at 12 GeV Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility at Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility; \$199,966,000 for heavy ion nuclear physics, of which \$166,072,000 is for Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider operations at Brookhaven National Laboratory; and \$17,541,000 for operation of the Argonne Tandem Linac Accelerator System.

Science Laboratories Infrastructure.—Within available funds, the agreement provides an additional \$3,000,000 to de-inventory New Brunswick Laboratory below the Hazard Category 3 threshold, as well as any follow-on work to remove remaining material and unneeded equipment.

*Program Direction.*—The agreement provides no funding, including for salaries and benefits and travel, to support the Under Secretary for Science and Energy.

### ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY-ENERGY

The agreement provides \$280,000,000 for the Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy.

### TITLE 17 INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY LOAN GUARANTEE PROGRAM

The agreement provides \$42,000,000 for administrative expenses for the Title 17 Innovative Technology Loan Guarantee Program. This amount is offset by estimated revenues of \$25,000,000, resulting in a net appropriation of \$17,000,000.

Recent reviews of the loan program by DOE's Office of the Inspector General and the Government Accountability Office (GAO) have shown progress in the loan program's implementation of recommendations to improve the program's administration and oversight, but several important deficiencies remain. In particular, the speed at which the loan program is finalizing the actions taken to address the deficiencies in the program's administration is unsatisfactory. Concerns persist about the continued lack of comprehensive policies for oversight and monitoring risk of existing loan guarantees. The Department is directed to provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act a report responding to the recommendations from GAO–14–367, including a plan for fully complying with its credit review, compliance, and reporting functions.

The Department is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act on the status of the Cape Wind conditional commitment, including an update on ongoing litigation and the risks this litigation poses to the success of the project. The Department shall update this report quarterly through fiscal year 2016.

### ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY VEHICLES MANUFACTURING LOAN PROGRAM

The agreement provides \$4,000,000 for the Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing Loan Program.

### CLEAN COAL TECHNOLOGY

### (INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

### The agreement rescinds \$6,600,000 from the Clean Coal Technology Program.

### DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

The agreement provides \$245,142,000 for Departmental Administration, of which up to \$1,670,000 is for salaries and expenses for the Office of the Under Secretary for Science and Energy. The Department is directed to request funding for this office under Departmental Administration in fiscal year 2016 and subsequent years to increase transparency.

### OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

The agreement provides \$40,500,000 for the Office of the Inspector General.

### ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

The agreement provides \$11,407,295,000 for the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA). Instead of restrictions in the House report regarding contractor defined benefit pension plan payments above requirements, the Department is directed to notify the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate of the amounts paid that are above the minimum required contribution and the percent funded status of each plan if payments above the minimum required contribution are anticipated to be made in fiscal year 2015.

### WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The agreement provides \$8,231,770,000 for Weapons Activities. The agreement rescinds \$45,113,000 in funds not apportioned to the Department of Energy in fiscal year 2013 and fiscal year 2014. *Directed Stockpile Work.*—The agreement provides \$2,692,588,000. The agreement includes \$176,615,934 within Stockpile Systems and \$58,407,000 within Stockpile Services for surveillance.

The NNSA is directed to work with the Nuclear Weapons Council and the military services to update the procedures governing nuclear weapons refurbishments prior to submitting future warhead refurbishment proposals that would integrate Air Force and Navy systems in order to better define joint military requirements and to ensure that Air Force and Navy programs and resources are appropriately aligned. The NNSA is directed to provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act a report that describes the interagency plan for revising and updating the joint Phase 6.x warhead acquisition process.

The NNSA is directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act a report on the options available to avoid a dismantlement workload gap in the mid-2020s while still meeting the 2022 dismantlement goal.

*Cruise Missile Warhead life extension study.*—The agreement provides \$9,418,000 to commence a Phase 6.1 Concept Study for a cruise missile warhead life extension. The NNSA is expected to fully adhere to the fiscal year 2012 Act reporting requirements that direct the NNSA to provide a report on the military requirements and preliminary cost and schedule estimates for a life extension effort at the commencement of Phase 6.2a Design Definition and Cost Study, should those activities be requested in future budget requests. The NNSA is directed to promptly submit its required report regarding its Phase 6.1 Concept Study activities.

Research and Development Certification and Safety.—The agreement provides \$160,000,000. The agreement does not include a prohibition on exploratory development activities that support early life extension and other stockpile stewardship activities in the House report.

Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E).-The agreement provides

\$1,766,191,000 for the NNSA's research, development, test, and evaluation activities previously referred to as Campaigns.

*Science.*—The agreement provides \$412,091,000. Within these funds, \$8,000,000 is to support the Dynamic Compression Sector at the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory. Within funds for Advanced Radiography, \$21,000,000 is for the design of new radiography capabilities at U1a.

Inertial Confinement Fusion and High Yield.—The agreement provides \$512,895,000. Within these funds, \$68,000,000 is for Omega at the University of Rochester and \$329,000,000 is for the National Ignition Facility (NIF). The NNSA is directed to provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act an assessment on whether the likelihood of achieving ignition at the NIF has increased since December 2012 and the level of confidence that the NNSA will achieve ignition at the NIF by December 2015.

Advanced Simulation and Computing.—The agreement provides \$598,000,000. Within these funds, \$50,000,000 is for activities associated with the exascale initiative.

Advanced Manufacturing Development.—The agreement provides \$107,200,000 to develop, demonstrate, and utilize advanced technologies that are needed to enhance the NNSA's secure manufacturing capabilities and to ensure timely support for the production of nuclear weapons and other critical national security components as described in the House report. Instead of specific funding allocations directed in the House report, the agreement includes \$12,600,000 for Additive Manufacturing, \$75,000,000 for Component Manufacturing Development, and \$19,600,000 for Process Technology Development.

The NNSA is directed to provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act a ten-year strategic plan for using additive manufacturing to reduce costs at NNSA production facilities while meeting stringent qualification requirements.

*Readiness in Technical Base and Facilities.*—The agreement provides \$2,033,400,000. The NNSA is directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act a ten-year strategic plan that would reduce the deferred maintenance backlog below fiscal year 2014 baseline levels and dispose of unneeded facilities.

*Recapitalization.*—The agreement provides \$224,600,000. The allocation for projects and activities within Recapitalization is shown in the following table:

Insert 47a - 47b

### NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION - RECAPITALIZATION

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)	
	FINAL BILL
SPACE EXPANSION, KCP	12,000
	7,000
ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING CAPABILITY INVESTMENTS (ARMAG), LANL	5,100
LANSCE REFURBISHMENT, LANL	8,500
TA-55 WET VACUUM MATERIAL HANDLING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT, LANL	1,500
ELECTRIC PANEL REPLACEMENTS, LANL	1,200
EQUATION OF STATE FACILITIES MODERNIZATION, LANL	1,000
TA-55 SEISMIC SAFETY MITIGATION, LANL	1,000
CMR CLOSURE RISK REDUCTION, LANL	300
COLLECTION VAULT ALARMS OPERABLE, LANL	1,100
REVERSE OSMOSIS INSTALLATION, RLWTF, LANL	2,500
SAFETY BASIS IMPLEMENTATION, AREA G SOLID WASTE FACILITY, LANL	500
IHE QUALIFICATION CAPABILITIES RECAPITALIZATION, LLNL	1,500
JIG BORER, LLNL	2,600
VERSON HYDRO-FORM PRESS, LLNL	2,400
WARHEAD COMPONENT TEST AND ANALYSIS UPGRADES, LLNL	14,000
B131 CHILLER REPLACEMENT, LLNL	640
B332 MCC REPLACEMENT, LLNL	1,400
DAF ELECTRICAL & CONTROL SYSTEMS, NNSS	1,000
DAF FIRE LEAD-INS, NNSS	10,000
DAF LINAC, NNSS	1,100
U1A FIRE PROTECTION INSTALLATION, NNSS	1,500
CSI - HILL 200 ELECTRICAL REPLACEMENTS, NNSS	1,500
U1A SUBCRITICAL SUPPORT INVESTMENTS, NNSS	3,200
ELECTRICAL/MECHANICAL UPGRADES, BUILDING 12-75, PX	600
VACUUM CHAMBER UPGRADES, PX	7,000
NUCLEAR SAFETY CULTURE WORKPLACE IMPROVEMENTS, PX	2,000
BAY AND CELL SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS, PX	11,400
ELECTRICAL/MECHANICAL UPGRADES, BUILDING 12-126, PX	9,200
LIGHTNING PROTECTION SYSTEM EVALUATION, MAA FACILITIES, PX	800
ROOF BETTERMENTS, PX	2,200
FACILITY MODIFICATIONS FOR B61, PX	4,200
SILICON FABRICATION REVITALIZATION, SNL	5,000
BATTERY TEST FACILITY, SNL	4,900
03-57 UTILITY TOWER ADDITION, SNL	6,300
TTR MAIN DISTRIBUTION HUB UPGRADE, SNL	1,400
B905 ADDITION AND RENOVATION, SNL	9,200
B894 POWER SUPPLIES SUSTAINMENT, SNL	600
B870 NEUTRON GENERATOR PRODUCTION REFURBISHMENTS, SNL	3,400
234-7H AHU REPLACEMENT, SRS	2,200
OXYGEN MONITOR REPLACEMENTS, SRS	800
HANM RESERVOIR FINISHING RELOCATION, SRS	100
HYDROBURST RELOCATION, SRS	2,900
RESERVOIR VAULT STORAGE RELOCATION, SRS	2,000
UNLOADING STATION B MODIFICATIONS, SRS	5,500
BLDG 9212 SPRINKLER HEAD REPLACEMENT, Y-12	2,000
ROOF BETTERMENTS, Y-12	3,000
CEILING CONCRETE MITIGATION, Y-12	3,000
UTILITY UPGRADES, Y-12	9,000
9119 AUDITORIUM WORKPLACE IMPROVEMENTS, Y-12	2,000

SUBTOTAL, PROJECTS LISTED UNDER RECAPITALIZATION

183,240



# NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION - RECAPITALIZATION

· · ·	FINAL BILL
REMAINING ITEMS	
PLANNING AND ASSESSMENTS	29,960
CONSTRUCTION, OTHER PROJECT COSTS	6,400
DEMOLITION AND DECOMMISSIONING	5,000
SUBTOTAL, REMAINING ITEMS	41,360
TOTAL, RECAPITALIZATION	224,600



Uranium Processing Facility, Y-12 National Security Complex.—The agreement provides \$335,000,000. The agreement does not include a restriction on the use of funds for construction prior to achieving 90 percent design. Upon completion of a conceptual design for the project that incorporates the Red Team recommendations, the NNSA shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report that describes the preliminary project execution plan, including any tailoring strategy to break project milestones into smaller projects with distinct performance baselines. The report shall also include an updated cost range for the revised project design that has been independently reviewed by the Department of Energy's Office of Engineering and Construction Management.

*Nuclear Counterterrorism Incident Response.*—The agreement provides \$177,940,000. Within this amount, \$142,577,000 is for emergency response activities to fully support the ninth stabilization city and \$14,850,000 is for Operations Support to address improvements for the Department of Energy's Emergency Operations Center.

*Site Stewardship.*—The agreement provides \$76,531,000. Within these funds, \$14,531,000 is provided for the Minority Serving Institution Partnerships Program.

Defense Nuclear Security.—The agreement provides \$636,123,000. Within funds for physical security systems, up to \$5,000,000 may be used to procure advanced security technologies for the Y-12 National Security Complex and the Pantex Plant.

Domestic Uranium Enrichment Research, Development, and Demonstration.—The agreement provides \$97,200,000. The agreement provides funds to maintain centrifuges in standby and to conduct further analysis of enriched uranium and tritium needs. The Department is directed to conduct an interagency bottoms-up reevaluation of the active and reserve tritium stockpile requirements and provide a certification from the Nuclear Weapons Council as directed by the House report. Instead of the analysis of process technologies in the House report, the agreement includes a general provision that prohibits the use of funds in fiscal year 2015 to build centrifuges for enriched uranium production and requires an accounting of nuclear material available to meet defense needs and a cost-benefit analysis of domestic uranium enrichment options.

> DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION (INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The agreement provides \$1,641,369,000 for Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation. The agreement rescinds \$24,731,000 in funds not apportioned to the Department of Energy in fiscal year 2013 and fiscal year 2014.

Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Research and Development.—The agreement provides \$393,401,000. Within these funds, the agreement provides \$66,900,000 for the National Center for Nuclear Security and additional funds to accelerate efforts to develop the next generation of warhead monitoring technologies, improve low-yield nuclear test detection capabilities, and deploy long-range remote monitoring technologies for plutonium and uranium production detection. The NNSA is directed to conduct

a joint assessment with the Department of Defense on the continued need to deploy space-based sensors for atmospheric testing and provide the results to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act.

International Material Protection and Cooperation (IMP&C).—The agreement provides \$270,911,000. Within these funds, the agreement provides \$148,000,000 for the Second Line of Defense Program to complete installation of fixed detection equipment at vulnerable border crossings, airports, and small seaports in Ukraine, Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Romania, Belarus, and Jordan and expand work in high threat areas in the Middle East. The agreement does not include \$66,900,000 requested within IMP&C for projects in Russia. No funds may be used for the Multiple Integrated Laser Engagement System for Russia.

*Mixed Oxide (MOX) Fuel Fabrication Facility, Savannah River.*—The agreement provides \$345,000,000 for continued construction of the MOX Fuel Fabrication Facility, including Other Project Costs. The agreement includes statutory language that prohibits the NNSA from using funds to place the project in cold standby in fiscal year 2015. Instead of the reporting requirements in the House report, the NNSA is directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act an independently-verified lifecycle cost estimate for the option to complete construction and operate the MOX facility and the option to downblend and dispose of the material in a repository.

Global Threat Reduction Initiative (GTRI).—The agreement provides \$325,752,000. Within these funds, the agreement provides \$36,600,000 for international material protection to complete additional security upgrades at research reactor and radiological buildings, including facilities in Turkey and Algeria that have Category 1 source materials. Also within funds for GTRI, the agreement provides \$67,987,000 for domestic material protection to help meet the goal of securing all buildings in the U.S. with Category 1 source materials by the end of 2016. The NNSA's efforts to develop a U.S. capability to produce Moly-99 from sources other than high-enriched uranium should include but not be limited to low-enriched uranium and natural molybdenum. The agreement does not include \$25,400,000 requested within GTRI for projects in Russia.

Use of Prior-Year Balances.—The agreement directs the use of \$22,963,000 in prior-year balances from Russian Fissile Material Disposition.

# NAVAL REACTORS

### (INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The agreement provides \$1,238,500,000 for Naval Reactors. Within these funds, the agreement provides \$68,000,000 for Advanced Test Reactor Operations. The agreement rescinds \$4,500,000 in prioryear funds for program direction. Naval Reactors Operations and Infrastructure.—The agreement provides \$390,000,000. Within these funds, \$119,279,000 is for Research Reactor Facility Operations and Maintenance, including the full amount requested for operations and maintenance of the prototype reactors at the Kesselring Site.

Spent Fuel Handling Recapitalization Project, Naval Reactors Facility.—The agreement provides \$70,000,000, including Other Project Costs.

FEDERAL SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The agreement provides \$370,000,000 for the federal salaries and expenses of the Office of the NNSA Administrator. Within this amount, the NNSA is directed to provide any funds needed for Corporate Project Management in fiscal year 2015.

NNSA Albuquerque Complex.—Instead of direction regarding the Albuquerque Complex in the House report under Office of the Administrator and Weapons Activities, the agreement permits the NNSA to lease new office space in fiscal year 2015 after the NNSA Administrator notifies the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate of the full costs of the lease, relocation, vault construction and other capital expenses, and any associated increases in annual operating and security costs. The notification shall include a description of the NNSA's plan to demolish legacy buildings at the Albuquerque Complex to meet footprint reduction requirements and shall provide a certification that the cyber and physical security requirements have been reviewed and approved by the responsible intelligence authority.

## ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP (INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The agreement provides \$5,010,830,000 for Defense Environmental Cleanup. Within these funds, the Department is directed to fund hazardous waste worker training at \$10,000,000. The agreement rescinds \$10,830,000 in prior-year funds for program direction.

Separations Process Research Unit (SPRU).—The Department is directed to preserve the approximately \$33,000,000 in prior-year appropriations for SPRU until a plan has been determined for the site. If, at that time, the Department is found to have a liability, the Department shall apply all remaining prior-year balances toward that outstanding obligation. If additional funding is needed once final agreement between the parties is achieved, the Department should submit a reprogramming request to fully support the agreed upon plan.

Hanford Site.—The agreement provides \$941,000,000. Within available funds in the River Corridor control point, the Department is directed to carry out maintenance and public safety efforts at the B Reactor and the Hazardous Materials Management and Emergency Response facilities. Oak Ridge Reservation.—The agreement provides \$223,050,000. Within cleanup and disposition, \$41,626,000 is provided for the U233 Disposition Program. While the agreement does not include a Congressional reprogramming control point, the Department shall continue to separately report funds for this activity in its monthly financial balances report and in its budget request.

Outfall 200 Mercury Treatment Facility, Oak Ridge.—The agreement provides \$9,400,000 to continue a project to construct a water treatment plant at the outfall 200 site as originally approved in the fiscal year 2014 Act. The Department should continue to advance plans to construct the water treatment plant at its originally planned outfall 200 location upstream of the Upper East Fork Poplar Creek. None of the funds available in this Act or any other Act are available for a new siting study for this project. If the Department wishes to use project funds to pursue a significant change in the approved scope of this project, including a change in project location, the Department shall submit a reprogramming request in accordance with the reprogramming requirements carried in this Act.

Office of River Protection.—The agreement provides \$1,212,000,000. The Department is encouraged to complete work previously undertaken on removing salts from the low-activity tank waste streams by conducting conceptual design and cost estimating activities in order to gain a deeper understanding of its potential within recent waste treatment system changes.

*Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP).*—The agreement provides \$320,000,000, including funds needed in fiscal year 2015 to fully support the WIPP recovery effort. None of the funds for WIPP shall be used to fund incident recovery or other transuranic waste activities at any other site. The Department is directed to provide a separate accounting of all funds supporting the WIPP recovery plan in its budget request for fiscal year 2016. The Secretary of Energy is directed to designate an official responsible for implementing the Department's recovery plan who shall provide quarterly updates on the Department's progress to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

*Technology Development and Deployment.*—The agreement provides \$14,000,000. Within these funds, \$2,000,000 is for the National Spent Fuel Program at Idaho National Laboratory.

### DEFENSE URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING

The agreement provides \$463,000,000 for Defense Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning. Funds provide for a federal contribution into the Uranium Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund. The agreement does not include a reauthorization for the collection of a special assessment from private industry.

### **OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES**

The agreement provides \$754,000,000 for Other Defense Activities.

### POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

#### **BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION FUND**

The agreement provides no appropriation for the Bonneville Power Administration, which derives its funding from revenues deposited into the Bonneville Power Administration Fund.

### **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION**

The agreement provides a net appropriation of \$0 for the Southeastern Power Administration.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The agreement provides a net appropriation of \$11,400,000 for the Southwestern Power Administration.

### CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

The agreement provides a net appropriation of \$93,372,000 for the Western Area Power Administration.

### FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

The agreement provides a net appropriation of \$228,000 for the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund. The agreement includes legislative language authorizing the acceptance and use of contributed funds in fiscal year 2015 for operating, maintaining, repairing, rehabilitating, replacing, or upgrading the hydroelectric facilities at the Falcon and Amistad Dams.

### FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The agreement provides \$304,389,000 for the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Revenues for FERC are set to an amount equal to the budget authority, resulting in a net appropriation of \$0. The agreement does not include language in House Report 113-486 regarding increased salaries and benefits.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) recently approved a request by the New York Independent System Operator (NYISO) for a new capacity zone (Docket N. ER13-1380-000). Concerns persist regarding the effect of the capacity zone on consumer costs. The FERC is not currently

required to take further action in fiscal year 2015. However, should the Second Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals remand the order during 2015, the Commission would be required to issue an order in response to the decision. The FERC is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate on a quarterly basis through fiscal year 2016, beginning not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act, on the status of the court case, actions taken by FERC, and estimates of additional planned capacity and consumer costs.

### GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (INCLUDING TRANSFER AND RESCISSIONS OF FUNDS)

The agreement includes a provision prohibiting the use of funds provided in this title to initiate requests for proposals, other solicitations, or arrangements for new programs or activities that have not yet been approved and funded by the Congress; requires notification or a report for certain funding actions; prohibits funds to be used for certain multi-year "Energy Programs" activities without notification; and prohibits the obligation or expenditure of funds provided in this title through a reprogramming of funds except in certain circumstances.

The agreement includes a provision relating to unexpended balances.

The agreement includes a provision authorizing intelligence activities of the Department of Energy for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947.

The agreement includes a provision prohibiting the use of funds in this title for capital construction of high hazard nuclear facilities, unless certain independent oversight is conducted.

The agreement includes a provision prohibiting the use of funds provided under this title to approve critical decision-2 or critical decision-3 for certain construction projects, unless a separate independent cost estimate has been developed for that critical decision.

The agreement includes a provision regarding uranium adverse material impact determinations and notification requirements for uranium transactions.

The agreement includes a provision prohibiting the Office of Science from entering into multiyear funding agreements with a value below a specific threshold.

The agreement includes a provision requiring analysis of alternatives for warhead life extension programs.

The agreement includes a provision rescinding certain prior-year funds. The rescission does not include funds previously appropriated in the "Construction, Rehabilitation, Operation and Maintenance, Western Area Power Administration" account for work related to the Animas-LaPlata Project. The Western Area Power Administration should note, however, that in future years this funding will be treated the same as other prior-year funds within DOE accounts. Therefore, Western and the Bureau of Reclamation are directed to work together to ensure that future budget requests include appropriate funding for these activities such that the costs will not be shifted to power customers who receive no benefit from the activities.

The agreement includes a provision prohibiting funds in the "Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation" account for certain activities and assistance in the Russian Federation.

The agreement includes a provision standardizing the availability of funds for certain research and development activities beginning in fiscal year 2016.

The agreement includes a provision directing the use of funds for the Domestic Uranium Enrichment program.

The agreement includes a provision regarding prohibiting funds to implement or enforce higher efficiency light bulb standards.

The agreement includes a provision regarding analysis and transparency requirements for uranium sales.

The agreement includes a provision regarding management of the Strategic Petroleum Reserve.

Insert 55a - 550

### ENERGY PROGRAMS

### ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY

Sustainable Transportation:			
Vehicle technologies	289,910	359,000	280,000
Bioenergy technologies		253,200	225,000
Hydrogen and fuel cell technologies		92,983	97,000
Subtotal, Sustainable Transportation		705,183	602,000
Renewable Emergy:			
Solar energy	257,211	282.300	233,000
Wind energy	68,179	115,000	107.000
Water power		62,500	61,000
Geothermal technologies		61,500	55,000
Subtotal, Renewable Energy		521,300	456,000
Energy Efficiency:			
Advanced manufacturing	180,579	305,100	200.000
Building technologies		211,700	172,000
Federal energy management program		36,200	27,000
, oddra . onergi menegement program	20,200	00,200	21,000
Weatherization and intergovernmental: Weatherization:			
Weatherization assistance	171,000	224,600	190,000
Training and technical assistance	3,000	3,000	3,000
State energy program grants		63,100	50,000
Tribal energy activities			50,000
Clean energy and economic development partnerships		14,000	
Subtotal, Weatherization and intergovernmental	231,000		243,000
Subtotal, Energy Efficiency	617,818	857,700	642,000
Corporate Support:			
Facilities and infrastructure:			
National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL)	46,000	56,000	56,000
Program direction		160,000	160,000
Strategic programs	23, 554	21,779	21,000
Subtotal, Corporate Support	231,554	237.779	237,000
·, ·····		,	,
Use of prior-year balances	-2,382	-5,213	
Subtotal, Energy efficiency and renewable energy	1,912,104	2,316,749	1,937,000
Rescissions	-10,418	•••	-13,065
TÓTAL, ENERGY EFFICENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY		2,316,749	



	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Final Bill
ELECTRICITY DELIVERY AND ENERGY RELIABILITY			
Research and development:			
Clean energy transmission and reliability	32,400	36,000	34,262
Smart grid research and development	14,600	24,400	15,439
Cyber security for energy delivery systems	43,500	42,000	45,999
Energy storage	15,200	24,400 42,000 19,000	12,000
Subtotal		121,400	
tional electricity delivery	6,000	7,000	6,000
ifrastructure security and energy restoration	8,000	22,600	6,000
ogram direction		7,000 22,600 29,000	
TOTAL, ELECTRICITY DELIVERY AND ENERGY RELIABILITY	147,306	180,000	
NUCLEAR ENERGY			
search and development:			
Nuclear energy enabling technologies	71,130	78,246	101,000
Integrated university program	5,500		5,000
Small modular reactor licensing technical support	110,000	67.000	E 4 . E 6 6
Reactor concepts RD&D	113,000	97,000 100,540	133,000
Fuel cycle research and development	186.500	189,100	197,000
international nuclear energy cooperation	2.500	3,000	
STEP R&D	186,500 2,500		
	499 630	467,686	
Subtotal frastructure: Radiological facilities management:	400,000	407,000	480,000
Space and defense infrastructure	20 000		20,000
Research reactor infrastructure	20,000 5,000	5,000	
research reactor minastructure	5,000	5,000	
Subtotal	25,000	5,000	25,000
INL facilities management:			
INL operations and infrastructure	180.162	180,541	200,631
Construction:			
13-D-905 Remote-handled low level waste			
disposal project, INL	16,398	5,369	5,369
Subtotal, Construction	16,398	5,369	5,369
- Subtotał, INL facilities management.		185 010	
-			
Subtotal, Infrastructure	221,560	190,910	231,000
aho sitewide safeguards and security	94,000	104,000	104,000
EP (Supercritical CO2) Demonstration		27 500 73 090	
ogram direction	90,000	73,090	80,000
of prior-year balances	-5,000		
Subtotal, Nuclear Energy		863,386	
scission			-80,000
- TOTAL, NUCLEAR ENERGY	889,190		
INTE, MOLLAR EMENOTATION INTERNET			
FOSSIL ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT			
a) CCS and power systems:			
Carbon capture	92,000	77,000	BB,000



	FY 2014 Enacted		Final Bill
Carbon storage. Advanced energy systems. Cross cutting research. NETL coal research and development. STEP (Supercritical CO2).	99,500 41,925	80,084 51,000 35,292 34,031	100,000 103,000 49,000 50,000 10,000
Subtotal, CCS and power systems	392,336	277,407	400,000
Natural Gas Technologies: CCS demonstrations: Natural gas carbon capture and storage Research	20,600	25,000 35,000	25,121
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Subtotal, Natural Gas Technologies	20,600	60,000	25,121
Unconventional fossil energy technologies from petroleum - oil technologies	120,000 16,032 5,897 700 -8,500	7,897 700	15,782 5,897 700
TOTAL, FOSSIL ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	562,065	475,500	571,000
NAVAL PETROLEUM AND OIL SHALE RESERVES ELK HILLS SCHOOL LANOS FUND STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE NORTHEAST HOME HEATING OIL RESERVE	20,000	19,950 15,580	19,950 15,580
NORTHEAST HOME HEATING OIL RESERVE	8,000	1,600	7,600
Rescission			-6,000
TOTAL, NORTHEAST HOME HEATING OIL RESERVE	8,000		1,600
ENERGY INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION	117,000	122,500	117,000
NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP			
Fast Flux Test Reactor Facility (WA) Gaseous Diffusion Plants. Small sites West Valley Demonstration Project Use of prior-year balances.	96,222 71,204 64,000 -2,206	104,403	104,403 80,049 58,986
TOTAL, NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP		226,174	246,000
URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND			
Oak Ridge	195,990	137,898	167,898
Paducah: Nuclear facility D&D, Paducah Construction:	265,220	198,729	198,729
15-U-407 On-site waste disposal facility, Paducah.		8,486	8,486
Total, Paducah	265,220	207,215	207,215



.

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Final Bill
Portsmouth: Nuclear facility D&D, Portsmouth	137,613	131,461	209,524
Construction: 15-U-408 On-site waste disposal facility,			
Portsmouth		28,539	4,50D
Total, Portsmouth	137,613	160,000	214,024
Pension and community and regulatory support Title X uranium/thorium reimbursement program		25,863	10,000
TOTAL, UEDÅD FUND		530,976	625,000
SCIENCE			
Advanced scientific computing research	478,593	541,000	541,000
Basic energy sciences: Research	1,610,757	1,667,800	1,594,500
Construction: 07-SC-06 National synchrotron light source II, BNL	26,300		
13-SC-10 LINAC coherent light source II, SLAC		138,700	
Subtotal, Construction		138,700	
Subtotal, Basic energy sciences	1,712,757	1,806,500	
Biological and environmental research		628,000	
Subtotal, Biological and environmental research.	610,196		
Fusion energy sciences: Research	305,677	266,000	317,500
Construction: 14-SC-60 ITER	200,000		
Subtotal, Fusion energy sciences			467,500
High energy physics: Research	746,521	719,000	729,000
Construction: 11-SC-40 Project engineering and design (PED) long baseline neutrino experiment, FNAL 11-SC-41 Nuon to electron conversion experiment,			12,000
FNAL	35,000	25,000	25,000
Subtotal, Construction		25,000	37,000
Subtotal, High energy physics			
Nuclear physics: Operations and maintenance	489,438	487,073	489,000
Construction: 14-SC-50 Facility for rare isotope beams, Nichigan State University	55,000	90,000	90,000



	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Final Bill
D6-SC-D1 12 GeV continuous electron beam			
	25,500	16,500	16,500
Subtotal, Construction			
Subtotal, Nuclear physics		593,573	
Workforce development for teachers and scientists	26,500	19,500	19,500
Science laboratories infrastructure:			
Infrastructure support: Payment in lieu of taxes Oək Ridge landlord Facilities and infrastructure	1 295	4 410	1 712
Ask Didge landlord	5 051	5 777	5 777
Facilitian and infrastructure	000	3 100	8 100
Factivities and intrastructure	900	3,100	6,100
Subtotal	B,236	10,289	13,590
Construction:			
15-SC-78 Integrative genomics building, LBNL			12,090
15-SC-77 Photon science laboratory building, SLAC.		12,890	10,000
15-SC-76 Materials design laboratory, ANL 15-SC-75 Infrastructure and operational		7,000	
improvements, PPPL			25,000
13-SC-70 Utilities upgrade, FNAL			
TJNAF	29,200		
12-SC-70 Science and user support building, SLAC	25,482	11,920	11,920
Subtotal		68,900	
Subtotal, Science laboratories infrastructure			
Safeguards and security Science program direction	87,000	94,000	93,000
Science program direction	185,000	189,393	183,700
Subtotal, Science	5,071,000	5,111,155	5,071,000
TOTAL, SCIENCE	5,071,000		5,071,000
			**********
			********
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY-ENERGY			
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY-ENERGY			
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY-ENERGY	252,000 28,000	295,750 29,250	252,000 28,000
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY-ENERGY ARPA-E projects Program direction	252,000 28,000	295,750 29,250	252,000 28,000
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY-ENERGY ARPA-E projects Program direction TOTAL, ARPA-E INDIAN ENERGY PROGRAMS	252,000 28,000 280,000	295,750 29,250 325,000	252,000 28,000 280,000
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY-ENERGY ARPA-E projects Program direction TOTAL, ARPA-E	252,000 28,000 280,000	295,750 29,250 325,000 2,510	252,000 28,000 280,000
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY-ENERGY ARPA-E projects Program direction TOTAL, ARPA-E INDIAN ENERGY PROGRAMS Office of Indian energy policy and programs (IE)	252,000 28,000 280,000	295,750 29,250 325,000 2,510 13,490	252,000 28,000 280,000
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY-ENERGY ARPA-E projects Program direction TOTAL, ARPA-E INDIAN ENERGY PROGRAMS Office of Indian energy policy and programs (IE) Tribal energy program	252,000 28,000 280,000	295,750 29,250 325,000 2,510 13,490	252,000 28,000 280,000
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY-ENERGY ARPA-E projects Program direction TOTAL, ARPA-E INDIAN ENERGY PROGRAMS Office of Indian energy policy and programs (IE) Tribal energy program TOTAL, INDIAN ENERGY PROGRAMS	252,000 28,000 280,000	295,750 29,250 325,000 2,510 13,490 16,000	252,000 28,000 280,000
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY-ENERGY ARPA-E projects	252,000 28,000 280,000	295,750 29,250 325,000 2,510 13,490 16,000	252,000 28,000 280,000
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY-ENERGY ARPA-E projects Program direction TOTAL, ARPA-E INDIAN ENERGY PROGRAMS Office of Indian energy policy and programs (IE) Tribal energy program TOTAL, INDIAN ENERGY PROGRAMS TITLE 17 - INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY LOAN GUARANTEE PGM Administrative expenses.	252,000 28,000 280,000   42,000 -22,000	295,750 29,250 325,000 2,510 13,490	252,000 28,000 280,000  42,000 -25,000

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Final Bill
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY VEHICLES MANUFACTURING LOAN PGM			
Administrative expenses		4,000	
/	6,000		
CLEAN COAL TECHNOLOGY (RESCISSION)		-6,600	-6,600
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION		-,	-,
Administrative operations: Salaries and expenses: Office of the Secretary;			
	F 000	F 000	5 000
Program direction Chief Financial Officer	5,008	5,008	5,008
Management	47,825	47,182	47,000
nanagement Chief human capital officer	57,599 24,488	68,293 25,400	62,946 24,500
Chief Information OfficerOffice of Information Office of Indian energy policy and programs,	35,401	33,188	33,188
Congressional and intergovernmental affairs	2,506	6,300	16,000
Office Of Small and disadvantaged business	4,700		6,300
utilization		2,253	2,253
Economic impact and diversity	6,197	5,5/4	6,200
General Counsel	33,053	33,000	33,000
Energy policy and systems analysis	16,181	38,545	31,181
International Affairs	12,518	18,441	13,000
	3,597		3,431
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	249,073	286,615	284,007
Program support:			
Economic impact and diversity	2,759	1,673	
Policy analysis and system studies	441 520		
Environmental policy studies	520		
Climate change technology program (prog. supp) Cybersecurity and secure communications	5,482 30,795 15,866	21,364 19,612	21,364
Corporate IT program support (CIO)	30,795	21,304	21,304
Subtotal, Program support	55,863	42,649	43,776
Subtotal, Administrative operations			
Cost of work for others	48,537	42,000	42,000
Subtotal, Departmental administration	353,473	371,264	
les of prior year balance		4 205	E 905
Jse of prior-year balances Funding from other defense activities	-118,836	-4,205	-118,836
۔ Total, Departmental administration (gross)			
Miscellaneous revenues	-108,188	-119,171	-119,171
TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION (net)		129,052	125,971
	***********		
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL			
Dffice of the inspector general	42,120	50,288	40,500

(

.

.

	FY 2014 Enacted	Request	
se of prior-year balances		- 10, 420	
TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL	42,120	39,868	40,500
TOTAL, ENERGY PROGRAMS		10,592,890	
ATONIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES			
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION			
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES			
rected stockpile work:			
B61 Life extension program	537,044	643,000	643,000
W76 Life extension program	248,454	259,168	259,168
W78 Life extension program	38,000		
W88 Alt 370	169,487	165,400	165,400
Cruise missile warhead life extension study		9,418	9,418
Stockpile systems:			
B61 Stockpile systems	83,536 47,187	109,615	109,615
W76 Stockpile systems	47,187 54,381	45,728 62,703	45,728 62,703
W80 Stockpile systems	50,330	70,610	70,610
B83 Stockpile systems	54,948	63,136	63,136
W87 Stockpile systems	101,506	91,255	91,255
W88 Stockpile systems	62,600	88,060	88,060
Subtotal	454,488	531,107	531,107
Weapons dismantlement and disposition	54,264	30,008	50,000
Stockpile services:			
Production support	345,000	350,942	350,942
Research and Development support	24,928	29,649	25,500
R and D certification and safety	151 133	201,479	160,000
Management, technology, and production	214,187	241,805	226,000
Plutonium sustainment Tritium readiness	125,048 80,000	144,575 140,053	132,000 140,053
Subtotal	940,296		1,034,495
Subtotal, Directed stockpile work			2,692,588
search, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E):			
Science: Advanced certification	58,747	58,747	58,747
Primery assessment technologies	92,000	112,000	56,747
Dynamic materials properties	104,000	117,999	109,000
Advanced radiography	29,509	79,340	47,000
Secondary assessment technologies	85,467	58,344	88,344
Subtotal	369,723	456,430	412,091
Engineering:			
Enhanced surety	51,771	52,003	52,003
Weapons system engineering assessment technology	23,727	20,832	20,832
Nuclear survivability	19,504	25,371	25,371
Enhanced surveillance	54,909	37,799	37,799
0.64-4-1			
Subtotal	149,911	136,005	136,005

55

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Final Bill
Inertial confinement fusion ignition and			
high yield:			
Ignition	B0,245	77,994	77,994
Support of other stockpile programs	15,001	23,598	23,598
Diagnostics, cryogenics and experimental			
support	59,897	61,297	61,297
Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion	5,024	5,024	5,024
Joint program in high energy density			
laboratory plasmas	8,198	9,100	9,100
Facility operations and target production	345,592	335,882	335,882
<b>5</b> . 54.4-2			
Subtotal	513,957	512,895	512,895
Advanced simulation and computing	569,329	610,108	598,000
Readiness campaign:			
Nonnuclear readiness	55,407	125,909	
Subtotal	55,407	125,909	
Advanced manufacturing development:			
Additive manfacturing			12,600
Component manufacturing development			75,000
Process technology development			19,600
Subtotal	•••	•••	107,200
Subtotal, RDT&E	1,658,327	1,841,347	1,766,191
Readiness in technical base and facilities (RTBF):			
Operations of facilities:			
Kansas City Plant	135,834	125,000	125,000
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	77,287	71,000	71,000
Los Alamos National Laboratory	213,707	198,000	198,000
Nevada Test Site	100,929	89,000	89,000
Pantex	81,420	75,000	75,000
Sandia National Laboratory	115,000	106,000	106,000
Savannah River Site	90,236	81,000	81,000
Y-12 National Security Complex	170,042	151,000	151,000
0			
Subtotal	984,455	896,000	896,000
Program readiness	67,259	136,700	68,000
Material recycle and recovery	125,000	138,900	126,000
Containers	26,000	26,000	26,000
Storage	35,000	40,800	40,800
Meintenance and repair of facilities	227,591	205,000	227,000
Recapitalization	180,000	209,321	224,600
Construction:			
15-D-613 Emergency Operations Center, Y-12		2,000	2,000
15-D-612 Emergency Operations Center, LLNL		2,000	2,000
15-D-611 Emergency Operations Center, SNL		4,000	
15-D-301 HE Science & Engineering Facility, PX		11,800	11,800
15-D-302 TA-55 Reinvestment project III, LANL		16,062	16,062
12-D-301 TRU waste facility project, LANL	26,722	6 938	6,938
11-D-801 TA-55 Reinvestment project II, LANL	30,679	10,000	10,000
06-D-141 Uranium Processing Facility, Oak	-,		
Ridge,TN	309,000	335,000	335,000
07-D-220 Radioactive liquid waste treatment			
facility, LANL	45,114		•••
07-0-220-04 Transuranic liquid waste facility,	10.000		
LANL	10,605	15,000	7,500
,

D4-D-125 Chemistry and metallurgy replacement project, LANL		FY 2014 Enacted		Final Bill
Subtotal    422,120    402,800    425,000      Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and facilities.    2,067,425    2,055,521    2,033,400      Secure transportation asset: Operations and equipment.    112,882    132,851    121,882    97,118      Subtotal, Secure transportation asset.    210,000    233,813    219,000      Nuclear counterterrorism incident response.    228,243    173,440    177,940      Counterterrorism and counterproliferation programs    -76,901    46,693      Site stewardship.    87,328    87,328    82,449    178,646      Deferse nuclear security.    145,068    179,646    179,646    179,646      Legacy contractor pensions    278,557    307,058    307,058    307,058      Defense nuclear nonproliferation RD.    -76,650    46,100    -45,113      TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES    7,781,000    8,314,002    8,334,01      Defense nuclear nonproliferation and incorperation.    157,557    85,000    60,000      U.S. plutoinum disposition.    157,557    85,000    25,000    25,000      U.S. plutoinum	D4-D-125 Chemistry and metallurgy replacement			
Subtotal.    422.120    402.800    422.000      Subtotal.    422.120    402.800    422.000      Subtotal.    2.067.425    2.055.521    2.033.400      Secure transportation asset:    0perations and equipment.    112.882    132.851    121.882      Program direction    97.118    100.982    97.118    100.982    97.118      Subtotal.    Secure transportation esset    210.000    233.813    219.000      Nuclear counterterrorism incident response    228.243    173.440    177.940      Counterterrorism and counterproliferation programs    76.901    46.083    56.123      Information technology and cyber security    146.689    518.126    56.51      Defense nuclear security    146.689    518.126    56.51      Defense nuclear nonproliferation RAD    7.845.000    8.314.902    8.186.657      Defense nuclear nonproliferation RAD    398.838    360.608    393.401      Nonproliferation and international security    128.675    141.359      Defense nuclear nonproliferation RAD    398.838    60.000	project, LANL			
Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and facilities.    2,067,425    2,055,521    2,033,400      Secure transportation asset: Operations and equipment.    112,882    132,851    121,882      Program direction.    97,118    100,982    97,118      Subtotal, Secure transportation esset.    210,000    233,813    219,000      Nuclear counterterrorism incident response.    228,243    173,440    177,940      Counterterrorism and counterpraliferation programs.    76,901    46,093    514    528,682,449    76,531      Defense nuclear security.    143,068    178,646    179,646    189,231,770    64,000   45,113    7,761,000    6,314,902    8,231,770    64,000    145,113    100,781,1135    111,155    111,155    111,155    111,155 <td>Subtotal</td> <td>422,120</td> <td>402,800</td> <td>425,000</td>	Subtotal	422,120	402,800	425,000
Operations and equipment.    112.882    132.851    121.822      Program direction    97.118    100.962    87.118      Subtoal, Secure transportation esset.    210.000    233.813    219.000      Nuclear counterterrorism incident response.    228.243    173.440    177.940      Counterterrorism and counterproliferation programs.				
Program direction    97.116    100,962    97.118      Subtotal, Secure transportation esset    210,000    233,813    219,000      Nuclear counterterrorism incident response.    228,243    173,440    177,940      Counterterrorism and counterproliferation programs    87,326    82,449    76,531      Defense nuclear security    145,088    179,646    179,646    179,646      Legacy contractor pensions    279,597    307,055    307,055    307,055      Demostic uranium enrichment    62,000	Secure transportation asset:			
Subtotal, Secure transportation esset		97,118	132,851 100,962	97,118
Counterterrorism and counterproliferation programs	Subtotel, Secure transportation esset			
Site stewardship.    87,326    82,449    76,631      Defense nuclear security.    145,068    179,646    179,646    179,646      Legacy contractor pensions.    279,597    307,058    307,058    307,058      Demestic uranium enrichement.    279,597    307,058    307,058    307,058      Subtotal, Weapons Activities.    7,845,000    8,314,902    8,281,770				
Defense nuclear security				
Legacy contractor pensions    279,597    307,058    307,058      Domestic uranium enrichment    2,000     97,200      Subtotal, Weapons Activities    7,845,000    8,314,902    8,231,770      Rescission      45,113      TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES    7,781,000    8,314,902    8,186,657      DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION    398,838    360,808    393,401      Nonproliferation and international security    128,675    141,359    141,359      International materials protection and cooperation    157,557    85,000    60,000      U.S. plutonium disposition    25,000    25,000    25,000      U.S. plutonium disposition    25,000    25,000    345,000      99-D-141-02 Waste solidification facility, Savannah River, SC    5,125       Subtotal, Construction    343,500    196,000    345,000      International materials disposition    526,057    311,125    330,000      Global threat reduction initiative:    162,000    122,383    119,383      International nuclear and radiological material ren				
Domestic uranium enrichment				
Subtotal, Weapons Activities.    7,845,000    8,314,902    8,231,770      Rescission.    -64,000	Legacy contractor pensions	279,597	307,058	307,058
Subtotal, Weapons Activities.    7,845,000    8,314,902    8,231,770      Rescission.	Domestic uranium enrichment			97,200
Rescission.    -64,000     -45,113      TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES.    7,781,000    8,314,902    8,186,657      DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION    398,838    360,808    393,401      Nonproliferation and international security.    128,675    141,359    141,359      International materials protection and cooperation.    419,625    306,487    270,911      Fissile materials disposition.    157,557    85,000    60,000      U.S. plutonium disposition.    157,557    85,000    25,000      Subtotal.    25,000    25,000    25,000      Construction:    99-D-141-02 Waste solidification building.    343,500    196,000    345,000      Subtotal.    Construction.    343,500    201,125    345,000      Total, Fissile materials disposition.    526,057    311,125    430,000      Global threat reduction initiative:    162,000    122,383    119,383      International nuclear and radiological material removal and protection.    200,102    132,473    117,737      Demestic radiological material removal and protection.    93,703	Subtotal Waanne activities			
TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES		-64,000		45,113
DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION      Defense nuclear nonproliferation R&D	TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES	7,781,000	8,314,902	8,186,657
Nonproliferation and international security	DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION			
Nonproliferation and international security	Defense nuclear constaliferation RED	308 838	260 000	202 404
International materials protection and cooperation  419,625  305,487  270,911    Fissile materials disposition:  157,557  85,000  60,000    U.S. plutonium disposition				
U.S. plutonium disposition  157,557  85,000  60,000    U.S. uranium disposition  25,000  25,000  25,000  25,000    Construction:  99-D-143 Mixed axide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC  343,500  196,000  345,000    99-D-141-02 Waste solidification building, Savannah River, SC  343,500  201,125  345,000    Subtal, Construction  343,500  201,125  345,000    Total, Fissile materials disposition  526,057  311,125  430,000    Global threat reduction initiative: HEU reactor conversion  162,000  122,383  119,383    International nuclear and radiological material removal and protection  200,102  132,473  117,737    Domestic radiological material removal and protection  80,000  78,632  88,632    Subtal, Global threat reduction initiative  442,102  333,488  325,752    Legacy contractor pensions  93,703  102,909  102,909    Use of prior-year balances  -55,000   -22,963    Subtatal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation  1,954,000  1,555,156  1,641,369    Rescission    -24,				
U.S. uranium disposition	Fissile materials disposition:			
Construction:  99-D-143 Nixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC	U.S. plutonium disposition	157,557		60,000
99-D-143 Nixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC	U.S. uranium disposition	25,000	25,000	25,000
Savannah River, SC	Construction:			
99-D-141-02 Waste solidification building, Savannah River, SC	99-D-143 Nixed oxide fuel fabrication facility,			
Savannah River, SC		343,500	196,000	345,000
Subtotal, Construction    343,500    201,125    345,000      Total, Fissile materials disposition    526,057    311,125    430,000      Global threat reduction initiative: HEU reactor conversion    162,000    122,383    119,383      International nuclear and radiological material removal and protection    200,102    132,473    117,737      Domestic radiological material removal and protection    80,000    78,632    88,632      Subtotal, Global threat reduction initiative    442,102    333,488    325,752      Legacy contractor pensions    93,703    102,909    102,909      Use of prior-year balances    -55,000     -22,963      Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation    1,954,000    1,555,156    1,641,369      Rescission      -24,731      TOTAL, DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION    1,954,000    1,555,156    1,616,638				
Total, Fissile materials disposition	Subtotal, Construction	343,500		345,000
Global threat reduction initiative:  162,000  122,383  119,383    International nuclear and radiological material removal and protection.  200,102  132,473  117,737    Domestic radiological material removal and protection.  200,102  132,473  117,737    Subtotal, Global threat reduction initiative.  442,102  333,488  325,752    Legacy contractor pensions.  93,703  102,909  102,909    Use of prior-year balances.  -55,000   -22,963    Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation.  1,954,000  1,555,156  1,641,369    Rescission.   -24,731   -24,731	Total. Fissile materials disposition			
HEU reactor conversion				
removal and protection	HEU reactor conversion	162,000	122,383	119,383
protection    80,000    78,632    88,632      Subtotal, Global threat reduction initiative    442,102    333,488    325,752      Legacy contractor pensions    93,703    102,909    102,909      Use of prior-year balances     -22,963      Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation    1,954,000    1,555,156    1,641,369      Rescission     -24,731      TOTAL, DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION    1,954,000    1,555,156    1,616,636	removal and protection	200,102	132,473	117,737
Subtotal, Global threat reduction initiative    442,102    333,488    325,752      Legacy contractor pensions		80,000	78,632	88,632
Use of prior-year balances	Subtotal, Global threat reduction initiative			
Use of prior-year balances	Lesson contractor paneless	00 700		
Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation		-55,000		-22,963
TOTAL, DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION	Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation		1,555,156	
TOTAL, DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION	Rescission			
	TOTAL, DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION	1,954,000	1,555,156	1,616,638

1997 - 1999 1997 - 1999 1997 - 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 19

	FY 2014 Enacted	Request	
NAVAL REACTORS			
Naval reactors development	414,298	425,700	411,180
OHIO replacement reactor systems development		156,100	156,100
S8G Prototype refueling	144,400	126,400	126,400
Naval reactors operations and infrastructure	356,300	412,380	390,000
Construction:			
15-D-904 NRF Overpack Storage Expansion 3		400	400
15-D-903 KL Fire System Upgrade		600	600
15-D-902 KS Engineroom team trainer facility 15-D-901 KS Central office building and prototype		1,500	
staff facility		24,000	
14-D-902 KL Materials characterization laboratory expansion, KAPL	1,000	•••	
14-D-901 Spent fuel handling recapitalization			
project, NRF 13-D-905 Remote-handled low-level weste		141,100	70,000
disposal project, INL.,	21,073	14,420	14,420
building, KSO		20,100	20,100
10-D-903, Security upgrades, KAPL		7,400	7,400
08-D-19D Expended Core Facility M-290 recovering			
discharge station, NRF, ID			400
Subtotal, Construction		209,920	
Program direction	43,212	46,600	41,500
Use of prior-year balances			47,300
Subtotal, Naval Reactors			
Rescission			
TOTAL, NAVAL REACTORS		1,377,100	
		=======	
OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR	377,000		
FEDERAL SALARIES AND EXPENSES		410,842	
TOTAL, NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.		11,658,000	
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP			
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL VLENNUF			
Closure sites	4,702	4,889	4,889
Richland;			
River corridor and other cleanup operations	408,634	332,788	377 788
Central plateau remediation		474,292	497 456
RL community and regulatory support		14,701	19,701
Construction: 15-D-401 Containerized sludge removal annex, RL		26,290	46,055
·····			
Total, Richland	941,000	848,071	941,000
Office of River Protection: Tank farm activities:			
Rad liquid tank waste stabilization and			
disposition Construction:	520,216	522,000	522,000
15-D-409 Low activity waste pretreatment sysem,			
ORP		23,000	23,000

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Final Bill
01-D-16 A-D, Waste treatment and immobilization			
plant, ORP	510,000	575,000	563,000
01-D-16 E, Waste treatment and immobilization plant, Pretreatment facility, ORP	180,000	115,000	104,000
Total, Office of River Protection	1,210,216		
Idaho National Laboratory:			
Idaho cleanup and waste disposition	383,300 3,700	364,293 2,910	377,293 2,910
Total, Idaho National Laboratory	387,000		
NNSA sites and Nevada offsites:			
NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites	314,676		
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory		1,366	1,366
Nevada		64,851	64,651
Sandia National Laboratory		2,801	2,801
Los Alamos National Laboratory		196,017	185,000
15-D-406 Hexavalent chromium Pump and			
Treatment facility, LANL		28,600	4,600
Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites	314,676	293,635	258,618
Oak Ridge Reservation:	79 746	73,155	79 466
OR Nuclear facility D&D	73,716 45,000	41.626	73,155
OR cleanup and waste disposition	83,220	71,137	131,930
OR community & regulatory support	4,365	4,365	4,365
OR Technology development and deployment	4,091	3,000	
Construction:			
15-D-405 Sludge processing facility buildouts		4,200	4,200
14-D-403 Outfall 200 mercury treatment facility.	4,608	9,400	9,400
Total, Oak Ridge Reservation	215,000	206,883	223,050
Savannah River Site:			
SR site risk management operations	432,491	416,276	397,976
SR community and regulatory support	11,210	11,013	11,013
SR radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and			
disposition Construction:	565,533	553,175	547,318
15-D-402 Saltstone disposal Unit #6, SRS		34,642	30,000
05-D-405 Salt waste processing facility, SRS	125,000	135,000	135,000
Total, Savannah River Site	1,134,234	1,150,106	1,121,307
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant:			
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Construction:	216,193	216,020	304,000
15-D-411 Safety significant confinement			
ventilation system, WIPP 15-D-412 Exhaust shaft, WIPP			12,000 4,000
Total, Waste isolation pilot plant	216,193	216,020	320,000
Program direction	300,000	280,784	280,784
Program support	17,979	14,979	14,979
Safeguards and Security	241,000	233,961	240,000
Technology development	18,000	13, <b>0</b> 07	14,000
Subtotal, Defense Environmental Cleanup	5,000,000	4,864,538	5,010,830

	FY 2014 Enacted	Request	Final Bill
Rescission		•••	
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEAN UP	5,000,000		5,000,000
DEFENSE URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING.		463,000	463,000
OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES			
Health, safety and security: Health, safety and security Program direction	143,616 108,301		
Total, Health, safety and security			
	···	118,763 62,235	62,235
Total, Environment, Health, safety and security		180,998	180,998
Independent enterprise assessments: Independent enterprise assessments Program direction		24,068 49,466	49,466
Total, Independent enterprise assessments			
Specialized security activities			
Legacy management			
Program direction	13,712		13,341
Total, Office of Legacy Management	176,983	171,980	171,980
Defense related administrative support Office of hearings and appeals		5 500	5 500
TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	755,000	753,000	754,000
TOTAL, ATONIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	16,962,000		17,624,295

### POWER NARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS (1)

#### SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

TOTAL, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION			
Use of prior-year balances	···	-5,000	-5,000
Offsetting collections (PD)		-2,220	-2,220
Dffsetting collections (for PPW)	-78,081	-73,579	-73,579
Less alternative financing (PPW)	-15,203	-16,131	-16,131
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	101,034	96,930	96,930
Program direction	7,750	7,220	7,220
Purchase power and wheeling		89,710	89,710
Operation and maintenance:			

( Charles )

	FY 2014	FY 2015	
	Enacted	Request	Final Bill
SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION			
Operation and maintenance:			
Operating expenses		15,174	15,174
Purchase power and wheeling	52,000	63,000	63,000
Program direction Construction	29,939	31,089 13,403	31,089 13,403
Construction	0,22/	13,403	13,403
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	101,764	122,666	122,666
Less alternative financing (for O&M)			-5,934
Less alternative financing (for PPW)		-10,000	-10,000
Less alternative financing (Const)		-10,000 -7,492	-7,492
Less alternative financing	-14,308		
Offsetting collections (PD)	-75,564	-29,402 -5,438	-29,402
Offsetting collections (for D&M)		-5,438	-5,438
Offsetting collections (for PPW)		-53,000	-53,000
TOTAL, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	11 892		11,400
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION			
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION			
Operation and maintenance:			
Construction and rehabilitation	122,437	86,645	86,645
Operation and maintenance	82,843		81,958
Purchase power and wheeling	407 , 109 217 , 709	441,223	441,223
Program direction	217,709		227,905
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance		837,731	
		c	
Less alternative financing (for 0&M)	-293,349		-5,197
Less alternative financing (for Construction)		-74,44B	-74,448
Less alternative financing (for Program Dir.)		-5,300	-5,300
Less alternative financing (for PPW)	-168,193 -35,796 -230,738 -6,092	-160,713	-180,713
Offsetting collections (for program direction)	-108,193	-1/4,200	-1/4,280
Offsetting collections (for O&M)	-30,790	-30,740	-30,743
Offsetting collections (P.L. 108-477, P.L. 109-103).	-230,730	-200,510	-200,510
Offsetting callections (P.L. 98-381)	-0,082	-7,101	-7,101
TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION	95,930	93,372	
FALCON AND ANISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND			
Operation and maintenance	6,196	5,529	5,529
Offsetting collections		-4,499	-4,499
Less alternative financing			
TOTAL, FALCON AND AMISTAD O&M FUND			228
TOTAL, POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS	108,242		105,000
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION			
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	304,600	327,277	304,389
FERC revenues	-304,600	-327,277	-304,389
	001,000		



	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Final Bill
General Provisions			
Title III Rescissions:			
Department of Energy:			
Energy Efficiency and Energy Reliability			-9,740
Science			-3,262 -121
Fossil Energy Research and Development			-10,413
Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability			-10,413
Advanced Research Projects Agency - Energy			-18
Construction, Rehabilitation, Operation and Maintenance, Western Area Power Administration			
Weapons activities (050) (rescission)			-1,632 -6,298
Office of the Administrator (050) (rescission)			-6,298
Departmental Administration			-928
Defense Environmental Cleanup (050)			-9,983
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation (050)			-1,390
Naval Reactors (050)			-160
Other Defense Activities (050)			-551
Total, General Provisions			-45,240
			-
GRAND TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY		28,436,428 (28,443,028)	27,916,797 (28,152,876)
(Rescissions)			(-236,079)
	*********		
SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTS			
Energy efficiency and renewable energy	1,901,686	2,316,749	1,923,935
Electricity delivery and energy reliability	147,306	180,000	147,306
Nuclear energy	889,190	863,386	833,500
Fossil Energy Research and Development	562,065	475,600	571,000
Naval Petroleum & Oil Shale Reserves	20,000	19,950	19,950
Elk Hills School Lands Fund		15,580	15,580
Strategic petroleum reserves	189,400	205,000	200,000
Northeast home heating oil reserve	8,000	1,600	1,600
Energy Information Administration	117,000	122,500	117,000
Non-Defense Environmental Cleanup	231,765	226,174	246,000
Uranium enrichment D&D fund	598,823	530,976	625,000
Science	5,071,000	5,111,155	5,071,000
Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy	280,000	325,000	280,000
Departmental administration	126,449	129,052	125,971
Indian energy program Office of the Inspector General		16,000	40 500
Title 17 Innovative technology loan guarantee program.	42,120 20,000	39,668 17,000	40,500 17,000
Advanced technology vehicles manufacturing loan pgm	6,000	4,000	4,000
Clean coal technology	0,000	-6,600	-6,600
		-,	0,000
Atomic energy defense activities: National Nuclear Security Administration:			
Weapons activities	7,781,000	B,314,902	8,186,657
Defense nuclear nonproliferation	1,954,000	1,555,156	1,616,638
Navel reactors	1,095,000	1,377,100	1,234,000
Federal Salaries and Expenses	377,000	410,842	370,000
	•••••		
Subtotal, National Nuclear Security Admin	11,207,000	11,658,000	11,407,295
Defense environmental cleanup	5,000,000	4,864,538	5,000,000
Defense environmental cleanup (legislative proposal)	•••	463,000	463,000

	FY 2014 Enacted	Request	Final Bill
••••••			
Other defense activities		753,000	•
Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities			
Power marketing administrations (1):			
Southeastern Power Administration			
Southwestern Power Administration	11,892	11,400	11,400
Western Area Power Administration	95,930	93,372	93,372
Falcon and Amistad operating and maintenance fund	420	228	228
Total, Power Marketing Administrations	108,242	105,000	105,000
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission:			
Salaries and expenses	304,600	327,277	304,389
Revenues	-304,600	-327,277	- 304 , 389
General Provisions			-45,240
Total Summary of Accounts, Department of Energy		28,436,428	

.

(1) Totals include alternative financing costs, reimbursable agreement funding, and power purchase and wheeling expenditures. Offsetting collection totals reflect funds collected for annual expenses, including power purchase and wheeling

### TITLE IV- INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

It is the mission of all the regional commissions to maximize spending on programs rather than personnel. Given the budget cuts the regional commissions have experienced in recent years, the regional commissions are directed to provide to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a detailed accounting of all personnel costs, including an accounting for employees who are designated as non-federal employees, in their annual budget requests to Congress. If the regional commissions are to continue to be successful they need to show they are maximizing the public good and making sound personnel management decisions.

### **APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION**

The agreement provides \$90,000,000 for the Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC). To diversify and enhance regional business development, \$10,000,000 is provided to continue the program of high-speed broadband deployment in distressed counties within the Central Appalachian region that have been most negatively impacted by the downturn in the coal industry. This funding shall be in addition to the 30 percent directed to distressed counties.

Within the funding provided, \$10,000,000 is recommended to support a workforce training program in Southern Appalachia, primarily focused on the automotive supplier industry. The program will benefit economically distressed counties in Southern Appalachia. This funding shall be in addition to any funds otherwise directed to distressed counties. The funds shall be distributed according to ARC's Distressed Counties Formula which includes land area, population estimates, and the number of distressed counties.

## DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The agreement provides \$28,500,000 for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.

## DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The agreement provides \$12,000,000 for the Delta Regional Authority.

#### DENALI COMMISSION

The agreement provides \$10,000,000 for the Denali Commission.

### NORTHERN BORDER REGIONAL COMMISSION

The agreement provides \$5,000,000 for the Northern Border Regional Commission.

SOUTHEAST CRESCENT REGIONAL COMMISSION

The agreement provides \$250,000 for the Southeast Crescent Regional Commission.

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The agreement provides \$1,003,233,000 for Nuclear Regulatory Commission salaries and expenses. This amount is offset by estimated revenues of \$885,375,000, resulting in a net appropriation of \$117,858,000.

The agreement reduces the amount made available for salaries and expenses by \$34,200,000 below the budget request to account for fee-based unobligated carryover from fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2015 and authorizes the Commission to re-allocate its unobligated carryover to supplement its fiscal year 2015 appropriation. The Commission is directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act a base table that documents this re-allocation. The agreement further reduces salaries and expenses by \$10,000,000 below the budget request to account for lower-than-anticipated staffing levels. Within available funds, not more than \$7,500,000 is included for salaries, travel, and other support costs for the Office of the Commission.

The Commission is directed to engage an outside entity with expertise on federal agency management to recommend ways it can reduce its corporate support requirements and improve the efficiency of the Commission's internal processes. The Commission is further directed to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate not later than May 1, 2015, the review's findings, budgetary impacts, and a long-term strategic workforce plan. The Commission is also encouraged to have a fair, effective, predictable, and efficient process for subsequent license renewal that builds upon the technical and regulatory success of the initial license renewal process and to act expeditiously, particularly for licensees actively planning to pursue subsequent license renewal in the 2017 timeframe, to ensure timely issuance of the regulatory guidance and establishment of the updated framework for subsequent license renewal.

A recent report by the Department of Energy's Office of Nuclear Energy concluded that the nuclear energy workforce is more stable than it has been in several decades, noting that this is due in part to established programs that drive interest in the field. In light of current circumstances, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, in consultation with the Department of Energy's Office of Nuclear Energy and industry, is directed to review the Integrated University Program with regard to its effectiveness and recommend any changes necessary to maintain its efficacy in ensuring that the Nation continues to have a

57

sufficient nuclear workforce. The study should include any specialized areas that may require training beyond that which is necessary for the general nuclear workforce.

### OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The agreement includes \$12,071,000 for the Office of Inspector General in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. This amount is offset by revenues of \$10,099,000, for a net appropriation of \$1,972,000.

The agreement includes a provision to permanently authorize the Inspector General of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to execute the duties and responsibilities in the Inspector General Act of 1978 with respect to the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. The agreement provides \$850,000 to carry out these responsibilities in fiscal year 2015.

## NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The agreement provides \$3,400,000 for the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board.

### GENERAL PROVISIONS—INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

The agreement includes a provision permanently requiring reporting on the use of emergency authority.

The agreement includes a provision instructing the Nuclear Regulatory Commission on responding to congressional requests for information.

The agreement includes a provision mandating an evaluation of and reporting on the effectiveness of domestic radiological security requirements.

The agreement includes a provision requiring each agency receiving funding to submit a Congressional Budget Justification and annual report.

### TITLE V—GENERAL PROVISIONS

The agreement includes a provision relating to lobbying restrictions.

The agreement includes a provision relating to transfer authority. No additional transfer authority is implied or conveyed by this provision. For the purposes of this provision, the term "transfer" shall mean the shifting of all or part of the budget authority in one account to another. In addition to transfers provided in this Act or other appropriations Acts, and existing authorities, such as the Economy Act (31 U.S.C. 1535), by which one part of the United States Government may provide goods or services to another part, the Act allows transfers using Section 4705 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2745) and 15 U.S.C. 638 regarding SBIR/STTR.

The agreement includes a provision prohibiting funds to be used in contravention of the executive order entitled "Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations."

Insert 60a - 60j

000
489
000
511
500
000
•
.===
500
500)
· <sup>·</sup>

600

.

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Final Bill	Final Bill vs FY 2014	Final Bill vs Request
TITLE II - DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR					
Central Utah Project Completion Account					
Central Utah Project Completion Account Bureau of Reclamation	8,725		9,874	+1,149	+9,874
Water and Related Resources	954,085	760,700	978,131	+24,046	+217,431
Central Valley Project Restoration Fund	53,288	56,995	56,995	+3,707	
California Bay-Delta Restoration	37,000	37,000	37,000		
Policy and Administration	60,000	59,500	58,500	-1,500	-1,000
Indian Water Rights Settlements	•••	90,000			-90,000
San Joaquin River Restoration Fund		32,000			-32,000
Central Utah Project Completion Account		7,300			-7,300
Bureau of Reclamation Loan Program Account					
(Rescission)		- 500	- 500	- 500	
Total, Bureau of Reclamation	1,104,373	1,042,995	1,130,126	+25,753	+87,131
Total, title II, Department of the Interior	======================================	1,042,995	 1,140,000	+26,902	+97,005
Appropriations Rescissions	(1,113,0 <b>9</b> 8) 	(1,043,495) (-500)	(1,140,500) (-500)	(+27,402) (-500)	(+97,005) 

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Final Bill	Final Bill vs FY 2014	Final Bill vs Request
TITLE III - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY					
Energy Programs					
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Rescissions	1,912,104 -10,418	2,316,749 	1,937,000 -13,065	+24,896 -2,647	-379,749 -13,065
Subtotal, Energy efficiency	1,901,686	2,316,749	1,923,935	+22,249	-392,814
Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability Defense function	139,306 8,000	180,000 	147,306 	+8,000 -8,000	-32,694
Subtotal	147,306	180,000	147 , 306		-32,694
Nuclear Energy Defense function Rescission	795,190 94,000 	753,386 110,000	805,000 108,500 -80,000	+9,810 +14,500 -80,000	+51,614 -1,500 -80,000
Subtotal	889,190	863,386	833,500	- 55 , 690	-29,886
Fossil Energy Research and Development Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves Elk Hills School Lands Fund Strategic Petroleum Reserve Northeast Home Heating Oil Reserve	562,065 20,000  189,400 8,000	475,500 19,950 15,580 205,000 1,600	571,000 19,950 15,580 200,000 7,600	+8,935 -50 +15,580 +10,600 -400	+95,500  -5,000 +6,000



	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Final Bill	Final Bill vs FY 2014	Final Bill vs Request
Rescission			-6,000	-6,000	-6,000
Subtotal	8,000	1,600	1,600	-6,400	 
Energy Information Administration	117,000	122,500	117,000		-5,500
Non-defense Environmental Cleanup Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning	231,765	226,174	246,000	+14,235	+19,826
Fund	598,823	530,976	625,000	+26,177	+94,024
Science	5,071,000	5,111,155	5,071,000		-40,155
Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy	280,000	325,000	280,000		-45,000
Office of Indian Energy Policy and Programs		16,000			-16,000
Title 17 Innovative Technology Loan Guarantee Program.	42,000	42,000	42,000		•
Offsetting collection	-22,000	- 25 , 000	- 25 , 000	-3,000	
Subtotal	20,000	17,000	17,000	-3,000	
Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing Loans					
program	6,000	4,000	4,000	-2,000	
Clean Coal Technology (Rescission)		-6,600	-6,600	-6,600	
Departmental Administration	234,637	248,223	245,142	+10,505	-3,081
Miscellaneous revenues	-108,188	-119,171	-119,171	-10,983	•••
Net appropriation	126,449	129,052	125,971	-478	-3,081
Office of the Inspector General	42,120	39,868	40,500	-1,620	+632
Total, Energy programs	10,210,804	10,592,890	10,232,742	+21,938	-360,148



	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Final Bill	Final Bill vs FY 2014	Final Bill vs Request
Atomic Energy Defense Activities	•••••••				
National Nuclear Security Administration					
Weapons Activities Rescission	7,845,000 -64,000	8,314,902 	8,231,770 -45,113	+386,770 +18,887	-83,132 -45,113
Subtotal	7,781,000	8,314,902	8,186,657	+405,657	-128,245
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Rescission	1,954,000 	1,555,156 	1,641,369 -24,731	-312,631 -24,731	+86,213 -24,731
Subtotal	1,954,000	1,555,156	1,616,638	-337,362	+61,482
Naval Reactors Rescission	1,095,000	1,377,100	1,238,500 -4,500	+143,500 -4,500	-138,600 -4,500
Subtotal	1,095,000	1,377,100	1,234,000	+139,000	-143,100
Office of the Administrator Federal Salaries and Expenses	377,000	410,842	370,000	-377,000 +370,000	- 40 , 842
Total, National Nuclear Security Administration.	11,207,000	11,658,000	11,407,295	+200,295	-250,705
Environmental and Other Defense Activities					
Defense Environmental Cleanup	5,000,000	4,864,538	5,010,830	+10,830	+146,292

ble

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Final Bill	Final Bill vs FY 2014	Final Bill vs Request
Rescission			•10,830	-10,830	- 10 , 830
Subtotal	5,000,000	4,864,538	5,000,000		+135,462
Defense Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Other Defense Activities	755,000	463,000 753,000	463,000 754,000	+463,000 -1,000	+1,000
Total, Environmental and Other Defense Activities	5,755,000	6,080,538	6,217,000	+462,000	+136,462
Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities	16,962,000	17,738,538	17,624,295	+662,295	-114,243
Power Marketing Administrations /1					
Operation and maintenance, Southeastern Power Administration Offsetting collections	7,750 -7,750	7,220 -7,220	7,220 -7,220	- 530 +530	
Subtotal	••••				
Operation and maintenance, Southwestern Power Administration Offsetting collections	45,456 -33,564	46,240 -34,840	46,240 -34,840	+784 -1,276	•••
Subtotal	11,892	11,400	11,400	- 492	

.



	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Final Bill	Final Bill vs FY 2014	Final Bill vs Request
Construction, Rehabilitation, Operation and Maintenance, Western Area Power Administration	299.919	304.402	304.402	+4,483	
Offsetting collections	-203,989	-211,030	-211,030	-7,041	
Subtotal	95,930	93,372	93,372	- 2, 558	
Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund Offsetting collections	5,331 -4,911	4,727 -4,499	4,727 -4,499	-604 +412	
Subtotal	420	228	228	- 192	
Total, Power Marketing Administrations	108,242	105,000	105,000	-3,242	
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission					
Salaries and expenses Revenues applied	304,600 -304,600	327,277 -327,277	304,389 -304,389	-211 +211	-22,888 +22,888
General Provisions					
Title III Rescissions: Department of Energy:					
Energy Efficiency and Energy Reliability			-9,740	-9,740	-9,740
Science			-3,262	-3,262	-3,262
Nuclear Energy			- 121	- 121	- 121
Fossil Energy Research and Development			-10,413	-10,413	-10,413

609

		- 331	- 331	- 331
		-18	- 18	- 18
		-1,632	-1,632	-1,632
		-6,298	-6,298	-6,298
	•••	- 413	- 413	-413
		- 928	- 928	-928
		-9,983	-9,983	-9,983
		-1,390	-1,390	-1,390
		- 160	- 160	- 160
		- 551	- 551	-551
		- 45 , 240	- 45, 240	-45,240
	<b></b>			**********
27,281,046	28,436,428	27,916,797	+635,751	-519,631
(27,355,464)	(28,443,028)	(28,152,876)	(+797,412)	(-290,152)
(-74,418)	(-6,600) =======	(-236,079) ======	(-161,661) ===================================	(-229,479)
80,317 28,000	68,200 30,150	90,000 28,500	+9,683 +500	+21,800 -1,650 -319
	27,281,046 (27,355,464) (-74,418) 80,317	27,281,046 (27,355,464) (-74,418) (-74,418) (-6,600) 80,317 (-88,200 28,000 30,150	-6,298     -413     -928     -9,983     -1,390     -160     -551     -45,240    27,281,046  28,436,428  27,916,797    (27,355,464)  (28,443,028)  (28,152,876)    (-74,418)  (-6,600)  (-236,079)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$



	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Final Bill	Final Bill vs FY 2014	Final Bill vs Request
Denali Commission Northern Border Regional Commission Southeast Crescent Regional Commission	10,000 5,000 250	7,396 3,000	10,000 5,000 250	 	+2,604 +2,000 +250
Nuclear Regulatory Commission: Salaries and expenses Revenues	1,043,937 -920,721	1,047,433 -925,155	1,003,233 -885,375	- 40,704 +35,346	- 44 , 200 +39 , 780
Subtotal	123,216	122,278	117,858	-5,358	-4,420
Office of Inspector General Revenues	11,955 -9,994	12,071 -10,099	12,071 -10,099	+116 -105	
Subtotal	1,961	1,972	1,972	+11	
Total, Nuclear Regulatory Commission	125,177	124,250	119,830	-5,347	-4,420
Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board	3,400	3,400	3,400		



	FY 2014	FY 2015	Final	Final Bill	Final Bill
	Enacted	Request	Bill	vs FY 2014	vs Request
Office of the Federal Coordinator for Alaska Natural Gas Transportation Projects	1,000			-1,000	
Total, title IV, Independent agencies	265,144	248,715	268,980	+3,836	+20,265
Appropriations	(265,144)	(248,715)	(268,980)	(+3,836)	(+20,265)
			============		Id======
Grand total		34,261,138	34,780,277	+653,490	+519,139
Appropriations		(34,296,238)	(35,044,856)	(+843,651)	(+748,618)
Rescissions		(-35,100)	(-264,579)	(-190,161)	(-229,479)

1/ Totals adjusted to net out alternative financing costs, reimbursable agreement funding, and power purchase and wheeling expenditures. Offsetting collection totals only reflect funds collected for annual expenses, excluding power purchase wheeling

